

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Human Services Office of Medical Assistance Programs

2021 External Quality Review Report Gateway Health

Final Report April 2022



Corporate Headquarters 1979 Marcus Avenue Lake Success, NY 11042-1072 (516) 326-7767 ipro.org

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	4
Purpose and Background	4
I: VALIDATION OF PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	
OBJECTIVES	
TECHNICAL METHODS OF DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS	
FINDINGS	
II: PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND CAHPS SURVEY	14
Objectives	14
PA-SPECIFIC AND CMS CORE SET PERFORMANCE MEASURE SELECTION AND DESCRIPTIONS	20
HEDIS PERFORMANCE MEASURE SELECTION AND DESCRIPTIONS	23
IMPLEMENTATION OF PA-SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND HEDIS AUDIT	28
CONCLUSIONS AND COMPARATIVE FINDINGS	
CONSUMER ASSESSMENT OF HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS AND SYSTEMS (CAHPS) SURVEY	52
III: REVIEW OF COMPLIANCE WITH MEDICAID AND CHIP MANAGED CARE REGULATIONS	53
Objectives	53
DESCRIPTION OF DATA OBTAINED	53
DETERMINATION OF COMPLIANCE	55
FORMAT	55
FINDINGS	55
Accreditation Status	59
IV: MCO RESPONSES TO THE PREVIOUS EQR RECOMMENDATIONS	60
CURRENT AND PROPOSED INTERVENTIONS	60
ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS AND ACTION PLAN	60
GH RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS EQR RECOMMENDATIONS	61
V: MCO STRENGTHS AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT AND EQR RECOMMENDATIONS	62
Strengths	62
OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT	62
P4P Measure Matrix Report Card 2021 (MY 2020)	64
VI: SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES	69
Performance Improvement Projects	69
Performance Measures	69
Structure and Operations Standards	69
2020 OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT MCO RESPONSE	69
2021 Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement	69
APPENDIX	70
PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT PROJECT INTERVENTIONS	70

List of Tables and Figures

Table 1.1: Element Designation	9
Table 1.2: GH PIP Compliance Assessments	13
Table 2.1: Performance Measure Groupings	14
Table 2.2: Access to/Availability of Care	30
Table 2.3: Well-Care Visits and Immunizations	31
Table 2.4: EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up	33
Table 2.5: EPSDT: Dental Care for Children and Adults	35
Table 2.6: Women's Health	36
Table 2.7: Obstetric and Neonatal Care	38
Table 2.8: Respiratory Conditions	40
Table 2.9: Comprehensive Diabetes Care	43
Table 2.10: Cardiovascular Care	45
Table 2.11: Utilization	48
Table 2.12: Utilization (Continued)	51
Table 2.13: CAHPS MY 2020 Adult Survey Results	52
Table 2.14: CAHPS MY 2020 Child Survey Results	52
Table 3.1: SMART Items Count per Regulation	54
Table 3.2: GH Compliance with Enrollee Rights and Protections Regulations	56
Table 3.3: GH Compliance with MCO, PIHP and PAHP Standards Regulations	56
Table 3.4: GH Compliance with Quality Measurement and Improvement; External Quality Review Regulations	58
Table 3.5: GH Compliance with Grievance and Appeal System Regulations	58
Table 1: GH Response to Previous EQR Recommendations	
Figure 5.1: P4P Measure Matrix – Rate Measures	66
Figure 5.2: P4P Measure Matrix – PCR Ratio Measure	66
Table 5.1: P4P Measure Rates	67
Table 5.2: EQR Recommendations	
Table A.1.1: PIP Interventions	70

Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®) is a registered trademark of the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA). NCQA™ is a trademark of the National Committee for Quality Assurance. Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS®) is a registered trademark of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ).

Introduction

Purpose and Background

The final rule of the Balanced Budget Act (BBA) of 1997 requires that State agencies contract with an External Quality Review Organization (EQRO) to conduct an annual external quality review (EQR) of the services provided by contracted Medicaid Managed Care Organizations (MCOs). This EQR must include an analysis and evaluation of aggregated information on quality, timeliness and access to the health care services that a MCO furnishes to Medicaid Managed Care recipients. The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) is required to develop EQR protocols to guide and support the annual EQR process. The first set of protocols was issued in 2003 and updated in 2012. CMS revised the protocols in 2018 to incorporate regulatory changes contained in the May 2016 Medicaid and CHIP managed care final rule. Updated protocols were published in late 2019.

The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (PA) Department of Human Services (DHS) Office of Medical Assistance Programs (OMAP) contracted with IPRO as its EQRO to conduct the 2021 EQRs (Review Period: 1/1/2020 - 12/31/2020) for the HealthChoices PH MCOs and to prepare the technical reports. HealthChoices Physical Health (PH) is the mandatory managed care program that provides Medical Assistance (MA) recipients with physical health services in PA.

The mandatory EQR-related activities that must be included in detailed technical reports, per 42 C.F.R. §438.358, are as follows:

- validation of performance improvement projects,
- · validation of MCO performance measures, and
- review of compliance with Medicaid and CHIP managed care regulations.

It should be noted that a fourth mandatory activity, validation of network adequacy, was named in the CMS External Quality Review (EQR) Protocols published in October 2019. However, CMS has not published an official protocol for this activity, and this activity is conducted at the state's discretion. Each managed care program agreement entered into by DHS identifies network adequacy standards for those programs. For PH MCOs, DHS has published multiple provider network standards through its Exhibit AAA: Provider Network Composition/Service Access; MCOs submit annual geographic access reports as outlined in these standards. DHS uses a web-based program to assist with ongoing network compliance and during the review year, its monitoring team planned implementation of new methods of verification, such as Access to Care campaigns, network spot checks, and provider directory reviews.

This technical report includes six core sections:

- I. Performance Improvement Projects
- II. Performance Measures and Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS®) Survey
- III. Structure and Operation Standards
- IV. 2020 Opportunities for Improvement MCO Response
- V. 2021 Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement
- VI. Summary of Activities

Information for **Section I** of this report is derived from activities conducted with and on behalf of DHS to research, select, and define Performance Improvement Projects (PIPs) for a new validation cycle, as well as IPRO's validation of each PH MCO's PIPs, including review of the PIP design and implementation using documents provided by the MCO.

Information for **Section II** of this report is derived from IPRO's validation of each PH MCO's performance measure submissions. Performance measure validation as conducted by IPRO includes PA-specific performance measures as well as Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®) measures for each Medicaid PH MCO. Within **Section II**, CAHPS Survey results follow the performance measures.

For the PH Medicaid MCOs, the information for the compliance with Structure and Operations Standards in **Section III** of the report is derived from the commonwealth's monitoring of the MCOs against the Systematic Monitoring, Access and

Retrieval Technology (SMART) standards, from the HealthChoices Agreement, and from National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA™) accreditation results for each MCO. This section also contains discussion of the revisions to the required structure and compliance standards presented in the updated EQR protocols.

Section IV, 2020 Opportunities for Improvement – MCO Response, includes the MCO's responses to the 2020 EQR Technical Report's opportunities for improvement and presents the degree to which the MCO addressed each opportunity for improvement.

Section V has a summary of the MCO's strengths and opportunities for improvement for this review period as determined by IPRO and a "report card" of the MCO's performance as related to selected HEDIS measures. **Section VI** provides a summary of EQR activities for the PH MCO for this review period.

It should be noted that effective 1/1/2022, Gateway Health Plan will be doing business as Highmark Wholecare. Because the plan conducted business as Gateway Health Plan for the review period covered by this report 1/1/2020-12/31/2020, the Gateway name is used for this report.

I: Validation of Performance Improvement Projects

Objectives

Title 42 CFR § 438.330(d) establishes that state agencies require contracted MCO/MCPs to conduct PIPs that focus on both clinical and non-clinical areas. According to the CMS, the purpose of a PIP is to assess and improve the processes and outcomes of health care provided by an MCO/MCP.

In accordance with current BBA regulations, IPRO undertook validation of Performance Improvement Projects (PIPs) for each Medicaid PH MCO. For the purposes of the EQR, PH MCOs were required to participate in studies selected by OMAP for validation by IPRO in 2020 for 2019 activities. Under the applicable HealthChoices Agreement with the DHS in effect during this review period, Medicaid PH MCOs are required to conduct focused studies each year. For all PH MCOs, two PIPs were initiated as part of this requirement in 2020. For all PIPs, PH MCOs are required to implement improvement actions and to conduct follow-up in order to demonstrate initial and sustained improvement or the need for further action.

As part of the EQR PIP cycle that was initiated for all PH MCOs in 2020, PH MCOs were required to implement two internal PIPs in priority topic areas chosen by DHS. For this PIP cycle, two topics were selected: "Preventing Inappropriate Use or Overuse of Opioids" and "Reducing Potentially Preventable Hospital Admissions and Readmissions and Emergency Department Visits".

"Preventing Inappropriate Use or Overuse of Opioids" was selected in light of the growing epidemic of accidental drug overdose in the United States, which is currently the leading cause of death in those under 50 years old living in the United States. In light of this, governmental regulatory agencies have released multiple regulatory measures and societal recommendations in an effort to decrease the amount of opioid prescriptions. PA DHS has sought to implement these measures as quickly as possible to impact its at-risk populations. While these measures are new and there is currently little historical data on these measures as of 2020, it remains a priority that future trends are monitored. MCOs were encouraged to develop aim statements, or objectives, for this project that look at preventing overuse/overdose, promoting treatment options, and stigma-reducing initiatives. Since the HEDIS Risk of Continued Opioid Use (COU) and CMS Adult Core Set Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines (COB) measures were first-year measures in 2019, a comparison to the national average was not available at project implementation. However, in PA, Use of Opioids at High Dosage (HDO) was found to be better than the national average for 2019, while Use of Opioids from Multiple Providers (UOP) was worse. The HEDIS UOP measure was worse than the national average for all three indicators: four or more prescribers, four or more pharmacies, and four or more prescribers and pharmacies.

In addition to increased collection of national measures, DHS has implemented mechanisms to examine other issues related to opioid use disorder (OUD) and coordinated treatment. In 2016, the governor of PA implemented the Centers of Excellence (COE) for Opioid Use Disorder program. Prior to COE implementation, 48% of Medicaid enrollees received OUD treatment, whereas after one year of implementation, 71% received treatment. Additionally, the DHS Quality Care Hospital Assessment Initiative, which focuses on ensuring access to quality hospital services for Pennsylvania Medical Assistance (MA) beneficiaries, was reauthorized in 2018 and included the addition of an Opioid Use Disorder (OUD) incentive. The incentive, based on follow up within 7 days for opioid treatment after a visit to the emergency department (ED) for opioid use disorder, allows hospitals the opportunity to earn incentives by implementing defined clinical pathways to help them get more individuals with OUD into treatment. The DHS also worked with the University of Pittsburgh to analyze OUD treatment, particularly MAT, for PA Medicaid enrollees. Among the findings presented in January 2020 were that the number of Medicaid enrollees receiving medication for OUD more than doubled from 2014-2018, and that the increase was driven by office-based prescriptions for buprenorphine or naltrexone, was seen for nearly all demographic sub-groups, and was higher for rural areas. Similarly, under the Drug and Treatment Act (DATA), prescription rates for buprenorphine have increased. This act allows qualifying practitioners to prescribe buprenorphine for OUD treatment from 30 up to 275 patients and is another component of DHS' continuum of care.

Because opioid misuse and abuse is a national crisis, and due to the impact this has had particularly on PA, the new PH PIP is centered on opioids in the following four common outcome objectives: opioid prevention, harm reduction,

coordination/facilitation into treatment, and increase medicated-assisted treatment (MAT) utilization. For this PIP, the four outcome measures discussed above will be collected and in consideration of the initiatives already implemented in PA, three process oriented measures related to these initiatives will also be collected, focusing on the percentage of individuals with OUD who get into MAT, the duration of treatment for those that get into MAT, and follow-up after an emergency department (ED) visit for OUD. MCOs will define these three measures for their PIPs.

For this PIP, OMAP has required all PH MCOs to submit the following measures on an annual basis:

- Use of Opioids at High Dosage (HDO HEDIS)
- Use of Opioids from Multiple Providers (UOP HEDIS)
- Risk of Continued Opioid Use (COU HEDIS)
- Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines (COB CMS Adult Core Set)
- Percent of Individuals with OUD who receive MAT (MCO-defined)
- Percentage of adults > 18 years with pharmacotherapy for OUD who have (MCO-defined):
 - o at least 90 and;
 - o 180 days of continuous treatment
- Follow-up treatment within 7 days after ED visit for Opioid Use Disorder (MCO-defined)

Additionally, MCOs are expected to expand efforts to address health disparities in their populations. MCOs were instructed to identify race and ethnicity barriers and identify interventions that will be implemented to remediate the barriers identified.

"Reducing Potentially Preventable Hospital Admissions and Readmissions and Emergency Department Visits" was selected again due to several factors. General findings and recommendations from the PA Rethinking Care Program (RCP) – Serious Mental Illness (SMI) Innovation Project (RCP-SMI) and Joint PH/BH Readmission projects, as well as overall statewide readmission rates and results from several applicable HEDIS and PA Performance Measures across multiple years have highlighted this topic as an area of concern to be addressed for improvement. For the recently completed Readmissions PIP, several performance measures targeted at examining preventable hospitalizations and ED visits were collected, including measures collected as part of the PH-MCO and BH-MCO Integrated Care Plan (ICP) Program Pay for Performance Program, which was implemented in 2016 to address the needs of individuals with serious persistent mental illness (SPMI). From PIP reporting years 2016 to 2019, results were varied across measures and MCOs. Additionally, from 2017 to 2019, the ICP performance measures targeting the SPMI population showed inconsistent trends and little to no improvement in reducing hospitalizations and ED visits.

Research continues to indicate multiple factors that can contribute to preventable admissions and readmissions as well as the link between readmissions and mental illness. Additionally, within PA, there are existing initiatives that lend themselves to integration of care and targeting preventable hospitalizations, and can potentially be leveraged for applicable interventions. The Patient-Centered Medical Home (PCMH) model of patient care, which focuses on the whole person, taking both the individual's PH and BH into account, has been added to the HealthChoices Agreement. The DHS Quality Care Hospital Assessment Initiative focuses on ensuring access to quality hospital services for PA MA beneficiaries. Under this initiative, the Hospital Quality Incentive Program (HQIP) builds off of existing DHS programs: MCO P4P, Provider P4P within HealthChoices PH, and the ICP Program. It focuses on preventable admissions and provides incentives for annual improvement or against a state benchmark.

Given the PA DHS initiatives that focus on coordination and integration of services and the inconsistent improvement on several metrics, it has become apparent that continued intervention in this area of healthcare for the HealthChoices population is warranted. MCOs were encouraged to develop aim statements for this project that look at reducing potentially avoidable ED visits and hospitalizations, including admissions that are avoidable initial admissions and readmissions that are potentially preventable.

For this PIP, OMAP has required all PH MCOs to submit the following core measures on an annual basis:

- Ambulatory Care (AMB): ED Utilization (HEDIS)
- Inpatient Utilization—General Hospital/Acute Care (IPU): Total Discharges (HEDIS)

- Plan All-Cause Readmissions (PCR HEDIS)
- PH MCOs were given the criteria used to define the SPMI population, and will be collecting each of the following ICP measures using data from their own systems:
 - o Initiation and Engagement of Alcohol and Other Drug Dependence Treatment (MCO Defined)
 - o Emergency Room Utilization for Individuals with SPMI (MCO Defined)
 - o Inpatient Admission Utilization for Individuals with SPMI (MCO Defined)
 - o Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individual with Schizophrenia (MCO Defined)
 - o Inpatient 30-Day Readmission Rate for Individuals with SPMI (MCO Defined)

Additionally, MCOs are expected to expand efforts to address health disparities in their populations. MCOs were instructed to identify race/ethnicity barriers and identify interventions that will be implemented to remediate the barriers identified.

These PIPs will extend from January 2019 through December 2022. With research beginning in 2019, initial PIP proposals were developed and submitted in third quarter 2020, with a final report due in October 2023. The non-intervention baseline period was January 2019 to December 2019. Following the formal PIP proposal, the timeline defined for the PIPs includes interim reports in October 2021 and October 2022, as well as a final report in October 2023. For the current review year, 2021, interim reports were due in October. These proposals underwent initial review by IPRO and feedback was provided to plans, with a timeline to resubmit to address areas of concern.

For each PIP, all PH MCOs shared the same baseline period and timeline defined for that PIP. To introduce each PIP cycle, DHS provided specific guidelines that addressed the PIP submission schedule, the measurement period, documentation requirements, topic selection, study indicators, study design, baseline measurement, interventions, remeasurement, and sustained improvement. Direction was given with regard to expectations for PIP relevance, quality, completeness, resubmissions, and timeliness.

As part of the new EQR PIP cycle that was initiated for all Medicaid MCOs in 2020, IPRO adopted the Lean methodology, following the CMS recommendation that QIOs and other healthcare stakeholders embrace Lean in order to promote continuous quality improvement in healthcare.

All PH MCOs were required to submit their projects using a standardized PIP template form, which is consistent with the CMS protocol for *Conducting Performance Improvement Projects*. These protocols follow a longitudinal format and capture information relating to:

- Activity Selection and Methodology
- Data/Results
- Analysis Cycle
- Interventions

Technical Methods of Data Collection and Analysis

IPRO's validation process begins at the PIP proposal phase and continues through the life of the PIP. During the conduct of the PIPs, IPRO provides technical assistance to each MCO/MCP. The technical assistance includes feedback.

CMS's *Protocol 1. Validation of Performance Improvement Projects* was used as the framework to assess the quality of each PIP, as well as to score the compliance of each PIP with both federal and state requirements. IPRO's assessment involves the following 10 elements:

- 1. Review of the selected study topic(s) for relevance of focus and for relevance to the MCO/MCP's enrollment.
- 2. Review of the study question(s) for clarity of statement.
- 3. Review of the identified study population to ensure it is representative of the MCO/MCP's enrollment and generalizable to the MCO/MCP's total population.

- 4. Review of selected study indicator(s), which should be objective, clear, unambiguous, and meaningful to the focus of the PIP.
- 5. Review of sampling methods (if sampling used) for validity and proper technique.
- 6. Review of the data collection procedures to ensure complete and accurate data were collected.
- 7. Review of the data analysis and interpretation of study results.
- 8. Assessment of the improvement strategies for appropriateness.
- 9. Assessment of the likelihood that reported improvement is "real" improvement.
- 10. Assessment of whether the MCO/MCP achieved sustained improvement.

Following the review of the listed elements, the review findings are considered to determine whether the PIP outcomes should be accepted as valid and reliable.

Scoring elements and methodology are utilized during the intervention and sustainability periods. MYs 2019 and 2020 were the baseline year and proposal year, and during the 2021 review year, elements were reviewed and scored at multiple points during the year once interim reports were submitted in October 2021. All MCOs received some level of guidance towards improving their proposals in these findings, and MCOs responded accordingly with resubmission to correct specific areas.

For each review element, the assessment of compliance is determined through the weighted responses to each review item. Each element carries a separate weight. Scoring for each element is based on full, partial and non-compliance. Points can be awarded for the two phases of the project noted above and combined to arrive at an overall score. The overall score is expressed in terms of levels of compliance. For the current PIPs, compliance levels were assessed, but no formal scoring was provided.

Table 1.1 presents the terminologies used in the scoring process, their respective definitions, and their weight percentage.

Table 1.1: Element Designation

Element Designation									
Element Designation	Definition	Weight							
Full	Met or exceeded the element requirements	100%							
Partial	Met essential requirements but is deficient in some areas	50%							
Non-compliant	Has not met the essential requirements of the element	0%							

When the PIPs are reviewed, all projects are evaluated for the same elements. The scoring matrix is completed for those review elements where activities have occurred during the review year. At the time of the review, a project can be reviewed for only a subset of elements. It will then be evaluated for other elements at a later date, according to the PIP submission schedule. At the time each element is reviewed, a finding is given of "Met", "Partially Met", or "Not Met". Elements receiving a "Met" will receive 100% of the points assigned to the element, "Partially Met" elements will receive 50% of the assigned points, and "Not Met" elements will receive 0%.

Findings

To encourage focus on improving the quality of the projects, PIPs were assessed for compliance on all applicable elements, but were not formally scored. However, the multiple levels of activity and collaboration between DHS, the PH MCOs, and IPRO continued and progressed throughout the implementation of the PIP cycle during the review year.

The Readmission PIP topic was chosen again due to mixed results across MCOs for the current PIP and because the ICP program remains an important initiative. The Opioid PIP was chosen to address the critical issue of increasing opioid use. Following selection of the topics, IPRO worked with DHS to refine the focus and indicators.

For the Readmission PIP, DHS determined that the ICP measures would be defined and collected by the MCOs for the PIP. This was done to address challenges with the previous PIP and to give MCOs more control and increased ability to implement interventions to directly impact their population. Rates for the ICP program are calculated by IPRO annually during late fourth quarter, using PA PROMISe encounters submitted by both the PH MCOs and the BH MCOs. Because the rates are produced late in the year, and because PH MCOs do not have consistent access to BH encounter data, MCOs have experienced some difficulty implementing interventions to have a timely impact on their population. However, to keep the ICP population consistent, MCOs were provided with the methodology used in the program to define members with SPMI. Additionally, as discussions continued around the multiple factors that contribute to preventable admission and readmission, DHS requested that discussion of social determinants of health (SDoH) be included, as the conditions in the places where people live, learn, work, and play affect a wide range of health risks and outcomes; differences in health are striking in communities with poor SDoH.

For the Opioid PIP, in order to develop a comprehensive project, DHS initially selected several measures to focus not only on opioid use but also on measures that might be impacted by changes in opioid use. IPRO researched opioid PIPs in other states and discovered that most attempted to first focus on impacting opioid use metrics. This, coupled with Lean guidance that suggests the use of fewer measures to target interventions and change more directly, led to the selection of HEDIS and CMS opioid-related measures. Upon further internal discussion, DHS wanted to ensure that MCOs were using and incorporating DHS opioid-related initiatives, including the PA Centers of Excellence (COE) for Opioid Use Disorder program and incentives under the DHS Quality Care Hospital Assessment Initiative. To this end, DHS added three process oriented measures related to current PA initiatives.

For both PIPs, in light of the current health crisis and ongoing adverse impacts, DHS required MCOs to expand efforts to address health disparities. For a number of the PIP indicators, the PH MCOs already provide member level data files that are examined by race/ethnicity breakdowns and are part of ongoing quality discussions between DHS and PH MCOs. To expand on this for each PIP project, PH MCOs were instructed that they will need to identify race/ethnicity barriers and identify interventions that will be implemented to remediate the barriers identified.

Throughout 2021, the second year of the cycle, there were several levels of communication provided to MCOs after their Project Proposal submissions and in preparation for their Interim submissions, including:

- MCO-specific review findings for each PIP, including detailed information to assist MCOs in preparing their interim resubmissions.
- Conference calls as requested with each MCO to discuss the PIP interim review findings with key MCO staff assigned to each PIP topic.

In response to the feedback provided. MCOs were requested to revise and resubmit their documents to address the identified issues and to be reviewed again. PIP-specific calls were held with each MCO that experienced continued difficulty, attended by both DHS and IPRO. Additionally, as needed, PA DHS discusses ongoing issues with MCOs as part of their regularly scheduled monitoring calls. As noted above, for the current review year, 2021, MCOs were requested to submit a Project Interim Report, including baseline and updated interim rates. Review teams consisted of one clinical staff member and one analytical staff member. Following initial review, MCOs were asked to update their submission according to the recommendations noted in the findings. **Table A.1.1** of the MCO's interventions for the project can be found in the **Appendix** of this report.

Preventing Inappropriate Use or Overuse of Opioids

Gateway Health's (GH's) baseline proposal demonstrated that the topic reflects high-volume/ high risk conditions for the population under review. The MCO provided statistics that quantified member with OUD and members seeking treatment for OUD, further noting that individuals who seek OUD treatment demonstrate lower ER and hospitalization costs, lower hepatitis C and HIV rates, decreased OD deaths, and increased ability to obtain and maintain employment. The topic rationale could be enhanced by including rates of OUD for members by other demographics, such as race. This was not enhanced in the MCO's October 2021 Interim Report.

GH provided detailed aims and objectives statements, in which they describe the interventions they plan to implement, the targeted populations of the interventions, and how the interventions will improve rates for the performance indicators. GH provided target goals and rationales for the indicators. Target goals were bold for most measures and moderate for the remaining measures.

For the Preventing Inappropriate Use or Overuse of Opioids PIP, seven performance measures were predetermined by DHS and were identified in the template distributed across MCOs, some with multiple indicators. Four measures are to be collected via HEDIS or the CMS Core Set. The remaining three were to be defined by the MCO. MCOs were to include clear definitions for all. For the majority of the performance indicators, the information provided by GH for the measures demonstrates that they are clearly defined and measurable. The indicators measure changes in health status, functional status, and satisfaction or processes of care with strong associations with improved outcomes. GH plans to measure the indicators consistently over time, in order to provide a clear trend with potential actionable information. The MCO is requested to revise the HEDIS indicator references so that they correctly reference the HEDIS Volume 2 technical specifications under HEDIS 2020, instead of HEDIS MY 2020, as the baseline for the PIP is MY 2019. Further, for Performance Indicator 7: Follow-Up Treatment within 7 Days after ED Visit for Opioid Use Disorder, the numerator specifies that it is members who have follow-up visits within 7 days after the ED visit. However, the numerator should specify members who have follow-up treatments and the type of treatment should be included, for example, MAT. GH's Interim Report included changes to this indicator to be follow-up visits. However, the indicator was still defined as follow-up treatment, with treatment still not being indicated as suggested during Proposal review. The MCO's study design specifies data collection methodologies that are valid and reliable, along with robust data analysis procedures.

The MCO's identified barriers for improvement through data analysis and quality improvement processes. GH provided eight robust interventions that target members and providers through active outreach and engagement. Overall, the interventions for this PIP were well thought out: for example, one intervention targets the vulnerable population that experiences an overdose but refuses emergency room care.

In October 2021, GH submitted an Interim report for this project. The MCO further developed the Project Topic by including additional information regarding MCO member data. Despite this additional information, the report did not clarify how the data provided regarding opioid use tie together to support the conclusion that this project topic is supported by member data. It was noted that Aim 4 was removed from the Interim Report.

While the MCO submitted a report with barriers identified and suggestions taken from Proposal review, there was still room for further development of barriers. The plan reviewed interventions, discontinuing those that were found to be ineffective or underutilized. The plan also designed new interventions using lessons learned from the baseline period. Interventions developed were clear, supported by a workgroup analysis performed by the MCO. GH should remain mindful of to include as many members as possible with regards to interventions and reaching stated goals. Some intervention tracking measures (ITM) denominators were very small, indicating that the intervention may not reach a substantial number of members with needs. IPRO is aware that GH intends to expand several interventions with low uptake and/or denominators.

Results were included in the Interim report for all indicators; the MCO improved in 5 of the 7 indicators; target goals were not yet achieved. GH thoroughly discussed factors associated with success and failures in their Discussion section. The MCO utilized the AIMs as a framework to evaluate areas of improvement and success in reaching goals. The MCO was encouraged to review the definitions of external and internal validity in their identification of study limitations. **Table A.1.1** of the MCO's interventions for the project can be found in the **Appendix** of this report.

The following recommendations were identified during the Interim Report review process:

- It was recommended that rates of OUD be split out by race to showcase member data that specifically supports the Project Topic.
- It was recommended that the MCO explore further development of barriers, namely determining root causes, rather than reporting and outcome as a barrier. Examples were provided to the MCO.
- It was recommended that all ITMs with denominator of '0' be revised to be 'N/A'.

• It was recommended that GH include examples, such as ones provided in the report template, to identify factors that threaten internal and external validity to the study.

Reducing Potentially Preventable Hospital Admissions, Readmissions and ED visits

GH's baseline proposal for this PIP topic included baseline rates with the potential for meaningful impact on member health, functional status, and satisfaction for the population at hand. Support was provided to demonstrate that the maximum proportion of members in their population would be impacted by the interventions outlined, supported by member data.

The aim and objectives statements that the MCO provided specified performance indicators for improvement with corresponding goals and objectives that align the aim and goals with the interventions that have been developed. The objectives target members who are high risk—including members with SPMI—and also members with SDoH concerns, substance use disorders, food insecurity, and transportation concerns. GH's target goals range from modest to bold. The target goals are feasible, and the rationale was provided for each.

Similar to the Preventing Inappropriate Use or Overuse of Opioids PIP, for the Reducing Potentially Preventable Hospital Admissions, Readmissions, and ED visits PIP, DHS selected eight performance measures to be included in the PIPs across all MCOs. Three measures are to be collected via HEDIS. The remaining five, all ICP measures, are to be defined by the MCO with certain predetermined parameters. The performance indicators are clearly defined, measurable, and they measure changes in health status, functional status, and satisfaction or processes of care with strong associations with improved outcomes. GH plans to measure the indicators consistently over time, in order to provide a clear trend with potential actionable information. The MCO is requested to revise the HEDIS indicator references so that they correctly reference the HEDIS Volume 2 technical specifications under HEDIS 2020, instead of HEDIS MY 2020, as the baseline for the PIP is MY 2019. This was not completed in the MCO's October 2021 Interim Report. While the acronyms have been added, the references have been changed from HEDIS RY 2020, which is not a reference used in HEDIS, to HEDIS MY 2020. The baseline for the PIP is MY 2019, which was reported for HEDIS 2020 (not HEDIS MY 2020). The MCO's study design specifies data collection methodologies that are valid and reliable, along with robust data analysis procedures.

The barrier analysis and subsequent barriers were identified through workgroup analysis for all of the interventions planned. Note that the MCO should provide robust member and provider interventions to address the identified causes/barriers. As noted in the PIP review, a number of areas need to be addressed to make the interventions more clearly connected to the performance indicators and aims, and to make tracking more effective. For the intervention targeting PCP education and outreach to members without visits in the past 18 months, it is recommended that the MCO use 15 months, instead of 18 months, as by the time the quarterly report is issued and outreach is done, the member may not have seen the PCP in over 21 months. For the intervention using and sharing Admission, Discharge, and Transfer (ADT) to identify and support members with recent ED utilization, the MCO should enhance the intervention by including the timeframe for sharing the reports containing the ADT data. It is also recommended that the sharing of this information is timely, for example 24-48 hours. More specifically, the MCO should also be including the timeframe in which the case manager receives the referral and follows up with the member. For the intervention to educate providers when their patient becomes non-adherent to their antipsychotic medication, it is suggested that the MCO provide more detail regarding prescription adherence, including what steps will be taken after the provider is notified of non-adherence, how to check a member's adherence after being contacted by the provider, and what will be the process to reduce hospitalizations and readmissions once a non-adherent member is identified. For the intervention to supply meals to members who screen positive for food insecurity while in ED or inpatient care, the MCO should provide more information on the meal distribution plan, including how meals will be supplied to the members post discharge. Additionally, the MCO should consider members who are homeless and how they will be able to receive meals.

In October 2021, GH submitted an interim report for this project. The MCO provided an updated Project Topic section which included MCO-specific risk discussion and explanations regarding why there is an opportunity for improvement in this area for GH. The Aim statement developed by the MCO does not explicitly include the interventions that track medication adherence for providers (Indicator 5) or provide integrated care planning for members with SMI/SUD

(Indicator 6). To be consistent with the Methodology developed, Indicator 4 should have 2 different denominators to be consistent with the Methodology (Initiation and Engagement). Alternatively, it was noted that the Methodology could be adapted to the single denominator. Indicator 5 and 6 denominators were reported as the same, with numerators in the same range. The MCO was encouraged to review these to ensure validity. GH used Pennsylvania Performance measures in lieu of HEDIS measures for Indicators 5, 6 and 8, and updated eligibility requirements for all HEDIS measures to define the eligible populations more clearly.

Comprehensive review of the MCO's identified barriers and undergone interventions took place during Interim review. While GH did modify denominators and numerators as requested during Proposal review, interventions were not enhanced in light of very low rates across several ITMs. The MCO was provided with specific guidance regarding improvements and clarifications for their barriers, interventions, and ITMs. Results were included in the Interim report for all indicators. However, performance improvement could not be evaluated due to some inconsistencies in reporting metrics. GH was encouraged to consistently report target rates and reported rates for the indicators. Examples were provided to the MCO.

In its Discussion section, the MCO was encouraged to review the definitions of external and internal validity in their identification of study limitations. **Table A.1.1** of the MCO's interventions for the project can be found in the **Appendix** of this report.

The following recommendations were identified during the Interim Report review process:

- It was recommended that the MCO include corrected references to HEDIS in the report's Methodology section. They are currently referring to the incorrect baseline period, MY 2020 rather than MY 2019.
- It was recommended that the MCO revise Indicator 4 to include two denominators, an Initiation and Engagement denominator.
- It was recommended that the MCO explore further development of barriers, namely determining root causes. Examples were provided to the MCO.
- It was recommended that GH include examples, such as ones provided in the report template, to identify factors that threaten internal and external validity to the study.

GH's Project Interim compliance assessment by review element is presented in Table 1.2.

Table 1.2: GH PIP Compliance Assessments

Review Element	Preventing Inappropriate Use or Overuse of Opioids	Reducing Potentially Preventable Hospital Admissions, Readmissions and ED visits			
1. Project Topic	Met	Met			
2. Methodology	Met	Met			
Barrier Analysis, Interventions and Monitoring	Partial	Partial			
4. Results	Met	Met			
5. Discussion	Partial	Partial			
6. Next Steps	N/A	N/A			
7. Validity and Reliability of PIP Results	N/A	N/A			

PIP: performance improvement project; ED: emergency department.

II: Performance Measures and CAHPS Survey

Objectives

IPRO validated PA-specific performance measures and HEDIS data for each of the Medicaid PH MCOs.

The MCOs were provided with final specifications for the PA Performance Measures from December 2020 to June 2021. Source code, raw data, and rate sheets were submitted by the MCOs to IPRO for review in 2021. A staggered submission was implemented for the performance measures. IPRO conducted an initial validation of each measure including source code review and provided each MCO with formal written feedback. The MCOs were then given the opportunity for resubmission, if necessary, with a limit of four total submissions. Additional resubmissions required discussion with and approval from DHS. Pseudo code was reviewed by IPRO. Raw data were also reviewed for reasonability, and IPRO ran code against these data to validate that the final reported rates were accurate. Additionally, MCOs were provided with comparisons to the previous year's rates and were requested to provide explanations for highlighted differences. For measures reported as percentages, differences were highlighted for rates that were statistically significant and displayed at least a 3-percentage point difference in observed rates. For measures not reported as percentages (e.g., adult admission measures), differences were highlighted based only on statistical significance, with no minimum threshold.

For the PA performance Birth-related measure, Elective Delivery, rates are typically produced utilizing MCO Birth files in addition to the final Department of Health Birth File. IPRO requested, from each MCO, information on members with a live birth within the measurement year. IPRO would then typically utilize the MCO file in addition to the most recent applicable PA Department of Health Birth File to identify the denominator, numerator, and rate for the measure. However, due to issues with the COVID-19 pandemic the final 2021 (MY 2020) Department of Health Birth File was not available at the time of reporting. This measure was not reported and is therefore not included in this section.

HEDIS MY 2020 measures were validated through a standard HEDIS compliance audit of each PH MCO. The audit protocol includes pre-onsite review of the HEDIS Roadmap, onsite interviews with staff and a review of systems, and post-onsite validation of the Interactive Data Submission System (IDSS). For HEDIS MY 2020, audit activities were performed virtually due to the public health emergency. A Final Audit Report was submitted to NCQA for each MCO. Because the PA-specific performance measures rely on the same systems and staff, no separate review was necessary for validation of PA-specific measures. IPRO conducts a thorough review and validation of source code, data, and submitted rates for the PA-specific measures.

Evaluation of MCO performance is based on both PA-specific performance measures and selected HEDIS measures for the EQR. It is DHS's practice to report all first-year performance measures for informational purposes. Relevant context regarding reported rates or calculated averages is provided as applicable, including any observed issues regarding implementation, reliability, or variability among MCOs. Additional discussion regarding MCO rates that differ notably from other MCOs will be included in the MCO-specific findings as applicable. A list of the performance measures included in this year's EQR report is presented in **Table 2.1**.

Table 2.1: Performance Measure Groupings

Source	Measures							
Access/Availability to Care								
HEDIS	Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Ages 20–44 years)							
HEDIS	Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Ages 45–64 years)							
HEDIS	Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Ages 65+ years)							
PA EQR	Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (Ages 1 to 11)							
PA EQR	Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (Ages 12 to 17)							
PA EQR	Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (Total Ages 1 to 17)							
Well-Care Visit	s and Immunizations							
HEDIS	Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months of Life (15 months <u>></u> 6 Visits)							
HEDIS	Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months of Life (15 to 30 months ≥2 visits)							
HEDIS	Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Ages 3 to 11 years)							

HEDIS Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Ages 12 to 17 years) HEDIS Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Ages 18 to 21 years) HEDIS Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Ages 18 to 21 years) HEDIS Child muminations Status (Combination 2) HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 2) HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 3) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass index: Percentile (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass index: Percentile (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 1–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 1–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 1–12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 1–12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 1–12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Ac	Source	Measures
HEDIS Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Ages 18 to 21 years) HEDIS Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Total) HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 2) HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 3) HEDIS Welght Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 3–11 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 3–11 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 3–11 years) HEDIS Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weigh		
HEDIS Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Total) HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 2) HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 2) HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 3) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Initiation Phase Follow-up Acte for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Continuation and Maintena		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 2) HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 3) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 13–12 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 13–12 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 13–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescent		
HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 3) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 3–11 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Are for Enderson Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Main		
HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Counseling for For Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Atten		
HEDIS Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Pollow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Initiation Phase Pollow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Continuation and Maintenance Phase Pollow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medicati		
HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR PA EQR PA EQR PA EQR PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 years PA EQR PA EQR PA EQR POWElopmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 years PA EQR PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other D	HEDIS	
HEDIS Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Total) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Lead Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 years PA EQR PA EQR PA EQR PA EQR PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, f	HEDIC	
HEDIS Mass Index: Percentile (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3—11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12—17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12—17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3—11 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12—17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR PO Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow	HEDIS	
HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Nutrition (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department	LIEDIS	Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body
HEDIS Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Nutrition (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Depe	періз	Mass Index: Percentile (Total)
HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Nutrition (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR PO Evelopmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up wit	HEDIS	Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—
HEDIS Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Phyticion (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR PO Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 53 and older—ED vis	ПЕДІЗ	
HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Nutrition (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 3	HEDIS	Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—
HEDIS Counseling for Nutrition (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 70 days) Follow-up After Emergency Depart	TILDIS	
HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Poevelopmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR POEVElopmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit	HEDIS	
HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR POWN parter Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or depe	TIEDIS	
HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependenc	HEDIS	
HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emerg	112013	
Led Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents— Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR PA EQR PO Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR PO Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alco	HEDIS	
HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD		
Counseling for Physical Activity (10tal) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up with	HEDIS	, , ,
HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days)		
HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days)		
HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (A		
HEDIS Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	HEDIS	
HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	HEDIS	
Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental		
PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	HEDIS	
Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental		
Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR PA EQR PO Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	PA EQR	
PA EQR PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR		·
PA EQR PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	PA EQR	
PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR P	DA F∩R	·
PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental Follow-up Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental Follow-up Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for Mental Illness (A	-	
PA EQR POllow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental PA FOR		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental		
PA EQR Illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental		
PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	PA EQR	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental		
PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	PA EQR	
PA EQR PA EQR 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	PA EQR	
PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental		
PA EQR 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental		
PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	PA EQR	
65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	DA 505	
Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	PA EQR	
illness, follow-up within 30 days)	DA EOD	
	PA EUK	illness, follow-up within 30 days)

Source	Measures
	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages:
PA EQR	65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days)
DA FOR	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental
PA EQR	illness, follow-up within 7 days)
Dental Care fo	r Children and Adults
HEDIS	Annual Dental Visit (Ages 2–20 years)
PA EQR	Annual Dental Visits for Members with Developmental Disabilities (Ages 2–20 years)
PA EQR	Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars (≥ 1 molar)
PA EQR	Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars (All 4 molars)
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 21–35 years)
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 36–59 years)
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 60–64 years)
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 65 years and older)
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 21 years and older)
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit: Women with a Live Birth (Ages 21–35 years)
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit: Women with a Live Birth (Ages 36–59 years)
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit: Women with a Live Birth (Ages 21–59 years)
Women's Hea	lth
HEDIS	Breast Cancer Screening (Ages 50–74 years)
HEDIS	Cervical Cancer Screening (Ages 21–64 years)
HEDIS	Chlamydia Screening in Women (Total)
HEDIS	Chlamydia Screening in Women (Ages 16–20 years)
HEDIS	Chlamydia Screening in Women (Ages 21–24 years)
HEDIS	Non-Recommended Cervical Cancer Screening in Adolescent Females
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of most or moderately effective contraception (Ages 15 to 20)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of LARC (Ages 15 to 20)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of most or moderately effective contraception (Ages 21 to
	44)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of LARC (Ages 21 to 44)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception—3 days (Ages 15 to 20)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception—60 days (Ages 15 to 20)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC—3 days (Ages 15 to 20)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC—60 days (Ages 15 to 20)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception—3 days (Ages
	21 to 44)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception—60 days
	(Ages 21 to 44)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC—3 days (Ages 21 to 44)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC—60 days (Ages 21 to 44)
Obstetric and	
HEDIS	Prenatal and Postpartum Care—Timeliness of Prenatal Care
HEDIS	Prenatal and Postpartum Care—Postpartum Care
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for Smoking
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for Smoking during one of the first two visits (CHIPRA indicator)

Source	Measures
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for
ra LQN	Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposure (ETS)
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Counseling
1712Q11	for Smoking
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Counseling
PAEQK	for Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposure (ETS)
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Smoking
PALQN	Cessation
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening for Depression
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening for Depression during one of the first two visits
PALQN	(CHIPRA indicator)
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening Positive for Depression
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Counseling for Depression
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Postpartum Screening for Depression
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Postpartum Screening Positive for Depression
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Postpartum Counseling for Depression
Respiratory Co	onditions
HEDIS	Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Ages 3- 17 years)
HEDIS	Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Ages 18-64 years)
HEDIS	Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Ages 65 years and older)
HEDIS	Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Total)
HEDIS	Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection (Ages 3 months – 17 years)
HEDIS	Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection (Ages 18-64 years)
HEDIS	Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection (Ages 65 years and older)
HEDIS	Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection (Total)
HEDIS	Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Ages 3 months-17 years)
HEDIS	Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Ages 18-64 years)
HEDIS	Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Ages 65 years and older)
HEDIS	Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Total)
HEDIS	Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation—Systemic Corticosteroid
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation—Bronchodilator
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (5–11 years)
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (3–11 years) Asthma Medication Ratio (12–18 years)
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (12–18 years) Asthma Medication Ratio (19–50 years)
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (19–30 years) Asthma Medication Ratio (51–64 years)
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (51–64 years) Asthma Medication Ratio (Total)
періз	Asthma in Children and Younger Adults Admission Rate (Ages 2–17 years)—Admission per 100,000
PA EQR	member months
PA EQR	Asthma in Children and Younger Adults Admission Rate (Ages 18–39 years)—Admission per 100,000 member months
PA EQR	Asthma in Children and Younger Adults Admission Rate (Total Ages 2–39 years)—Admission per 100,000
	member months Chaptia Obstructive Pulmanary Disease or Asthmas in Older Adulta Admission Pate (Ages 40 to 64)
PA EQR	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Ages 40 to 64
	years)—Admission per 100,000 member months Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Arthma in Older Adults Admission Pate (Ages 65 years and
PA EQR	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Ages 65 years and
. / LQI	older)—Admission per 100,000 member months
PA EQR	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Total 40+ years)— Admission per 100,000 member months

Source	Measures
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Testing
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Poor Control (> 9.0%)
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Control (< 8.0%)
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care: Retinal Eye Exam
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care: Blood Pressure Controlled < 140/90 mm Hg
	Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Ages 18–64 years)—Admission per 100,000
PA EQR	member months
DA 50D	Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Ages 65+ years)—Admission per 100,000 member
PA EQR	months
DA 50D	Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Total Ages 18+ years)—Admission per 100,000
PA EQR	member months
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Diabetes: Received Statin Therapy
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Diabetes: Statin Adherence 80%
21.502	Diabetes Care for People with Serious Mental Illness: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (> 9.0%)
PA EQR	(Ages Cohort: 18–64 Years of Ages)
24.502	Diabetes Care for People with Serious Mental Illness: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (> 9.0%)
PA EQR	(Ages Cohort: 65–75 Years of Ages)
HEDIS	Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients With Diabetes (18–64 years)
HEDIS	Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients With Diabetes (65–74 years)
HEDIS	Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients With Diabetes (75–85 years)
HEDIS	Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients With Diabetes (Total Ages 18–85 years)
Cardiovascular	
HEDIS	Persistence of Beta-Blocker Treatment After Heart Attack
HEDIS	Controlling High Blood Pressure (Total Rate)
PA EQR	Heart Failure Admission Rate (Ages 18–64 years)—Admission per 100,000 member months
PA EQR	Heart Failure Admission Rate (Ages 65+ years)—Admission per 100,000 member months
PA EQR	Heart Failure Admission Rate (Total Ages 18+ years)—Admission per 100,000 member months
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Received Statin Therapy 21–75 years (Male)
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Received Statin Therapy 40–75 years (Female)
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Received Statin Therapy Total Rate
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Statin Adherence 80%—21–75 years (Male)
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Statin Adherence 80%—40–75 years (Female)
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Statin Adherence 80%—Total Rate
HEDIS	Cardiovascular Monitoring for People With Cardiovascular Disease and Schizophrenia
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Initiation >2 visits in 30 days (Ages 18–64 years)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Initiation >2 visits in 30 days (Ages 65 years and older)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Initiation >2 visits in 30 days (Total 18 years and older)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 1 > 12 visits in 90 days (Ages 18–64 years)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 1 > 12 visits in 90 days (Ages 65 years and older)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 1 > 12 visits in 90 days (Total 18 years and older)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 2 >24 visits in 180 days (Ages 18–64 years)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 2 > 24 visits in 180 days (Ages 65 years and older)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 2 > 24 visits in 180 days (Total 18 years and older)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Achievement >36 visits in 180 days (Ages 18–64 years)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Achievement >36 visits in 180 days (Ages 65 years and older)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Achievement >36 visits in 180 days (Ages 03 years and older)
Utilization	Carada henabilitation Achievement >30 visits in 100 days (10tal 10 years and older)
HEDIS	Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia
PA EQR	Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia (BH Enhanced)
FA EUN	Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with schizophilellia (BE Ellianced)

Source	Measures
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Ages 1–11
1123.3	years)
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Ages 12–
112013	17 years)
	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Total
HEDIS	Ages 1–17 years)
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Cholesterol Testing (Ages 1–11
112013	years)
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Cholesterol Testing (Ages 12–17
112515	years)
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Cholesterol Testing (Total Ages
1123.3	1–17 years)
	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol
HEDIS	Testing (Ages 1–11 years)
	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol
HEDIS	Testing (Ages 12–17 years)
	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol
HEDIS	Testing (Total Ages 1–17 years)
HEDIS	Use of Opioids at High Dosage
HEDIS	Use of Opioids from Multiple Providers (4 or more prescribers)
HEDIS	Use of Opioids from Multiple Providers (4 or more pharmacies)
HEDIS	Use of Opioids from Multiple Providers (4 or more prescribers & pharmacies)
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use—New Episode Lasts at Least 15 Days (Ages 18–64 years)
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use—New Episode Lasts at Least 15 Days (Ages 65 years and older)
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use—New Episode Lasts at Least 15 Days (Total Ages 18 years and older)
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use—New Episode Lasts at Least 31 Days (Ages 18–64 years)
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use—New Episode Lasts at Least 31 Days (Ages 65 years and older)
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use—New Episode Lasts at Least 31 Days (Total Ages 18 years and older)
PA EQR	Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines (Ages 18–64 years)
PA EQR	Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines (Ages 65 years and older)
PA EQR	Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines (Total Ages 18 years and older)
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Ages 16–64 years)
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Ages 65+ years)
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Total Ages 16+ years)
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Total)
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Buprenorphine)
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Oral Naltrexone)
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Long-Acting, Injectable Naltrexone)
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Methadone)
Utilization (Cor	
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Count of Index Hospital Stays (IHS)—Total Stays (Ages Total)
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Count of 30-Day Readmissions—Total Stays (Ages Total)
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Observed Readmission Rate—Total Stays (Ages Total)
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Expected Readmission Rate—Total Stays (Ages Total)
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Observed to Expected Readmission Ratio—Total Stays (Ages Total)

PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set.

PA-Specific and CMS Core Set Performance Measure Selection and Descriptions

Several PA-specific performance measures were calculated by each MCO and validated by IPRO. In accordance with DHS direction, IPRO created the indicator specifications to resemble HEDIS specifications. Measures previously developed and added, as mandated by CMS for children in accordance with the Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act (CHIPRA) and for adults in accordance with the Affordable Care Act (ACA), were continued as applicable to revised CMS specifications. Additionally, new measures were developed and added in 2021 as mandated in accordance with the CMS specifications. The CMS measures are known as Core Set measures and are indicated below for children and adults. For each indicator, the eligible population is identified by product line, age, enrollment, anchor date, and event/diagnosis. Administrative numerator positives are identified by date of service, diagnosis/procedure code criteria, as well as other specifications, as needed. For 2021 (MY 2020), these performance measure rates were calculated through one of two methods: (1) administrative, which uses only the MCO's data systems to identify numerator positives and (2) hybrid, which uses a combination of administrative data and medical record review (MRR) to identify numerator "hits" for rate calculation.

A number of performance measures require the inclusion of PH and BH services. Due to the separation of PH and BH services for Medicaid, DHS requested that IPRO utilize encounters submitted by all PH and BH MCOs to DHS via the PROMISe encounter data system to ensure both types of services were included, as necessary. For some measures, IPRO enhanced PH data submitted by MCOs with BH PROMISe encounter data, while for other measures, IPRO collected and reported the measures using PROMISe encounter data for both the BH and PH data required.

PA-Specific and CMS Core Set Administrative Measures

Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics—CHIPRA Core Set

This performance measure assesses the percentage of children and adolescents 1 to 17 years of age who had a new prescription for an antipsychotic medication and had documentation of psychosocial care as first-line treatment. This measure was collected and reported by IPRO using PROMISe encounter data for the required BH and PH data.

Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—CHIPRA Core Set DHS enhanced this measure using behavioral health (BH) encounter data contained in IPRO's encounter data warehouse. IPRO evaluated this measure using HEDIS 2021 Medicaid member-level data submitted by the PH MCO.

This performance measure assesses the percentage of children newly prescribed attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) medication who had at least three follow-up care visits within a 10-month period, one of which was within 30 days from the time the first ADHD medication was dispensed. Two rates are reported:

- Initiation Phase—The percentage of children 6 to 12 years old as of the Index Prescription Start Date (IPSD) with an ambulatory prescription dispensed for ADHD medication that had one follow-up visit with a practitioner with prescribing authority during the 30-day Initiation Phase.
- Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase—The percentage of children 6 to 12 years old as of the IPSD with an ambulatory prescription dispensed for ADHD medication, who remained on the medication for at least 210 days and, who in addition to the visit in the Initiation Phase, had at least two follow-up visits with a practitioner within 270 days (9 months) after the Initiation Phase ended.

Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—CHIPRA Core Set

This performance measure assesses the percentage of children screened for risk of developmental, behavioral, and social delays using a standardized screening tool in the 12 months preceding or on their first, second, or third birthday. Four rates—one for each age group and a combined rate—are calculated and reported.

Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental illness—Adult Core Set

This performance measure assesses the percentage of emergency department (ED) visits for members 18 years of age and older with a principal diagnosis of mental illness or intentional self-harm and who had a follow-up visit with a corresponding principal diagnosis for mental illness. This measure was collected and reported by IPRO using PROMISe encounter data for the required BH and PH data. Two rates are reported:

- The percentage of ED visits for mental illness for which the member received follow-up within 7 days of the ED visit (8 total days); and
- The percentage of ED visits for mental illness for which the member received follow-up within 30 days of the ED visit (31 total days).

Per the CMS specifications, rates are reported for age cohorts 18 to 64 and 65 and older.

Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence—Adult Core Set

This performance measure assesses the percentage of emergency department (ED) visits for members 18 years of age and older with a principal diagnosis of alcohol or other drug (AOD) abuse or dependence and who had a follow-up visit with a corresponding principal diagnosis for AOD abuse or dependence. This measure was collected and reported by IPRO using PROMISe encounter data for the required BH and PH data. Two rates are reported:

- The percentage of ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence for which the member received follow-up within 7 days of the ED visit (8 total days); and
- The percentage of ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence for which the member received follow-up within 30 days of the ED visit (31 total days).

Per the CMS specifications, rates are reported for age cohorts 18 to 64 and 65 and older.

Annual Dental Visits for Enrollees with Developmental Disabilities—PA-specific

This performance measure assesses the percentage of enrollees with a developmental disability age 2 through 20 years of age who were continuously enrolled and had at least one dental visit during the measurement year. This indicator utilizes the HEDIS MY 2020 measure Annual Dental Visit (ADV).

Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars—CHIPRA Core Set — New for 2021

This performance measure assesses the percentage of enrolled children who have ever received sealants on permanent first molar teeth and turned 10 years old during the measurement year. Two rates are reported:

- The percentage of enrolled children who received a sealant on at least one permanent first molar in the 48 months prior to their 10th birthday; and
- The percentage of unduplicated enrolled children who received sealants on all four permanent first molars in the 48 months prior to their 10th birthday.

Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years—PA-specific

This performance measure assesses two indicators:

- The percentage of enrollees 21 years of age and above who were continuously enrolled during the calendar year 2020. Five rates will be reported: one for each of the four age cohorts (21–35, 36–59, 60–64, and 65+ years) and a total rate.
- The percentage of women 21 years of age and older with a live birth that had at least one dental visit during the measurement year. Three rates will be reported for Indicator 2: one for each of the two age cohorts for women with a live birth (21—39 and 40—59 years) and a total rate.

Contraceptive Care for All Women Ages 15–44—CMS Core Measure

This performance measure assesses the percentage of women ages 15 to 44 at risk of unintended pregnancy who were provided a most effective/moderately effective contraception method or a long-acting reversible method of contraception (LARC). Four rates are reported—two rates for each of the age groups (15–20 and 21–44): (1) provision of most or moderately effective contraception, and (2) provision of LARC.

Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women Ages 15-44—CMS Core Measure

This performance measure assesses the percentage of women ages 15 to 44 who had a live birth and were provided a most effective/moderately effective contraception method or a long-acting reversible method of contraception (LARC) within 3 days and within 60 days of delivery. Eight rates are reported—four rates for each of the age groups (15–20 and

21–44): (1) Most or moderately effective contraception—3 days, (2) Most or moderately effective contraception—60 days, (3) LARC—3 days, and (4) LARC—60 days.

Asthma in Children and Younger Adults Admission Rate—Adult Core Set and PA-specific

This performance measure assesses the number of discharges for asthma in enrollees ages 2 years to 39 years per 100,000 Medicaid member months. Three age groups are reported: ages 2–17 years, ages 18–39 years, and total ages 2–39 years.

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate—Adult Core Set

This performance measure assesses the number of discharges for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or asthma for Medicaid members 40 years and older per 100,000 member months. Three age groups are reported: ages 40–64 years, age 65 years and older, and 40+ years.

Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate—Adult Core Set

This performance measure assesses the number of discharges for diabetes short-term complications (ketoacidosis, hyperosmolarity, or coma) in adults 18 years and older per 100,000 Medicaid member months. Three age groups are reported: ages 18–64 years, age 65 years and older, and 18+ years.

Diabetes Care for People with Serious Mental Illness: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (> 9.0%)—Adult Core Set This performance measure assesses the percentage of beneficiaries ages 18 to 75 with a serious mental illness and diabetes (type 1 and type 2) whose most recent Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) level during the measurement years was > 9.0%. This measure was collected and reported by IPRO using PROMISe encounter data for the required BH and PH data.

Heart Failure Admission Rate—Adult Core Set

This performance measure assesses the number of discharges for heart failure in adults 18 years and older per 100,000 Medicaid member months. Three age groups are reported: ages 18–64 years, ages 65 years and older, and 18+ years.

Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia—Adult Core Set

This performance measure assesses the percentage of members 18 years of age and older with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder who were dispensed and remained on an antipsychotic medication for at least 80% of their treatment period during the measurement year. Members in hospice are excluded from the eligible population.

DHS enhanced this measure using behavioral health (BH) encounter data contained in IPRO's encounter data warehouse.

Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines—Adult Core Set

This performance measure assesses the percentage of members 18 years of age and above with concurrent use of prescription opioids and benzodiazepines. Three age groups are reported: ages 18–64 years, age 65 years and older, and 18+ years.

Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder—Adult Core Set

This performance measure assesses the percentage of members ages 18 to 64 with an opioid use disorder who filled a prescription for or were administered or dispensed an FDA-approved medication for the disorder during the measurement year. Five rates are reported: a total rate including any medications used in medication-assisted treatment of opioid dependence and addiction, and four separate rates representing the following FDA-approved drug products: (1) buprenorphine; (2) oral naltrexone; (3) long-acting, injectable naltrexone; and (4) methadone.

PA Specific Hybrid Measures

Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit—PA-specific

This performance measure assesses the percentage of pregnant enrollees who were:

- 1. Screened for smoking during the time frame of one of their first two prenatal visits or during the time frame of their first two visits on or following initiation of eligibility with the MCO.
- 2. Screened for smoking during the time frame of one of their first two prenatal visits (CHIPRA indicator).
- 3. Screened for environmental tobacco smoke exposure during the time frame of one of their first two prenatal visits or during the time frame of their first two visits on or following initiation of eligibility with the MCO.
- 4. Screened for smoking in one of their first two prenatal visits who smoke (i.e., smoked six months prior to or anytime during the current pregnancy), that were given counseling/advice or a referral during the time frame of any prenatal visit during pregnancy.
- 5. Screened for environmental tobacco smoke exposure in one of their first two prenatal visits and found to be exposed, that were given counseling/advice or a referral during the time frame of any prenatal visit during pregnancy.
- 6. Screened for smoking in one of their first two prenatal visits and found to be current smokers (i.e., smoked at the time of one of their first two prenatal visits) that stopped smoking during their pregnancy.

This performance measure uses components of the HEDIS MY 2020 Prenatal and Postpartum Care Measure.

Perinatal Depression Screening—PA-specific

This performance measure assesses the percentage of enrollees who were:

- 1. Screened for depression during a prenatal care visit.
- 2. Screened for depression during a prenatal care visit using a validated depression screening tool.
- 3. Screened for depression during the time frame of the first two prenatal care visits (CHIPRA indicator).
- 4. Screened positive for depression during a prenatal care visit.
- 5. Screened positive for depression during a prenatal care visit and had evidence of further evaluation, treatment, or referral for further treatment.
- 6. Screened for depression during a postpartum care visit.
- 7. Screened for depression during a postpartum care visit using a validated depression screening tool.
- 8. Screened positive for depression during a postpartum care visit.
- 9. Screened positive for depression during a postpartum care visit and had evidence of further evaluation, treatment, or referral for further treatment.

This performance measure uses components of the HEDIS MY 2020 Prenatal and Postpartum Care Measure.

HEDIS Performance Measure Selection and Descriptions

Each MCO underwent a full HEDIS compliance audit in 2021. As indicated previously, performance on selected HEDIS measures is included in this year's EQR report. Development of HEDIS measures and the clinical rationale for their inclusion in the HEDIS measurement set can be found in HEDIS MY 2020, Volume 2 Narrative. The measurement year for the HEDIS measures is 2020, as well as prior years for selected measures. Each year, DHS updates its requirements for the MCOs to be consistent with NCQA's requirement for the reporting year. MCOs are required to report the complete set of Medicaid measures, excluding behavioral health and chemical dependency measures, as specified in the HEDIS Technical Specifications, Volume 2. In addition, DHS does not require the MCOs to produce the Chronic Conditions component of the CAHPS 5.1H—Child Survey.

Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services

This measure assesses the percentage of members 20 years and older who had an ambulatory or preventive care visit during the measurement year (for Medicaid or Medicare). The following age groups are reported: 20–44, 45–64, and 65+.

Adult Body Mass Index (BMI) Assessment

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18–74 years of age who had an outpatient visit and whose body mass index (BMI) was documented during the measurement year or the year prior to the measurement year.

Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months of Life – New for 2021

This measure assesses the percentage of members who turned 30 months old during the measurement year, who were continuously enrolled from 31 days of age through 30 months of age, and who:

- Received six or more well-child visits with a PCP during their first 15 months of life; and
- Received two or more well-child visits for age 15 months-30 months of life.

Childhood Immunization Status (Combos 2 and 3)

This measure assesses the percentage of children who turned 2 years of age in the measurement year, who were continuously enrolled for the 12 months preceding their second birthday, and who received one or both of two immunization combinations on or before their second birthday. Separate rates were calculated for each Combination. Combination 2 and Combination 3 consist of the following immunizations:

- (4) Diphtheria, Tetanus, and Acellular Pertussis Vaccine/Diphtheria and Tetanus (DTaP/DT);
- (3) Injectable Polio Vaccine (IPV);
- (1) Measles, Mumps, and Rubella (MMR);
- (3) Haemophilus Influenza Type B (HiB);
- (3) Hepatitis B (HepB);
- (1) Chicken Pox (VZV); and
- (4) Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine (PCV)—Combination 3 only.

Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits - New for 2021

This measure assesses the percentage of enrolled members 3–21 years of age who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a PCP or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.

Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents

This measure assesses the percentage of members 3–17 years of age, who had an outpatient visit with a PCP or OB/GYN, and who had evidence of the following during the measurement year:

- BMI percentile documentation;
- Counseling for nutrition; and
- Counseling for physical activity.

Because BMI norms for youth vary with age and gender, this measure evaluates whether BMI percentile is assessed rather than an absolute BMI value.

Immunization for Adolescents (Combo 1)

This measure assesses the percentage of adolescents 13 years of age who had one dose of meningococcal conjugate vaccine and one tetanus, diphtheria toxoids, and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccine by their 13th birthday.

Lead Screening in Children

This measure assesses the percentage of children 2 years of age who had one or more capillary or venous lead blood tests for lead poisoning by their second birthday.

Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication

This measure assesses the percentage of children newly prescribed attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) medication who had at least three follow-up care visits within a 10-month period, one of which was within 30 days of when the first ADHD medication was dispensed. Two rates are reported:

- Initiation Phase—The percentage of members 6–12 years of age as of the IPSD with an ambulatory prescription dispensed for ADHD medication who had one follow-up visit with practitioner with prescribing authority during the 30-day Initiation Phase.
- Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase—The percentage of members 6–12 years of age as of the IPSD with an ambulatory prescription dispensed for ADHD medication who remained on the medication for at

least 210 days and who, in addition to the visit in the Initiation Phase, had at least two follow-up visits with a practitioner within 270 days (9 months) after the Initiation Phase ended.

Annual Dental Visit

This measure assesses the percentage of children and adolescents 2–20 years of age who were continuously enrolled in the MCO for the measurement year and who had at least one dental visit during the measurement year.

Breast Cancer Screening

This measure assesses the percentage of women ages 50-74 who had a mammogram to screen for breast cancer.

The eligible population for this measure is women 52–74 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year. Members are included in the numerator if they had one or more mammograms any time on or between October 1 in the 2 years prior to the measurement year and December 31 of the measurement year. Eligible members who received mammograms beginning at age 50 are included in the numerator.

Cervical Cancer Screening

This measure assesses the percentage of women 21–64 years of age who were screened for cervical cancer using any of the following criteria:

- Women ages 21–64 who had cervical cytology performed within the last 3 years;
- Women ages 30–64 who had cervical high-risk human papillomavirus (hrHPV) testing performed within the last 5 years; or
- Women ages 30–64 who had cervical cytology/high-risk human papillomavirus (hrHPV) co-testing within the last 5 years.

Chlamydia Screening in Women

This measure assesses the percentage of women 16–24 years of age who were identified as sexually active and who had at least one test for chlamydia during the measurement year. Three age cohorts are reported: 16–20 years, 21–24 years, and total.

Non-Recommended Cervical Cancer Screening in Adolescent Females

This measure assesses the percentage of adolescent females 16–20 years of age who were screened unnecessarily for cervical cancer. For this measure, a lower rate indicates better performance.

Prenatal and Postpartum Care

This measure assesses the percentage of deliveries of live births on or between October 8 of the year prior to the measurement year and October 7 of the measurement year. For these women, the measure assesses the following facets of prenatal and postpartum care:

- Timeliness of Prenatal Care—The percentage of deliveries that received a prenatal care visit in the first trimester, on or before the enrollment start date or within 42 days of enrollment in the organization; and
- Postpartum Care—The percentage of deliveries that had a postpartum visit on or between 7 and 84 days after delivery.

Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis

This measure assesses the percentage of episodes for members 3 years and older for which the member was diagnosed with pharyngitis, dispensed an antibiotic, and received a group A streptococcus (strep) test for the episode. A higher rate represents better performance (i.e., appropriate testing). The total rate is reported.

Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection

This measure assesses the percentage of episodes for members 3 months of age and older with a diagnosis of upper respiratory infection (URI) that did not result in an antibiotic dispensing event. The measure is reported as an inverted rate (1 – [numerator/eligible population]). A higher rate indicates appropriate treatment of children with URI (i.e., the proportion for whom antibiotics were not prescribed). The total rate is reported.

Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis

This measure assesses the percentage of episodes for members ages 3 months and older with a diagnosis of acute bronchitis/bronchiolitis that did not result in an antibiotic dispensing event. The measure is reported as an inverted rate (1 – [numerator/eligible population]). A higher rate indicates appropriate treatment of adults with acute bronchitis (i.e., the proportion for whom antibiotics were not prescribed). The total rate is reported.

Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

This measure assesses the percentage of members 40 years of age and older with a new diagnosis of COPD or newly active COPD who received appropriate spirometry testing to confirm the diagnosis.

Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

This measure assesses the percentage of COPD exacerbations for members 40 years of age and older who had an acute inpatient discharge or ED visit on or between January 1 and November 30 of the measurement year and who were dispensed appropriate medications. Two rates are reported:

- Dispensed a systemic corticosteroid (or there was evidence of an active prescription) within 14 days of the event; and
- Dispensed a bronchodilator (or there was evidence of an active prescription) within 30 days of the event.

Asthma Medication Ratio

This measure assesses the percentage of members 5–64 years of age who were identified as having persistent asthma and had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater during the measurement year. The following age groups are reported: 5–11 years, 12–18 years, 19–50 years, 51–64 years, and total years.

Comprehensive Diabetes Care

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18–75 years of age with diabetes (type 1 and type 2) who had each of the following:

- Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) testing;
- HbA1c poor control (> 9.0%);
- HbA1c control (< 8.0%);

- Eye exam (retinal) performed; and
- BP control (< 140/90 mm Hg).

Statin Therapy for Patients with Diabetes

This measure assesses the percentage of members 40–75 years of age during the measurement year with diabetes who do not have clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) who met the following criteria. Two rates are reported:

- Received Statin Therapy—Members who were dispensed at least one statin medication of any intensity during the measurement year; and
- Statin Adherence 80%—Members who remained on a statin medication of any intensity for at least 80% of the treatment period.

Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients with Diabetes — New for 2021

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18–85 years of age with diabetes (type 1 and type 2) who received a kidney health evaluation, defined by an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and a urine albumin-creatinine ratio (uACR), during the measurement year. The following age groups are reported: 18–64 years, 65–74 years, 75–85 years, and total years.

Persistence of Beta-Blocker Treatment After a Heart Attack

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18 years of age and older during the measurement year who were hospitalized and discharged from July 1 of the year prior to the measurement year to June 30 of the measurement year with a diagnosis of AMI and who received persistent beta-blocker treatment for 6 months after discharge.

Controlling High Blood Pressure

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18–85 years of age who had a diagnosis of hypertension (HTN) and whose BP was adequately controlled during the measurement year.

Statin Therapy for Patients with Cardiovascular Disease

This measure assesses the percentage of males 21–75 years of age and females 40–75 years of age during the measurement year who were identified as having clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) and met the following criteria. The following rates are reported:

- Received Statin Therapy—Members who were dispensed at least one high- or moderate-intensity statin medication during the measurement year; and
- Statin Adherence 80%—Members who remained on a high- or moderate-intensity statin medication for at least 80% of the treatment period.

Total rates for both submeasures are also reported.

Cardiovascular Monitoring for People with Cardiovascular Disease and Schizophrenia

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18–64 years of age with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder and cardiovascular disease who had an LDL-C test during the measurement year.

Cardiac Rehabilitation — New for 2021

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18 years and older, who attended cardiac rehabilitation following a qualifying cardiac event, including myocardial infarction, percutaneous coronary intervention, coronary artery bypass grafting, heart and heart/lung transplantation or heart valve repair/replacement. Three age groups (18–64 years, 65 years and older, and total years) are reported for each of the following four rates:

- *Initiation*. The percentage of members who attended 2 or more sessions of cardiac rehabilitation within 30 days after a qualifying event.
- Engagement 1. The percentage of members who attended 12 or more sessions of cardiac rehabilitation within 90 days after a qualifying event.
- Engagement 2. The percentage of members who attended 24 or more sessions of cardiac rehabilitation within 180 days after a qualifying event.
- Achievement. The percentage of members who attended 36 or more sessions of cardiac rehabilitation within 180 days after a qualifying event.

Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18 years of age and older during the measurement year with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder who were dispensed and remained on an antipsychotic medication for at least 80% of their treatment period.

Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics

This measure assesses the percentage of children and adolescents 1–17 years of age who had two or more antipsychotic prescriptions and had metabolic testing. Three rates are reported for each age group (1–11 years, 12–17 years, and total):

- The percentage of children and adolescents on antipsychotics who received blood glucose testing;
- The percentage of children and adolescents on antipsychotics who received cholesterol testing; and
- The percentage of children and adolescents on antipsychotics who received blood glucose and cholesterol testing.

Use of Opioids at High Dosage

This measure assesses the proportion of members 18 years and older who received prescription opioids at a high dosage (average morphine milligram equivalent dose [MME] \geq 90) for \geq 15 days during the measurement year.

For this measure, a lower rate indicates better performance.

Use of Opioids from Multiple Providers

This measure assesses the proportion of members 18 years and older who received prescription opioids for \geq 15 days during the measurement year and who received opioids from multiple providers. Three rates are reported:

- Multiple Prescribers—The proportion of members receiving prescriptions for opioids from four or more different prescribers during the measurement year;
- Multiple Pharmacies—The proportion of members receiving prescriptions for opioids from four or more different pharmacies during the measurement year; and
- Multiple Prescribers and Multiple Pharmacies—The proportion of members receiving prescriptions for opioids from four or more different prescribers and four or more different pharmacies during the measurement year (i.e., the proportion of members who are numerator compliant for both the Multiple Prescribers and Multiple Pharmacies rates).

Risk of Continued Opioid Use

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18 years of age and older who have a new episode of opioid use that puts them at risk for continued opioid use. Two rates are reported:

- The percentage of members with at least 15 days of prescription opioids in a 30-day period; and
- The percentage of members with at least 31 days of prescription opioids in a 62-day period.

Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder

This measure assesses the percentage of new opioid use disorder (OUD) pharmacotherapy events with OUD pharmacotherapy for 180 or more days among members age 16 and older with a diagnosis of OUD.

Plan All-Cause Readmissions

The measure assesses, for members ages 18 to 64, the number of acute inpatient and observation stays during the measurement year that were followed by an unplanned acute readmission for any diagnosis within 30 days and the predicted probability of an acute readmission. Data are reported for the total index hospital stays in the following categories:

- Count of Index Hospital Stays (IHS) (denominator);
- Count of 30-Day Readmissions (numerator);
- Observed Readmission Rate;
- Expected Readmissions Rate; and
- Observed to Expected Readmission Ratio.

CAHPS Survey

The Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS) program is overseen by the Agency of Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) and includes many survey products designed to capture consumer and patient perspectives on health care quality. NCQA uses the adult and child versions of the CAHPS Health Plan surveys for HEDIS.

Implementation of PA-Specific Performance Measures and HEDIS Audit

The MCO successfully implemented all of the PA-specific measures for 2021 that were reported with MCO-submitted data. The MCO submitted all required source code and data for review. IPRO reviewed the source code and validated raw data submitted by the MCO. All rates submitted by the MCO were reportable. Rate calculations were collected via rate sheets and reviewed for all of the PA-specific measures. As previously indicated for the Elective Delivery measure, due to issues with the COVID-19 pandemic the final 2021 (MY 2020) Department of Health Birth File was not available for IPRO to calculate the measure at the time of reporting; this measure is not reported.

The MCO successfully completed the HEDIS audit. The MCO received an Audit Designation of Report for all applicable measures.

Conclusions and Comparative Findings

MCO results are presented in **Table 2.2** through **Table 2.12**. For each measure, the denominator, numerator, and measurement year rates with 95% upper and lower confidence intervals (95% CI) are presented. Confidence intervals are ranges of values that can be used to illustrate the variability associated with a given calculation. For any rate, a 95% confidence interval indicates that there is a 95% probability that the calculated rate, if it were measured repeatedly, would fall within the range of values presented for that rate. All other things being equal, if any given rate were calculated 100 times, the calculated rate would fall within the confidence interval 95 times, or 95% of the time.

Rates for both the measurement year and the previous year are presented, as available (i.e., 2021 [MY 2020] and 2020 [MY 2019]). In addition, statistical comparisons are made between the MY 2020 and MY 2019 rates. For these year-to-year comparisons, the significance of the difference between two independent proportions was determined by calculating the Z ratio. A Z ratio is a statistical measure that quantifies the difference between two percentages when they come from two separate populations. For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s."

In addition to each individual MCO's rate, the MMC average for 2021 (MY 2020) is presented. The MMC average is a weighted average, which is an average that takes into account the proportional relevance of each MCO. Each table also presents the significance of difference between the plan's measurement year rate and the MMC average for the same year. For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates. Rates for the HEDIS measures were compared to corresponding Medicaid percentiles; comparison results are provided in the tables. The 90th percentile is the benchmark for the HEDIS measures.

Note that the large denominator sizes for many of the analyses led to increased statistical power, and thus contributed to detecting statistical differences that are not clinically meaningful. For example, even a 1-percentage point difference between two rates was statistically significant in many cases, although not meaningful. Hence, results corresponding to each table highlight only differences that are both statistically significant and display at least a 3-percentage point difference in observed rates. It should also be mentioned that when the denominator sizes are small, even relatively large differences in rates might not yield statistical significance due to reduced power; if statistical significance is not achieved, results are not highlighted in the report. Differences are also not discussed if the denominator was less than 30 for a particular rate, in which case, "N/A" (Not Applicable) appears in the corresponding cells. However, "NA" (Not Available) also appears in the cells under the HEDIS MY 2020 percentile column for PA-specific measures that do not have HEDIS percentiles to compare.

Table 2.5 to **Table 2.12** show rates up to one decimal place. Calculations to determine differences between rates are based upon unrounded rates. Due to rounding, differences in rates that are reported in the narrative may differ slightly from the difference between rates presented in the table.

As part of IPRO's validation of GH's Performance Measures and CAHPS Survey results, the following are recommended areas of focus for the plan moving into the next reporting year. Particular attention has been paid to measures that are not only identified as opportunities for the current 2021 review year, but were also identified as opportunities in 2020.

- It is recommended that GH improve diabetes care, particularly for its members with diagnosed serious mental illness. The measure Diabetes Care for People with Serious Mental Illness: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (>9.0%) for members age 18 64 years old was an opportunity for improvement in 2020, and was identified again in 2021.
- It is recommended that the MCO improve heart failure admissions, particularly for members 65 years and older. Heart Failure Admission Rate increased in 2021 and has been an opportunity for improvement in 2020 and in 2021.

Access to/Availability of Care

Strengths are identified for the following Access to/Availability of Care performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly above/better than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Age 20-44 years) 3.8 percentage points.

No opportunities for improvement are identified for the Access to/Availability of Care measures.

Table 2.2: Access to/Availability of Care

		2021 (MY 2020)					2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹				ison ¹
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate		Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
HEDIS	Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Ages 20-44 years)	71,797	56,709	79.0%	78.7%	79.3%	82.5%	-	75.2%	+	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Ages 45-64 years)	33,657	28,853	85.7%	85.4%	86.1%	88.4%	-	82.9%	+	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Ages 65+ years)	799	609	76.2%	73.2%	79.2%	83.8%	-	73.3%	n.s.	>= 10th and < 25th percentile
PA EQR	Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (Ages 1 to 11)	163	111	68.1%	60.6%	75.6%	71.1%	n.s.	67.7%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (Ages 12 to 17)	387	258	66.7%	61.8%	71.5%	66.7%	n.s.	63.8%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (Total ages 1 to 17)	550	369	67.1%	63.1%	71.1%	68.1%	n.s.	65.1%	n.s.	NA

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare; 2021 Rate N/A: not applicable, as denominator is less than 30; N/A: not applicable.

Well-Care Visits and Immunizations

Strengths are identified for the following Well-Care Visits and Immunizations performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly above/better than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (12-17 years) 4.0 percentage points;
 - o Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (18-21 years) 4.0 percentage points;
 - o Body Mass Index: Percentile (Age 12-17 years) 5.4 percentage points;
 - o Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total) 3.4 percentage points;
 - o Counseling for Physical Activity (Age 3-11 years) 3.6 percentage points;
 - o Counseling for Physical Activity (Age 12-17 years) 5.0 percentage points; and
 - o Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) 4.2 percentage points.

No opportunities for improvement are identified for the Well-Care Visits and Immunizations measures.

Table 2.3: Well-Care Visits and Immunizations

		2021 (MY 2020)					2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹				
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ммс	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
HEDIS	Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months of Life (15 months ≥ 6 Visits)	6,531	4,346	66.5%	65.4%	67.7%	74.5%	-	65.2%	n.s.	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months of Life (15-30 months ≥ 2 Visits)	6,104	4,543	74.4%	73.3%	75.5%	N/A	N/A	74.6%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (3-11 years)	55,620	34,774	62.5%	62.1%	62.9%	N/A	N/A	60.5%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (12-17 years)	34,982	20,537	58.7%	58.2%	59.2%	N/A	N/A	54.7%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (18-21 years)	16,958	6,614	39.0%	38.3%	39.7%	N/A	N/A	35.0%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Total)	107,560	61,925	57.6%	57.3%	57.9%	N/A	N/A	54.6%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Childhood Immunizations Status(Combination 2)	411	297	72.3%	67.8%	76.7%	73.7%	n.s.	74.6%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Childhood Immunizations Status(Combination 3)	411	286	69.6%	65.0%	74.2%	70.3%	n.s.	72.1%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents – Body Mass Index: Percentile (Age 3 - 11 years)	251	209	83.3%	78.5%	88.1%	85.5%	n.s.	80.8%	+	>= 50th and < 75th percentile

		2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹									
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents – Body Mass Index: Percentile (Age 12-17 years)	160	131	81.9%	75.6%	88.2%	81.3%	n.s.	76.5%	+	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents – Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total)	411	340	82.7%	79.0%	86.5%	83.9%	n.s.	79.3%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents – Counseling for Nutrition (Age 3-11 years)	251	195	77.7%	72.3%	83.0%	78.9%	n.s.	74.7%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents – Counseling for Nutrition (Age 12-17 years)	160	119	74.4%	67.3%	81.5%	77.4%	n.s.	71.6%	+	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents – Counseling for Nutrition (Total)	411	314	76.4%	72.2%	80.6%	78.3%	n.s.	73.6%	+	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents – Counseling for Physical Activity (Age 3-11 years)	251	180	71.7%	65.9%	77.5%	73.0%	n.s.	68.1%	+	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents – Counseling for Physical Activity (Age 12-17 years)	160	120	75.0%	68.0%	82.0%	77.4%	n.s.	70.0%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents – Counseling for Physical Activity (Total)	411	300	73.0%	68.6%	77.4%	74.7%	n.s.	68.8%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Immunizations for Adolescents (Combo 1)	411	367	89.3%	86.2%	92.4%	90.0%	n.s.	87.6%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; N/A: not applicable.

EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up

Strengths are identified for the following EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly above/better than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication Initiation Phase 4.5 percentage points;
 - o Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication Continuation Phase 8.1 percentage points;
 - o Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication (BH Enhanced) Initiation Phase 3.3 percentage points; and
 - o Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication (BH Enhanced) Continuation Phase 7.4 percentage points.

Opportunities for improvement are identified for the following EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly below/worse than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness or Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64 ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) 3.4 percentage points.

Table 2.4: EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up

			2021 (MY 2020)					2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹					
					Lower 95%	Upper 95%		2021 Rate		2021 Rate			
Indicator					Confidence	Confidence	2019)	Compared		Compared	HEDIS 2021		
Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Interval	Interval	Rate	to 2020	MMC	to MMC	Percentile		
HEDIS	Lead Screening in Children (Age 2 years)	411	345	83.9%	80.3%	87.6%	85.2%	n.s.	83.2%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile		
HEDIS	Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication—Initiation Phase	1,815	945	52.1%	49.7%	54.4%	48.4%	+	47.5%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile		
HEDIS	Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication—Continuation Phase	502	306	61.0%	56.6%	65.3%	55.8%	n.s.	52.8%	+	>= 50th and < 75th percentile		
PA EQR	Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase	2,027	1,027	50.7%	48.5%	52.9%	49.5%	n.s.	47.4%	+	NA		
PA EQR	Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation Phase	554	331	59.8%	55.6%	63.9%	55.3%	n.s.	52.3%	+	NA		
PA EQR	Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total	18,897	11,407	60.4%	59.7%	61.1%	59.8%	n.s.	59.6%	n.s.	NA		
PA EQR	Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year	6,275	3,400	54.2%	52.9%	55.4%	55.3%	n.s.	55.5%	-	NA		
PA EQR	Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years	6,396	4,036	63.1%	61.9%	64.3%	61.1%	+	60.7%	+	NA		
PA EQR	Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years	6,226	3,971	63.8%	62.6%	65.0%	63.2%	n.s.	62.8%	n.s.	NA		
PA EQR	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days)	1,185	510	43.0%	40.2%	45.9%	43.9%	n.s.	42.4%	n.s.	NA		
PA EQR	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days)	1,185	679	57.3%	54.4%	60.2%	57.3%	n.s.	55.1%	n.s.	NA		

2021 (MV 2020) Pate Comparison¹

		2021 (MY 2020)					2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹					
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile	
PA EQR	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days)	1,814	354	19.5%	17.7%	21.4%	19.9%	n.s.	21.8%	-	NA	
PA EQR	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days)	1,814	510	28.1%	26.0%	30.2%	28.4%	n.s.	31.5%	-	NA	
PA EQR	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days)	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.8%	N/A	NA	
PA EQR	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days)	2	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	85.7%	N/A	NA	
PA EQR	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days)	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.8%	N/A	NA	
PA EQR	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days)	2	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	85.7%	N/A	NA	

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare; 2021 Rate N/A: not applicable, as denominator is less than 30; N/A: not applicable.

Dental Care for Children and Adults

Strengths are identified for the following Dental Care for Children and Adults performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly above/better than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars (≥ 1 Molar) 24.6 percentage points; and
 - o Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars (All 4 Molars) 19.7 percentage points.

No opportunities for improvement are identified for the Dental Care for Children and Adults measures.

Table 2.5: EPSDT: Dental Care for Children and Adults

	5. El 3D1. Delital Gare for Gilliar	2021 (MY 2020)					2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹						
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ммс	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile		
HEDIS	Annual Dental Visit (Ages 2–20 years)	110,747	61,943	55.9%	55.6%	56.2%	65.4%	-	54.2%		>= 75th and < 90th percentile		
PA EQR	Annual Dental Visits for Members with Developmental Disabilities (Ages 2–20 years)	9,202	5,206	56.6%	55.6%	57.6%	65.6%	-	55.5%	+	NA		
PA EQR	Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars (≥ 1 Molar)	5,696	3,180	55.8%	54.5%	57.1%	N/A	N/A	31.3%	+	NA		
PA EQR	Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars (All 4 Molars)	5,696	2,314	40.6%	39.3%	41.9%	N/A	N/A	20.9%	+	NA		
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 21–35 years)	45,509	12,876	28.3%	27.9%	28.7%	36.5%	-	27.4%	+	NA		
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 36–59 years)	48,411	12,403	25.6%	25.2%	26.0%	32.7%	-	25.0%	+	NA		
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 60–64 years)	7,539	1,530	20.3%	19.4%	21.2%	27.0%	-	21.4%	-	NA		
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 65 years and older)	799	118	14.8%	12.2%	17.3%	21.6%	-	15.0%	n.s.	NA		
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 21 years and older)	102,258	26,927	26.3%	26.1%	26.6%	33.8%	-	25.7%	+	NA		
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit Women with a Live Birth (Ages 21-35 years)	3,587	1,027	28.6%	27.1%	30.1%	N/A	N/A	29.1%	n.s.	NA		
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit Women with a Live Birth (Ages 36-59 years)	393	121	30.8%	26.1%	35.5%	N/A	N/A	29.7%	n.s.	NA		
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit Women with a Live Birth (Ages 21-59 years)	3,980	1,148	28.8%	27.4%	30.3%	N/A	N/A	29.1%	n.s.	NA		

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare; N/A: not applicable.

Women's Health

No strengths are identified for the Women's Health performance measures.

Opportunities for improvement are identified for the following Women's Health measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly below/worse than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception 3 days (Ages 15 to 20) 4.7 percentage points.

Table 2.6: Women's Health

				2021 (MY	2020)		2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹						
					Lower 95%	Upper 95%		2021 Rate		2021 Rate			
Indicator					Confidence	Confidence	2020 (MY	Compared to		Compared	HEDIS 2021		
Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Interval	Interval	2019) Rate	2020	MMC	to MMC	Percentile		
HEDIS	Breast Cancer Screening (Ages 50–74 years)	9,964	5,198	52.2%	51.2%	53.2%	55.2%	-	53.2%	n.s.	>= 25th and < 50th percentile		
HEDIS	Cervical Cancer Screening (Ages 21–64 years)	411	253	61.6%	56.7%	66.4%	64.0%	n.s.	61.1%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile		
HEDIS	Chlamydia Screening in Women (Total)	11,751	6,606	56.2%	55.3%	57.1%	59.4%	-	57.0%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile		
HEDIS	Chlamydia Screening in Women (Ages 16–20 years)	6,687	3,545	53.0%	51.8%	54.2%	55.3%	-	53.7%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile		
HEDIS	Chlamydia Screening in Women (Ages 21–24 years)	5,064	3,061	60.5%	59.1%	61.8%	64.9%	-	61.0%	n.s.	>= 25th and < 50th percentile		
HEDIS	Non-Recommended Cervical Cancer Screening in Adolescent Females ²	12,039	30	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.4%	n.s.	0.4%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile		
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of most or moderately effective contraception (Ages 15 to 20)	14,305	4,682	32.7%	32.0%	33.5%	34.7%	-	31.3%	+	NA		
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of LARC (Ages 15 to 20)	14,305	581	4.1%	3.7%	4.4%	4.5%	n.s.	3.3%	+	NA		
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of most or moderately effective contraception (Ages 21 to 44)	39,406	10,819	27.5%	27.0%	27.9%	29.0%	-	27.6%	n.s.	NA		
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of LARC (Ages 21 to 44)	39,406	1,828	4.6%	4.4%	4.8%	4.7%	n.s.	4.4%	+	NA		
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception—3 days (Ages 15 to 20)	528	61	11.6%	8.7%	14.4%	7.6%	+	16.2%	-	NA		

		2021 (MY 2020)						2021 (MY	2020) Rate	Comparison	1
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception—60 days (Ages 15 to 20)	528	245	46.4%	42.1%	50.8%	46.3%	n.s.	47.2%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC—3 days (Ages 15 to 20)	528	38	7.2%	4.9%	9.5%	3.2%	+	9.2%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC—60 days (Ages 15 to 20)	528	80	15.2%	12.0%	18.3%	12.6%	n.s.	16.8%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception—3 days (Ages 21 to 44)	3,935	720	18.3%	17.1%	19.5%	17.2%	n.s.	19.3%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception—60 days (Ages 21 to 44)	3,935	1,737	44.1%	42.6%	45.7%	44.6%	n.s.	44.8%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC—3 days (Ages 21 to 44)	3,935	224	5.7%	5.0%	6.4%	3.1%	+	5.7%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC—60 days (Ages 21 to 44)	3,935	486	12.4%	11.3%	13.4%	10.7%	+	12.4%	n.s.	NA

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare.

² For the Non-Recommended Cervical Cancer Screening in Adolescent Females measure, lower rate indicates better performance.

Obstetric and Neonatal Care

Strengths are identified for the following Obstetric and Neonatal Care performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly above/better than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening Positive for Depression 10.6 percentage points.

Opportunities for improvement are identified for the following Obstetric and Neonatal Care measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly below/worse than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for Smoking 18.4 percentage points;
 - Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for Smoking during one of the first two visits (CHIPRA indicator) 18.5 percentage points;
 - o Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposure 29.8 percentage points;
 - o Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Counseling for Smoking 18.2 percentage points;
 - Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening for Depression − 20.3 percentage points;
 - o Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening for Depression during one of the first two visits (CHIPRA indicator) 14.3 percentage points; and
 - o Perinatal Depression Screening: Postpartum Screening for Depression 10.8 percentage points.

Table 2.7: Obstetric and Neonatal Care

		2021 (MY 2020)				2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹					
					Lower 95%	Upper 95%		2021 Rate		2021 Rate	
Indicator					Confidence	Confidence	2020 (MY	Compared to		Compared	HEDIS 2021
Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Interval	Interval	2019) Rate	2020	MMC	to MMC	Percentile
HEDIS	Prenatal and Postpartum Care— Timeliness of Prenatal Care	411	361	87.8%	84.5%	91.1%	89.3%	n.s.	88.9%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Prenatal and Postpartum Care— Postpartum Care	411	310	75.4%	71.1%	79.7%	79.1%	n.s.	77.8%	n.s.	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for Smoking	466	268	57.5%	52.9%	62.1%	N/A	N/A	75.9%	-	NA
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for Smoking during one of the first two visits (CHIPRA indicator)	466	263	56.4%	51.8%	61.0%	N/A	N/A	74.9%	-	NA
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposure	466	82	17.6%	14.0%	21.2%	N/A	N/A	47.4%	-	NA

				2021 (M)	Y 2020)			2021 (MY	2020) Rate	Comparison	1
Indicator					Lower 95% Confidence	Upper 95% Confidence	2020 (MY	2021 Rate Compared to		2021 Rate Compared	HEDIS 2021
Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Interval	Interval	2019) Rate	2020	MMC	to MMC	Percentile
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Counseling for Smoking	137	85	62.0%	53.5%	70.5%	N/A	N/A	80.2%	-	NA
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Counseling for Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposure	34	23	67.7%	50.5%	84.8%	N/A	N/A	80.0%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Smoking Cessation	126	39	31.0%	22.5%	39.4%	N/A	N/A	23.6%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening for Depression	466	214	45.9%	41.3%	50.6%	N/A	N/A	66.2%	-	NA
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening for Depression during one of the first two visits (CHIPRA indicator)	466	183	39.3%	34.7%	43.8%	N/A	N/A	53.6%	-	NA
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening Positive for Depression	214	69	32.2%	25.7%	38.7%	N/A	N/A	21.6%	+	NA
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Counseling for Depression	69	59	85.5%	76.5%	94.5%	N/A	N/A	77.9%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Postpartum Screening for Depression	348	211	60.6%	55.4%	65.9%	N/A	N/A	71.4%	-	NA
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Postpartum Screening Positive for Depression	211	40	19.0%	13.4%	24.5%	N/A	N/A	17.4%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Postpartum Counseling for Depression	40	35	87.5%	76.0%	99.0%	N/A	N/A	85.1%	n.s.	NA

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare; N/A: not applicable.

Respiratory Conditions

Strengths are identified for the following Respiratory Conditions performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly above/better than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Age 18-64 years) 5.8 percentage points; and
 - o Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Age 18-64 years) 3.5 percentage points.

Opportunities for improvement are identified for the following Respiratory Conditions measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly below/worse than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Age 3 months-17 years) 5.5 percentage points;
 - Asthma Medication Ratio (12-18 years) 4.4 percentage points;
 - O Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Age 40 to 64 years) per 100,000 member months 6.6 percentage points;
 - Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Age 65 years and older) per 100,000 member months 10.4 percentage points; and
 - O Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Total Age 40+) per 100,000 member months 6.6 percentage points.

Table 2.8: Respiratory Conditions

		2021 (MY 2020)					2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹				
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
HEDIS	Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Total—Ages 3 - 17 years)	6,208	5,007	80.7%	79.7%	81.6%	83.1%	-	82.1%	-	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
HEDIS	Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Ages 18-64 years)	3,054	1,996	65.4%	63.7%	67.1%	69.1%	-	59.6%	+	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Ages 65+ years)	1	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Total)	9,263	7,004	75.6%	74.7%	76.5%	78.8%	-	74.2%	+	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
HEDIS	Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection (Ages 3 months-17 years) ²	19,493	1,048	94.6%	94.3%	94.9%	93.0%	+	94.2%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection (Ages 18-64 years) ²	7,358	1,120	84.8%	84.0%	85.6%	81.8%	+	82.0%	+	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection (Ages 65+ years) ²	28	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	77.8%	N/A	>= 50th and < 75th percentile

			2	021 (MY 2	020)			2021 (MY	' 2020) Rate	Comparison	1
Indicator					Lower 95% Confidence		2020 (MY	2021 Rate Compared		2021 Rate Compared	HEDIS 2021
Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Interval	Interval	2019) Rate	to 2020	MMC	to MMC	Percentile
HEDIS	Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection (Total) ²	26,879	2,172	91.9%	91.6%	92.2%	90.1%	+	90.9%	+	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Ages 3 months-17 years) ³	2,475	785	68.3%	66.4%	70.1%	63.0%	+	73.8%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Ages 18-64 years) ³	2,102	1,056	49.8%	47.6%	51.9%	45.7%	+	46.3%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Ages 65+ years) ³	10	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Total) ³	4,587	1,845	59.8%	58.4%	61.2%	54.6%	+	60.7%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD	896	230	25.7%	22.8%	28.6%	26.2%	n.s.	26.9%	n.s.	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation: Systemic Corticosteroid	822	633	77.0%	74.1%	79.9%	76.4%	n.s.	77.2%	n.s.	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation: Bronchodilator	822	702	85.4%	82.9%	87.9%	84.7%	n.s.	87.3%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (5–11 years)	962	732	76.1%	73.3%	78.8%	73.6%	n.s.	77.6%	n.s.	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (12–18 years)	976	650	66.6%	63.6%	69.6%	65.2%	n.s.	71.0%	-	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (19–50 years)	2,074	1,138	54.9%	52.7%	57.0%	50.1%	+	56.7%	n.s.	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (51–64 years)	649	377	58.1%	54.2%	62.0%	47.3%	+	57.6%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (Total)	4,661	2,897	62.2%	60.7%	63.6%	59.2%	+	64.8%	-	>= 25th and < 50th percentile

		2021 (MY 2020)					2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹				
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
PA EQR	Asthma in Children and Younger Adults Admission Rate (Ages 2– 17 years) per 100,000 member months ⁴	1,253,926	80	6.4	N/A	N/A	11.5	-	7.1	-	NA
PA EQR	Asthma in Children and Younger Adults Admission Rate (Ages 18– 39 years) per 100,000 member months ⁴	969,440	47	4.8	N/A	N/A	9.9	-	5.7	-	NA
PA EQR	Asthma in Children and Younger Adults Admission Rate (Total Ages 2–39 years) per 100,000 member months ⁴	2,223,366	127	5.7	N/A	N/A	10.8	-	6.5	-	NA
PA EQR	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Ages 40 to 64 years) per 100,000 member months ⁴	603,912	292	48.4	N/A	N/A	83.2	-	41.8	+	NA
PA EQR	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Ages 65 years and older) per 100,000 member months ⁴	10,503	6	57.1	N/A	N/A	46.5	+	46.7	+	NA
PA EQR	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Total Ages 40+) per 100,000 member months ⁴	614,415	298	48.5	N/A	N/A	82.6	-	41.9	+	NA

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare; 2021 Rate N/A: not applicable, as denominator is less than 30; N/A: not applicable.

²Per NCQA, a higher rate indicates appropriate treatment of children with URI (i.e., the proportion for whom antibiotics were not prescribed).

³ Per NCQA, a higher rate indicates appropriate treatment of adults with acute bronchitis (i.e., the proportion for whom antibiotics were not prescribed).

⁴ For the Admission Rate measures, lower rates indicate better performance.

Comprehensive Diabetes Care

No strengths are identified for the Comprehensive Diabetes Care performance measures.

Opportunities for improvement are identified for the following Comprehensive Diabetes Care performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly below/worse than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - O Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Age 18-64 years) per 100,000 member months 6.10 admissions per 100,000 member months;
 - o Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Total Age 18+ years) per 100,000 member months 6.05 admissions per 100,000 member months; and
 - O Diabetes Care for People with Serious Mental Illness: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (>9.0%) (Age Cohort: 18 64 Years of Age) 17.6 percentage points.

Table 2.9: Comprehensive Diabetes Care

		2021 (MY 2020)					2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹				
					Lower 95%	Upper 95%		2021 Rate		2021 Rate	
Indicator					Confidence	Confidence	2020 (MY	Compared to		Compared	HEDIS 2021
Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Interval	Interval	2019) Rate	2020	MMC	to MMC	Percentile
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care – Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Testing	411	350	85.2%	81.6%	88.7%	90.4%	-	83.7%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care – HbA1c Poor Control (> 9.0%) ²	411	148	36.0%	31.2%	40.8%	34.5%	n.s.	38.4%	-	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care – HbA1c Control (< 8.0%)	411	217	52.8%	47.9%	57.7%	53.6%	n.s.	51.2%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care – Retinal Eye Exam	411	220	53.5%	48.6%	58.5%	62.0%	-	53.3%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care – Blood Pressure Controlled < 140/90 mm Hg	411	282	68.6%	64.0%	73.2%	71.6%	n.s.	66.0%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
PA EQR	Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Ages 18 to 64 years) per 100,000 member months ³	1,573,352	401	25.5	23.0	28.0	29.9	-	19.4	+	NA
PA EQR	Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Ages 65+ years) per 100,000 member months ³	10,503	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	5.8	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Total Ages 18+ years) per 100,000 member months ³	1,583,855	401	25.3	22.8	27.8	29.7	-	19.3	+	NA

				2021 (MY	2020)		2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹					
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ммс	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile	
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Diabetes: Received Statin Therapy	5,429	3,775	69.5%	68.3%	70.8%	69.6%	n.s.	69.6%	n.s.	>= 75th and < 90th percentile	
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Diabetes: Statin Adherence 80%	3,775	2,787	73.8%	72.4%	75.2%	70.6%	+	73.8%	n.s.	>= 75th and < 90th percentile	
PA EQR	Diabetes Care for People with Serious Mental Illness: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (> 9.0%) (Ages 18–64 Years)	1,473	956	64.9%	62.4%	67.4%	65.9%	n.s.	82.5%	-	NA	
PA EQR	Diabetes Care for People with Serious Mental Illness: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (> 9.0%) (Ages 65–75 Years)	5	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	78.1%	N/A	NA	
HEDIS	Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients with Diabetes (Ages 18 - 64 years)	10,089	3,893	38.6%	37.6%	39.5%	N/A	N/A	38.6%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile	
HEDIS	Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients with Diabetes (Ages 65 - 74 years)	146	68	46.6%	38.1%	55.0%	N/A	N/A	45.4%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile	
HEDIS	Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients with Diabetes (Ages 75 - 85 years)	67	27	40.3%	27.8%	52.8%	N/A	N/A	40.5%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile	
HEDIS	Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients with Diabetes (Total)	10,302	3,988	38.7%	37.8%	39.7%	N/A	N/A	38.7%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile	

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare; 2021 Rate N/A: not applicable, as denominator is less than 30; N/A: not applicable.

² For HbA1c Poor Control, lower rates indicate better performance.

³ For the Adult Admission Rate measures, lower rates indicate better performance.

Cardiovascular Care

Strengths are identified for the following Cardiovascular Care performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly above/better than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Controlling High Blood Pressure (Total Rate) 7.9 percentage points.

Opportunities for improvement are identified for the following Cardiovascular Care performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly below/worse than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Heart Failure Admission Rate (Age 18-64 years) per 100,000 member months 3.09 admissions per 100,000 member months;
 - o Heart Failure Admission Rate (Age 65+ years) per 100,000 member months 126.53 admissions per 100,000 member months; and
 - o Heart Failure Admission Rate (Total Age 18+ years) per 100,000 member months 3.81 admissions per 100,000 member months.

Table 2.10: Cardiovascular Care

		2021 (MY 2020)						2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹				
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile	
HEDIS	Persistence of Beta Blocker Treatment After Heart Attack	119	106	89.1%	83.1%	95.1%	92.8%	n.s.	85.9%	n.s.	>= 75th and < 90th percentile	
HEDIS	Controlling High Blood Pressure (Total Rate)	411	293	71.3%	66.8%	75.8%	68.6%	n.s.	63.4%	+	>= 90th percentile	
PA EQR	Heart Failure Admission Rate (Ages 18–64 years) per 100,000 member months ²	1,573,352	364	23.1	20.8	25.5	26.6	n.s.	20.0	+	NA	
PA EQR	Heart Failure Admission Rate (Ages 65+ years) per 100,000 member months ²	10,503	21	199.9	114.4	285.5	167.5	n.s.	73.4	+	NA	
PA EQR	Heart Failure Admission Rate (Total Ages 18+ years) per 100,000 member months ²	1,583,855	385	24.3	21.9	26.7	27.6	n.s.	20.5	+	NA	
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Received Statin Therapy 21–75 years (Male)	790	661	83.7%	81.0%	86.3%	83.4%	n.s.	84.7%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile	
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Received Statin Therapy 40–75 years (Female)	653	540	82.7%	79.7%	85.7%	84.5%	n.s.	81.8%	n.s.	>= 75th and < 90th percentile	
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Received Statin Therapy Total Rate	1,443	1,201	83.2%	81.3%	85.2%	83.9%	n.s.	83.5%	n.s.	>= 75th and < 90th percentile	

				2021 (MY 20	20)			2021 (MY	' 2020) Rate	e Compariso	n¹
					Lower 95%	Upper 95%		2021 Rate		2021 Rate	
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Confidence Interval	Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	Compared to 2020	ММС	Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Statin Adherence 80%—21–75 years (Male)	661	501	75.8%	72.4%	79.1%	72.3%	n.s.	76.3%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Statin Adherence 80%—40–75 years (Female)	540	409	75.7%	72.0%	79.4%	71.5%	n.s.	76.4%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Statin Adherence 80%—Total Rate	1,201	910	75.8%	73.3%	78.2%	72.0%	+	76.3%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Cardiovascular Monitoring for People With Cardiovascular Disease and Schizophrenia 18- 64 years	36	26	72.2%	56.2%	88.2%	71.7%	n.s.	73.0%	n.s.	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Initiation: ≥ 2 Visits in 30 days (Ages 18 - 64 years)	455	7	1.5%	0.3%	2.8%	N/A	N/A	2.0%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Initiation: ≥ 2 Visits in 30 days (Ages 65 + years)	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Initiation: ≥ 2 Visits in 30 days (Total)	459	7	1.5%	0.3%	2.8%	N/A	N/A	2.0%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 1: ≥ 12 Visits in 90 days (Ages 18 - 64 years)	455	10	2.2%	0.7%	3.7%	N/A	N/A	2.7%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 1: ≥ 12 Visits in 90 days (Ages 65 + years)	4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 1: ≥ 12 Visits in 90 days (Total)	459	11	2.4%	0.9%	3.9%	N/A	N/A	2.7%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 2: ≥ 24 Visits in 180 days (Ages 18 - 64 years)	455	10	2.2%	0.7%	3.7%	N/A	N/A	2.4%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile

				2021 (MY 20	20)		2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹				
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 2: ≥ 24 Visits in 180 days (Ages 65 + years)	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 2: ≥ 24 Visits in 180 days (Total)	459	10	2.2%	0.7%	3.6%	N/A	N/A	2.3%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Achievement: ≥ 36 Visits in 180 days (Ages 18 - 64 years)	455	3	0.7%	0.0%	1.5%	N/A	N/A	1.1%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Achievement: ≥ 36 Visits in 180 days (Ages 65 + years)	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Achievement: ≥ 36 Visits in 180 days (Total)	459	3	0.7%	0.0%	1.5%	N/A	N/A	1.1%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare; 2021 Rate N/A: not applicable, as denominator is less than 30; N/A: not applicable.

Utilization

Strengths are identified for the following Utilization performance measures.

- The following rates are statistically significantly above/better than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia 4.6 percentage points;
 - Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia (BH Enhanced) 5.3 percentage points;
 - o Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Age 1-11 years) 7.2 percentage points;
 - Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Total Age 1-17 years) 3.5 percentage points;
 - o Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Cholesterol Testing (Age 1-11 years) 7.2 percentage points;
 - Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing (Age 1-11 years) 8.7 percentage points; and
 - Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing (Total Age 1-17 years) 3.9 percentage points.

² For the Adult Admission Rate measures, lower rates indicate better performance.

No opportunities for improvement are identified for the Utilization measures.

Table 2.11: Utilization

				2021 (M	Y 2020)			2021 (MY	′ 2020) Rat	te Compariso	n ¹
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ммс	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
HEDIS	Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia		608	69.7%	66.6%	72.8%	66.7%	n.s.	65.1%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
PA EQR	Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia (BH Enhanced)	1,718	1,262	73.5%	71.3%	75.6%	71.2%	n.s.	68.1%	+	NA
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Ages 1-11 years)	482	350	72.6%	68.5%	76.7%	76.8%	n.s.	65.4%	+	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Ages 12-17 years)	1,183	870	73.5%	71.0%	76.1%	77.6%	-	71.9%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Total Ages 1-17 years)	1,665	1,220	73.3%	71.1%	75.4%	77.3%	-	69.8%	+	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Cholesterol Testing (Ages 1-11 years)	482	332	68.9%	64.6%	73.1%	74.6%	-	61.7%	+	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Cholesterol Testing (Ages 12-17 years)	1,183	725	61.3%	58.5%	64.1%	69.6%	-	60.3%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Cholesterol Testing (Total Ages 1-17 years)	1,665	1,057	63.5%	61.1%	65.8%	71.2%	-	60.7%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing (Ages 1-11 years)	482	323	67.0%	62.7%	71.3%	71.5%	n.s.	58.4%	+	>= 90th percentile

	2021 (MY 2020)				2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹						
					Lower 95%	Upper 95%		2021 Rate		2021 Rate	
Indicator		_			Confidence	Confidence	2020 (MY	Compared		Compared	HEDIS 2021
Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Interval	Interval	2019) Rate	to 2020	ММС	to MMC	Percentile
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing (Ages 12-17 years)	1,183	712	60.2%	57.4%	63.0%	67.8%	-	58.2%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing (Total Ages 1-17 years)	1,665	1,035	62.2%	59.8%	64.5%	69.0%	-	58.2%	+	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Use of Opioids at High Dosage ²	3,048	211	6.9%	6.0%	7.8%	9.3%	-	8.6%	1	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
HEDIS	Use of Opioids From Multiple Providers (4 or more prescribers) ³	3,633	447	12.3%	11.2%	13.4%	16.9%	-	13.6%	-	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Use of Opioids From Multiple Providers (4 or more pharmacies) ³	3,633	40	1.1%	0.7%	1.5%	3.1%		1.4%	n.s.	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Use of Opioids From Multiple Providers (4 or more prescribers & pharmacies) ³	3,633	17	0.5%	0.2%	0.7%	1.6%	-	0.7%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use - At Least 15 Days (Ages 18 - 64 years) ⁴	11,966	531	4.4%	4.1%	4.8%	3.9%	+	5.1%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use - At Least 15 Days (Ages 65+ years) ⁴	40	3	7.5%	0.0%	16.9%	13.0%	n.s.	6.4%	n.s.	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use - At Least 15 Days (Ages 18 years and older) ⁴	12,006	534	4.5%	4.1%	4.8%	4.0%	n.s.	5.1%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use - At Least 31 Days (Ages 18 - 64 years) ⁴	11,966	315	2.6%	2.3%	2.9%	2.3%	n.s.	3.2%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use - At Least 31 Days (Ages 65+ years) ⁴	40	1	2.5%	0.0%	8.6%	7.4%	n.s.	3.5%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use - At Least 31 Days (Ages 18 years and older) ⁴	12,006	316	2.6%	2.3%	2.9%	2.3%	n.s.	3.2%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile

		2021 (MY 2020)			2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹						
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
PA EQR	Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines (Ages 18-64 years) ⁵	3,158	614	19.4%	18.0%	20.8%	19.7%	n.s.	18.6%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines (Ages 65 years and older) ⁵	18	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9.6%	N/A	NA
PA EQR	Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines (Total Ages 18 years and older) ⁵	3,176	614	19.3%	17.9%	20.7%	19.6%	n.s.	18.6%	n.s.	NA
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Ages 16-64 years)	2,077	581	28.0%	26.0%	29.9%	26.0%	n.s.	27.2%	n.s.	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Ages 65+ years)	5	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Total Ages 16+ years)	2,082	584	28.1%	26.1%	30.0%	26.0%	n.s.	27.2%	n.s.	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Total)	1,077	833	77.3%	74.8%	79.9%	74.4%	n.s.	75.2%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Buprenorphine)	1,077	784	72.8%	70.1%	75.5%	69.3%	n.s.	69.3%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Oral Naltrexone)	1,077	41	3.8%	2.6%	5.0%	3.3%	n.s.	4.0%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Long- Acting, Injectable Naltrexone)	1,077	77	7.1%	5.6%	8.7%	6.8%	n.s.	7.0%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Methadone)	1,077	1	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	n.s.	2.5%	-	NA

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare; 2021 Rate N/A: not applicable, as denominator is less than 30; N/A: not applicable.

² For the Use of Multiple Concurrent Antipsychotics in Children and Adolescents measure, lower rates indicate better performance.

Table 2.12: Utilization (Continued)

				2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹		
Indicator Source	IndicatorIndicator ²	Count	Rate	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Count of Index Hospital Stays (IHS)—Total Stays (Ages Total)	7,492		8,297		NA
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Count of 30-Day Readmissions—Total Stays (Ages Total)	795		893		NA
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Observed Readmission Rate—Total Stays (Ages Total)		10.6%	10.8%	N/A	NA
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Expected Readmission Rate—Total Stays (Ages Total)		9.9%	9.7%	N/A	NA
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Observed to Expected Readmission Ratio—Total Stays (Ages Total)		1.1	1.1	N/A	NA

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

² For the Plan All-Cause Readmissions (PCR) measure, cells that are grey shaded are data elements that are not relevant to the measure.

MY: measurement year; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare;

N/A: not applicable.

Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS) Survey

Satisfaction with the Experience of Care

Table 2.13 and **Table 2.14** provide the survey results of four composite questions by two specific categories for GH across the last 3 measurement years, as available. The composite questions target the MCO's performance strengths as well as opportunities for improvement.

Due to differences in the CAHPS submissions from year to year, direct comparisons of results are not always available. Questions that are not included in the most recent survey version are not presented in **Table 2.13** and **Table 2.14**.

MY 2020 Adult CAHPS 5.1H Survey Results

Table 2.13: CAHPS MY 2020 Adult Survey Results

Survey Section/Measure	2021 (MY 2020)	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	2020 (MY 2019)	2020 Rate Compared to 2019	2019 (MY 2018)	2021 MMC Weighted Average	
Your Health Plan							
Satisfaction with Adult's Health Plan (Rating of 8–10)	81.60%	▼	82.06%	▼	85.30%	81.40%	
Getting Needed Information (Usually or Always)	81.42%	▼	86.59%	▼	87.58%	84.68%	
Your Health Care in the Last 6 Months	Your Health Care in the Last 6 Months						
Satisfaction with Health Care (Rating of 8–10)	79.90%	▼	82.45%	A	74.43%	79.53%	
Appointment for Routine Care When Needed (Usually or Always)	84.74%	A	83.82%	A	82.67%	82.26%	

 $[\]blacktriangle \nabla$ = Performance increased (\blacktriangle) or decreased (\blacktriangledown) compared to prior year's rate.

Gray shaded boxes reflect rates above the MY 2020 MMC Weighted Average.

CAHPS: Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid managed care.

MY 2020 Child CAHPS 5.1H Survey Results

Table 2.14: CAHPS MY 2020 Child Survey Results

Survey Section/Measure	2021 (MY 2020)	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	2020 (MY 2019)	2020 Rate Compared to 2019	2019 (MY 2018)	2021 MMC Weighted Average
Your Child's Health Plan						
Satisfaction with Child's Health Plan (Rating of 8–10)	91.98%	A	91.16%	A	87.06%	88.71%
Information or Help from Customer Service (Usually or Always)	82.69%	▼	89.47%	A	80.13%	81.29%
Your Healthcare in the Last 6 Months						
Satisfaction with Health Care (Rating of 8–10)	88.46%	A	86.50%	▼	89.47%	88.84%
Appointment for Routine Care When Needed (Usually or Always)	85.57%	▼	94.35%	A	89.49%	84.77%

^{▲ ▼ =} Performance increased (<math>
▲) or decreased (▼) compared to prior year's rate.

Gray shaded boxes reflect rates above the MY 2020 MMC Weighted Average.

CAHPS: Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid managed care.

III: Review of Compliance with Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Regulations

Objectives

This section of the EQR report presents a review by IPRO of Gateway Health's (GH's) compliance with its contract and with state and federal regulations. The review is based on information derived from reviews of the MCO that were conducted by PA DHS within the past three years, most typically within the immediately preceding year.

The SMART items are a comprehensive set of monitoring items that have been developed by PA DHS from the managed care regulations. PA DHS staff reviews SMART items on an ongoing basis for each Medicaid MCO. These items vary in review periodicity as determined by DHS and reviews typically occur annually or as needed. Additionally, reviewers have the option to review individual zones covered by an MCO separately, and to provide multiple findings within a year (e.g., quarterly). Within the SMART system there is a mechanism to include review details, where comments can be added to explain the MCO's compliance, partial compliance, or non-compliance. There is a year allotted to complete all of the SMART standards; if an MCO is non-compliant or partially compliant, this time is built into the system to prevent a Standard from being "finalized." If an MCO does not address a compliance issue, DHS would discuss as a next step the option to issue a Work Plan, a Performance Improvement Plan, or a Corrective Action Plan (CAP). Any of these next steps would be communicated via formal email communications with the MCO. Per DHS, MCOs usually address the issues in SMART without the necessity for any of these actions, based on the SMART timeline.

Description of Data Obtained

The documents used by IPRO for the current review include the HealthChoices Agreement, the SMART database completed by PA DHS staff as of December 31, 2020, additional monitoring activities outlined by DHS staff, and the most recent NCQA Accreditation Survey for GH effective in the review year.

The SMART items provided much of the information necessary for this review. The SMART items and their associated review findings for each year are maintained in a database. The SMART database has been maintained internally at DHS since Review Year (RY) 2013. Beginning in 2018 (RY 2017), there were changes implemented to the review process that impacted the data that are received annually. First, the only available review conclusions are Compliant and non-Compliant. All other options previously available were re-designated from review conclusion elements to review status elements and are therefore not included in the findings. Additionally, as noted, reviewers were given the option to review zones covered by an MCO separately, and to provide multiple findings within a year (e.g., quarterly). As a result, there was an increase in the number of partially compliant items for the initial year. For use in the current review, IPRO reviewed the data elements from each version of database and then merged the RY 2019, 2018, and 2017 findings. IPRO reviewed the elements in the SMART item list and created a crosswalk to pertinent BBA regulations. A total of 135 items were identified that were relevant to evaluation of MCO compliance with the BBA regulations.

The crosswalk linked SMART Items to specific provisions of the regulations, where possible. Some items were relevant to more than one provision. The most recently revised CMS protocols included updates to the structure and compliance standards, including which standards are required for compliance review. Under these protocols, there are 11 standards that CMS has designated as required to be subject to compliance review. Several previously required standards have been deemed by CMS as incorporated into the compliance review through interaction with the new required standards, and appear to assess items that are related to the required standards. The compliance evaluation was conducted on the crosswalked regulations for all 11 required standards and remaining related standards that were previously required and continue to be reviewed.

Table 3.1 provides a count of items linked to each category. Additionally, **Table 3.1** includes all regulations and standards from the three year review period (RY 2020, 2019, and 2018), which incorporates both the prior and the most recent set of EQR protocols. The CMS regulations are reflected in **Table 3.1** as follows: 1) a *Required* column has been included to indicate the 11 standards that CMS has designated as subject to compliance review, and 2) a *Related* column has been included to indicate standards that CMS has deemed as incorporated into the compliance review through interaction with the required standards.

Table 3.1: SMART Items Count per Regulation

Table 3.1: SMART Items Count per Regulation BBA Regulation	SMART Items	Required	Related
Subpart C: Enrollee Rights and Protections			
Enrollee Rights	7		✓
Provider-Enrollee Communication	1		✓
Marketing Activities	2		✓
Liability for Payment	1		
Cost Sharing	0		
Emergency and Post-Stabilization Services – Definition	4		✓
Emergency Services: Coverage and Payment	1		✓
Solvency Standards	2		
Subpart D: MCO, PIHP and PAHP Standards			
Availability of Services	14	✓	
Assurances of adequate capacity and services	3	✓	
Coordination and Continuity of Care	13	✓	
Coverage and Authorization of Services	9	✓	
Provider Selection	4	✓	
Provider Discrimination Prohibited	1		✓
Confidentiality	1	✓	
Enrollment and Disenrollment	2		✓
Grievance and appeal Systems	1	✓	
Subcontractual Relationships and Delegations	3	✓	
Practice Guidelines	2	✓	
Health Information Systems	18	✓	
Subpart E: Quality Measurement and Improvement; Extern	nal Quality Review		
Quality assessment and performance improvement program (QAPI)	9	✓	
Subpart F: Grievance and Appeal System			
General Requirements	8		✓
Notice of Action	3		✓
Handling of Grievances and Appeals	9		✓
Resolution and Notification	7		✓
Expedited Resolution	4		✓
Information to Providers and Subcontractors	1		✓
Recordkeeping and Recording	6		✓
Continuation of Benefits Pending Appeal and State Fair Hearings	2		✓
Effectuation of Reversed Resolutions	0		✓

Two previous categories, Cost Sharing and Effectuation of Reversed Resolutions, were not directly addressed by any of the SMART Items reviewed by DHS. Cost Sharing is addressed in the HealthChoices Agreement. Effectuation of Reversed Resolutions is evaluated as part of the most recent NCQA Accreditation review under Utilization Management (UM) Standard 8: Policies for Appeals and UM 9: Appropriate Handling of Appeals.

Review of Assurances of adequate capacity and services included three additional SMART Items that reference requirements related to provider agreements and reporting of appropriate services. Additionally, monitoring team review activities addressed other elements as applicable, including: readiness reviews of a new MCO's network against the requirements in the HealthChoices Agreement to ensure the ability to adequately serve the potential membership

population; review of provider networks on several levels, such as annual MCO submissions of provider network, weekly submissions of provider additions/deletions together with executive summaries of gaps and plans of action to fill gaps as required, and regular monitoring of adequacy through review and approval of provider directories, access to care campaigns and as needed; periodic review of provider terminations with potential to cause gaps in the MCO provider network, as well as review with the MCO of the provider termination process outlined in the HealthChoices Agreement.

Determination of Compliance

To evaluate MCO compliance on individual provisions, IPRO grouped the monitoring standards by provision and evaluated the MCO's compliance status with regard to the SMART Items. For example, all provisions relating to availability of services are summarized under Availability of Services §438.206. This grouping process was done by referring to CMS's "Regulations Subject to Compliance Review", where specific Medicaid regulations are noted as required for review and corresponding sections are identified and described for each Subpart, particularly D and E. Each item was assigned a value of Compliant or non-Compliant in the Item Log submitted by DHS. If an item was not evaluated for a particular MCO, it was assigned a value of Not Determined. Compliance with the BBA requirements was then determined based on the aggregate results of the SMART Items linked to each provision within a requirement or category. If all items were Compliant, the MCO was evaluated as Compliant. If some were Compliant and some were non-Compliant, the MCO was evaluated as partially-Compliant. If all items were non-Compliant, the MCO was evaluated for a given category and no other source of information was available to determine compliance, a value of Not Determined was assigned for that category.

Categories determined to be partially- or non-Compliant are indicated where applicable in the tables below, and the SMART Items that were assigned a value of non-Compliant by DHS within those categories are noted. For GH, there were no categories determined to be partially- or non-Compliant, signifying that no SMART Items were assigned a value of non-Compliant by DHS. There are therefore no recommendations related to compliance with structure and operations standards for GH for the current review year.

In addition to this analysis of DHS's monitoring of MCO compliance with managed care regulations, IPRO reviewed and evaluated the most recent NCQA accreditation report for each MCO. IPRO accessed the NCQA *Health Plan Reports* website ¹ to review the *Health Plan Report Cards 2021* for GH. For each MCO, star ratings, accreditation status, plan type, and distinctions were displayed. At the MCO-specific pages, information displayed was related to membership size, accreditation status, survey type and schedule, and star ratings for each measure and overall.

Format

The format for this section of the report was developed to be consistent with the subparts prescribed by BBA regulations. This document groups the regulatory requirements under subject headings that are consistent with the subparts set out in the BBA regulations and described in the CMS EQR Protocol: *Review of Compliance with Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Regulations*. Under each subpart heading fall the individual regulatory categories appropriate to those headings. Findings will be further discussed relative to applicable subparts as indicated in the updated Protocol, i.e., Subpart D – MCO, PIHP and PAHP Standards and Subpart E – Quality Measurement and Improvement.

This format reflects the goal of the review, which is to gather sufficient foundation for IPRO's required assessment of the MCO's compliance with BBA regulations as an element of the analysis of the MCO's strengths and weaknesses.

Findings

Of the 135 SMART Items, 76 items were evaluated and 59 were not evaluated for the MCO in RY 2020, RY 2019, or RY 2018. For categories where items were not evaluated for compliance for RY 2020, results from reviews conducted within the two prior years (RY 2019 and RY 2018) were evaluated to determine compliance, if available.

Subpart C: Enrollee Rights and Protections

The general purpose of the regulations included in this category is to ensure that each MCO had written policies regarding enrollee rights and complies with applicable Federal and State laws that pertain to enrollee rights, and that the

¹ NCQA Health Plan Report Cards Website: https://reportcards.ncqa.org/health-plans. Accessed January 25, 2022.

MCO ensures that its staff and affiliated providers take into account those rights when furnishing services to enrollees. [42 C.F.R. §438.100 (a), (b)].

The SMART database and DHS's audit document information include assessment of the MCO's compliance with regulations found in Subpart C. **Table 3.2** presents the findings by categories consistent with the regulations. As indicated in **Table 3.1**, no regulation in this subpart is included in the updated required standards, although several are related standards.

Table 3.2: GH Compliance with Enrollee Rights and Protections Regulations

ENROLLEE RIGHTS AND PROTECTIONS REGULATIONS						
Subpart C: Categories	Compliance	Comments				
		7 items were crosswalked to this category.				
Enrollee Rights	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 6 items and was compliant				
		on 6 items based on RY 2020.				
		1 item was crosswalked to this category.				
Provider-Enrollee Communication	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant				
		on this item based on RY 2020.				
		2 items were crosswalked to this category.				
Marketing Activities	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 2 items and was compliant				
		on 2 items based on RY 2020.				
Cost Sharing	Compliant	Per HealthChoices Agreement				
Francisco Consisco Consus		1 item was crosswalked to this category.				
Emergency Services: Coverage and Payment	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant				
and rayment		on this item based on RY 2020.				
Francisco and Doot Stabilization		4 items were crosswalked to this category.				
Emergency and Post Stabilization Services	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 3 items and was compliant				
Ser vices		on 3 items based on RY 2020.				

MCO: managed care organization; RY: reporting year.

GH was evaluated against 16 of the 18 SMART Items crosswalked to Enrollee Rights and Protections Regulations and was compliant on all 16 items. GH was found to be compliant on all eight of the categories of Enrollee Rights and Protections Regulations. GH was found to be compliant on the Cost Sharing provision, based on the HealthChoices Agreement.

Subpart D: MCO, PIHP and PAHP Standards

The general purpose of the regulations included under this heading is to ensure that all services available under the commonwealth's Medicaid managed care program are available and accessible to GH enrollees. [42 C.F.R. §438.206 (a)].

The SMART database includes an assessment of the MCO's compliance with regulations found in Subpart D. For the category of Assurances of Adequate Capacity and Services, the MCO was evaluated as noted above against additional SMART Items and DHS monitoring activities. **Table 3.3** presents the findings by categories consistent with the regulations. Regulations that have been designated in **Table 3.1** as required under the updated protocols are **bolded**. The remaining are related standards.

Table 3.3: GH Compliance with MCO, PIHP and PAHP Standards Regulations

MCO, PIHP AND PAHP STANDARDS REGULATIONS					
Subpart D: Categories Compliance Comments					
		14 items were crosswalked to this category.			
Availability of Services	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 10 items and was			
		compliant on 10 items based on RY 2020.			

M	CO, PIHP AND PAHP S	TANDARDS REGULATIONS
Subpart D: Categories	Compliance	Comments
		3 items were crosswalked to this category.
Assurances of Adequate Capacity and Services	Compliant	This category was evaluated against SMART Items and RY
and services		2019 DHS monitoring activities.
		13 items were crosswalked to this category.
Coordination and Continuity of	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 12 items and was
Care	·	compliant on 12 items based on RY 2020.
		9 items were crosswalked to this category.
Coverage and Authorization of	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 7 items and was
Services		compliant on 7 items based on RY 2020.
		4 items were crosswalked to this category.
Provider Selection	Compliant	
Trovider Selection	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant on this item based on RY 2020.
		1 item was crosswalked to this category.
Provider Discrimination Prohibited	Compliant	
Trovider Discrimination From Site	Compilant	The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant on this item based on RY 2020.
		1 item was crosswalked to this category.
Confidentiality	Compliant	
Confidentiality		The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant on this item based on RY 2020.
		2 items were crosswalked to this category.
Enrollment and Disenrollment	Compliant	
Enrollment and Disenfollment	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant
		on this item based on RY 2020.
Cuiavanaa and Annaal Systems	Commissions	1 item was crosswalked to this category.
Grievance and Appeal Systems	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant
		on this item based on RY 2020.
Subcontractual Relationships and	Consultant	3 items were crosswalked to this category.
Delegations	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 3 items and was
		compliant on 3 items based on RY 2020.
	_	2 items were crosswalked to this category.
Practice Guidelines	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant
		on 1 item based on RY 2020.
		18 items were crosswalked to this category.
Health Information Systems	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 11 items and was
,	'	compliant on 10 items and partially compliant on 1 item
		based on RY 2020.

MCO: managed care organization; PIHP: prepaid inpatient health plan; PAHP: prepaid ambulatory health plan; RY: reporting year.

GH was evaluated against 49 of 71 SMART Items that were crosswalked to MCO, PIHP and PAHP Standards Regulations and was compliant on 48 items and partially compliant on 1 item. Of the 12 categories in MCO, PIHP and PAHP Standards, GH was found to be compliant on all 12 categories.

Subpart E: Quality Measurement and Improvement; External Quality Review

The general purpose of the regulations included under this heading is to ensure that managed care entities establish and implement an ongoing comprehensive QAPI program for the services it furnishes to its Medicaid enrollees. [42 C.F.R. §438.330]

The MCO's compliance with the regulation found in Subpart E was evaluated as noted above against additional SMART Items and DHS monitoring activities. **Table 3.4** presents the findings by categories consistent with the regulation. This regulation has been designated in **Table 3.1** as required under the updated protocols and is **bolded**.

Table 3.4: GH Compliance with Quality Measurement and Improvement; External Quality Review Regulations

QUALITY MEASUREMENT AND IMPROVEMENT; EXTERNAL QUALITY REVIEW REGULATIONS						
Subpart E: Categories	Compliance	Comments				
Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program (QAPI)	Compliant	9 items were crosswalked to this category. The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant on this item based on RY 2020.				

MCO: managed care organization; RY: reporting year.

GH was evaluated against one of the nine SMART Items crosswalked to Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program (QAPI) and was compliant on the one item.

Subpart F: Grievance and Appeal System

The general purpose of the regulations included under this heading is to ensure that enrollees have the ability to pursue grievances.

The SMART database and DHS's audit document information include assessment of the MCO's compliance with regulations found in Subpart F. **Table 3.5** presents the findings by categories consistent with the regulations. As indicated in **Table 3.1**, no regulation in this subpart is included in the updated required standards, although all are related standards.

Table 3.5: GH Compliance with Grievance and Appeal System Regulations

GRIEVANCE AND APPEAL SYSTEM REGULATIONS						
Subpart F: Categories	Compliance	Comments				
		8 items were crosswalked to this category.				
General Requirements	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant on this item based on RY 2020.				
		3 items were crosswalked to this category.				
Notice of Action	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 2 items and was compliant on 2 items based on RY 2020.				
		9 items were crosswalked to this category.				
Handling of Grievances & Appeals	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 2 items and was compliant on 2 items based on RY 2020.				
		7 items were crosswalked to this category.				
Resolution and Notification	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 2 items and was compliant on 2 items based on RY 2020.				
Expedited Resolution	Compliant	4 items were crosswalked to this category. The MCO was evaluated against 2 items and was compliant on 2 items based on RY 2020.				
		1 item was crosswalked to this category.				
Information to Providers and Subcontractors	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant on this item based on RY 2020.				
		6 items were crosswalked to this category.				
Recordkeeping and Recording	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 2 items and was compliant on 2 items based on RY 2020.				

GRIEVANCE AND APPEAL SYSTEM REGULATIONS					
Subpart F: Categories	Compliance	Comments			
Continuation of Benefits Pending Appeal and State Fair Hearings		2 items were crosswalked to this category.			
	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant			
Appearana state ran meanings		on this item based on RY 2020.			
Effectuation of Reversed	Compliant	Per NCQA Accreditation, 2021. (See "Accreditation Status"			
Resolutions	Compliant	below)			

MCO: managed care organization; RY: reporting year; NCQA: National Committee for Quality Assurance.

GH was evaluated against 13 of the 40 SMART Items crosswalked to Grievance and Appeal System and was compliant on all 13 items. GH was found to be compliant for all nine categories of Grievance and Appeal System. For the category of Effectuation of Reversed Resolutions, per the NCQA website, the plan is Accredited. NCQA did not conduct surveys due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Accreditation Status

GH underwent an NCQA Accreditation Survey evaluation June 30, 2021 due to COVID-19. It is effective through December 12, 2023 and they were granted an Accreditation Status of Accredited.

IV: MCO Responses to the Previous EQR Recommendations

Title 42 CFR § 438.364 External quality review results (a)(6) require each annual technical report include "an assessment of the degree to which each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity has effectively addressed the recommendations for QI made by the EQRO during the previous year's EQR." **Table 4.1** displays the MCO's opportunities as well as IPRO's assessment of their responses. The detailed responses are included in the embedded Word document. In addition to the opportunities identified from the EQR, DHS also required MCOs to develop a root cause analysis around select P4P indicators.

Current and Proposed Interventions

The general purpose of this section is to assess the degree to which each PH MCO has addressed the opportunities for improvement made by IPRO in the 2020 EQR Technical Reports, which were distributed May 2021. The 2021 EQR is the thirteenth to include descriptions of current and proposed interventions from each PH MCO that address the prior year reports' recommendations.

DHS requested that MCOs submit descriptions of current and proposed interventions using the Opportunities for Improvement form developed by IPRO to ensure that responses are reported consistently across the MCOs. These activities follow a longitudinal format, and are designed to capture information relating to:

- Follow-up actions that the MCO has taken through June 30, 2021 to address each recommendation;
- Future actions that are planned to address each recommendation;
- When and how future actions will be accomplished;
- The expected outcome or goals of the actions that were taken or will be taken; and
- The MCO's process(es) for monitoring the action to determine the effectiveness of the actions taken.

The documents informing the current report include the response submitted to IPRO as of September 2021, as well as any additional relevant documentation provided by GH.

The embedded Word document presents GH's responses to opportunities for improvement cited by IPRO in the 2020 EQR Technical Report, detailing current and proposed interventions.



Root Cause Analysis and Action Plan

The 2021 EQR is the twelfth year MCOs were required to prepare a Root Cause Analysis and Action Plan for measures on the HEDIS MY 2020 P4P Measure Matrix receiving either "D" or "F" ratings. Each P4P measure in categories "D" and "F" required that the MCO submit:

- A goal statement;
- Root cause analysis and analysis findings;
- Action plan to address findings;
- Implementation dates; and
- A monitoring plan to assure action is effective and to address what will be measured and how often that measurement will occur.

GH submitted an initial Root Cause Analysis and Action Plan in September 2021. For each measure in grade categories D and F, GH completed the embedded form, identifying factors contributing to poor performance.



For the 2021 EQR, GH was required to prepare a Root Cause Analysis and Action Plan for the following performance measures:

• Medication Management for People with Asthma: 75% Total.

GH Response to Previous EQR Recommendations

Table 4.1 displays GH's progress related to the 2020 External Quality Review Report, as well as IPRO's assessment of GH's response.

Table 1: GH Response to Previous EQR Recommendations

Table 1: GH Response to Previous EQR Recommendations	IDDO Assessment
	IPRO Assessment
Recommendation for GH	of MCO
	Response ¹
Improve Chlamydia Screening in Women (Age 16-20 years)	Partially
	addressed
Improve Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception - 3	Partially
days (Ages 15 to 20)	Addressed
Improve Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC - 3 days (Ages 15 to 20)	Addressed
Improve Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC - 60 days (Ages 15 to 20)	Addressed
Improve Medication Management for People with Asthma - 75% Compliance (Age 12-18 years)	Measure retired
Improve Medication Management for People with Asthma - 75% Compliance (Age 51-64 years)	Measure retired
Improve Asthma Medication Ratio (19-50 years)	Addressed
Improve Asthma Medication Ratio (51-64 years)	Addressed
Improve Asthma Medication Ratio (Total)	Addressed
Improve Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Age 40	Partially
to 64 years) per 100,000 member months	addressed
Improve Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Total	Partially
Age 40+) per 100,000 member months	addressed
Improve Diabetes Care for People with Serious Mental Illness: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control	Remains and
(>9.0%) (Age Cohort: 18 - 64 Years of Age)	opportunity for
	improvement
Improve Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Age 18-64 years) per 100,000 member	Partially
months	addressed
Improve Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Total Age 18+ years) per 100,000	Partially
member months	addressed
Improve Heart Failure Admission Rate (Age 18-64 years) per 100,000 member months	Partially
	addressed
Improve Heart Failure Admission Rate (Age 65+ years) per 100,000 member months	Remains and
	opportunity for
	improvement
Improve Heart Failure Admission Rate (Total Age 18+ years) per 100,000 member months	Partially
	addressed

¹ IPRO assessments are as follows: **addressed**: MCP's quality improvement (QI) response resulted in demonstrated improvement; **partially addressed**: either of the following (1) improvement was observed, but identified as an opportunity for current year; or (2) improvement not observed, but not identified as an opportunity for current year; **remains an opportunity for improvement**: MCP's QI response did not address the recommendation; improvement was not observed or performance declined. EQR: external quality review; MCO: managed care organization.

V: MCO Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement and EQR Recommendations

The review of the MCO's MY 2020 performance for all EQR activities conducted, against Medicaid and CHIP managed care regulations, performance improvement projects and performance measures identified strengths and opportunities for improvement in the quality outcomes, timeliness of, and access to services for Medicaid members served by this MCO. The strengths and opportunities listed below are also outlined within each applicable section above. Each section contains more detail regarding the review and identification of the items.

Strengths

- The MCO's performance was statistically significantly above/better than the MMC weighted average in 2021 (MY 2020) on the following measures:
 - o Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Age 20-44 years);
 - Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (12-17 years);
 - Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (18-21 years);
 - o Body Mass Index: Percentile (Age 12-17 years);
 - Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total);
 - Counseling for Physical Activity (Age 3-11 years);
 - Counseling for Physical Activity (Age 12-17 years);
 - Counseling for Physical Activity (Total);
 - o Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication Initiation Phase;
 - o Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication Continuation Phase;
 - Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication (BH Enhanced) Initiation Phase;
 - o Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication (BH Enhanced) Continuation Phase;
 - Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars (≥ 1 Molar);
 - Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars (All 4 Molars);
 - Prenatal Screening Positive for Depression;
 - Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Age 18-64 years);
 - Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Age 18-64 years);
 - Controlling High Blood Pressure (Total Rate);
 - o Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia;
 - o Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia (BH Enhanced);
 - Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Age 1-11 years);
 - Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Total Age 1-17 years);
 - Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Cholesterol Testing (Age 1-11 years);
 - o Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing (Age 1-11 years); and
 - Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing (Total Age 1-17 years).
- GH was found to be fully compliant on all contract and with state and federal managed care regulations reviewed.

Opportunities for Improvement

- GH was found to be partially compliant on two review elements for both the Opioid and Readmissions PIPs: Element 3. Barrier Analysis, Interventions and Monitoring and Element 5. Discussion.
- The MCO's performance was statistically significantly below/worse than the MMC rate in 2021 (MY 2020) as indicated by the following measures:
 - Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness or Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64 - ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days);

- Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception 3 days (Ages 15 to 20);
- Prenatal Screening for Smoking;
- o Prenatal Screening for Smoking during one of the first two visits (CHIPRA indicator);
- o Prenatal Screening for Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposure;
- Prenatal Counseling for Smoking;
- Prenatal Screening for Depression;
- o Prenatal Screening for Depression during one of the first two visits (CHIPRA indicator);
- Postpartum Screening for Depression;
- o Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Age 3 months-17 years);
- Asthma Medication Ratio (12-18 years);
- Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Age 40 to 64 years) per 100,000 member months;
- Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Age 65 years and older) per 100,000 member months;
- Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Total Age 40+) per 100,000 member months;
- o Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Age 18-64 years) per 100,000 member months;
- o Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Total Age 18+ years) per 100,000 member months;
- Diabetes Care for People with Serious Mental Illness: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (>9.0%)
 (Age Cohort: 18 64 Years of Age);
- o Heart Failure Admission Rate (Age 18-64 years) per 100,000 member months;
- o Heart Failure Admission Rate (Age 65+ years) per 100,000 member months; and
- o Heart Failure Admission Rate (Total Age 18+ years) per 100,000 member months.

Additional targeted opportunities for improvement are found in the MCO-specific HEDIS MY 2020 P4P Measure Matrix that follows.

P4P Measure Matrix Report Card 2021 (MY 2020)

The Pay-for-Performance (P4P) Matrix Report Card provides a comparative look at all measures in the Quality Performance Measures component of the "HealthChoices MCO Pay for Performance Program." There are ten measures: seven are classified as both HEDIS and CMS Core Set measures, two are solely HEDIS and one is solely a CMS Child Core Set measure. The matrix:

- 1. Compares the Managed Care Organization's (MCO's) own P4P measure performance over the two most recent reporting years (2021 (MY 2020) and 2020 (MY 2019)); and
- 2. Compares the MCO's MY 2020 P4P measure rates to the MY 2020 Medicaid Managed Care (MMC) Weighted Average, or the MCO Average as applicable.

A matrix represents the comparisons in each of **Figure 5.1** and **Figure 5.2**. In **Figure 5.1**, the horizontal comparison represents the MCO's current performance as compared to the most recent MMC weighted average. When comparing a MCO's rate to the MMC weighted average for each respective measure, the MCO rate can be either above average, average, or below average. For each rate, the MCO's performance is determined using a 95% confidence interval for that rate. The difference between the MCO rate and MMC Weighted Average is statistically significant if the MMC Weighted Average is not included in the range, given by the 95% confidence interval. When noted, the MCO comparative differences represent statistically significant differences from the MMC weighted average.

The vertical comparison represents the MCO's performance for each measure in relation to its prior year's rates for the same measure. The MCO's rate can trend up ($\hat{\Omega}$), have no change, or trend down (\mathbb{Q}). For these year-to-year comparisons, the statistical significance of the difference between two independent proportions was determined by calculating the z-ratio. A z-ratio is a statistical measure that quantifies the difference between two percentages when they come from two separate study populations. Noted comparative differences denote statistically significant differences between the years.

Figure 5.2 represents a matrix for the Plan All-Cause Readmissions measure. Instead of a percentage, performance on this measure is assessed via a ratio of observed readmissions to expected readmissions. Additionally, a MMC Weighted Average is not calculated. Given the different parameters for this measure, comparisons are made based on absolute differences in the O/E ratio between years and against the current year's MCO Average.

For some measures, lower rates indicate better performance; these measures are specified in each matrix. Therefore, the matrix labels denote changes as above/better and below/worse. Each matrix is color-coded to indicate when a MCO's performance for these P4P measures is notable or whether there is cause for action. Using the comparisons described above as applicable for each measure, the color codes are:

The green box (A) indicates that performance is notable. The MCO's MY 2020 rate is above/better than the MY

2020 average and above/better than the MCO's MY 2019 rate.
The light green boxes (B) indicate either that the MCO's MY 2020 rate does not differ from the MY 2020 average and is above/better than MY 2019, or that the MCO's MY 2020 rate is above/better than the MY 2020 average but ther is no change from the MCO's MY 2019 rate.
The yellow boxes (C) indicate that the MCO's MY 2020 rate is below/worse than the MY 2020 average and

above/better than the MY 2019 rate, or the MCO's MY 2020 rate does not differ from the MY 2020 average and there is no change from MY 2019, or the MCO's MY 2020 rate is above/better than the MY 2020 average but is lower/worse than the MCO's MY 2019 rate. No action is required although MCOs should identify continued opportunities for improvement.

The orange boxes (D) indicate either that the MCO's MY 2020 rate is lower/worse than the MY 2020 average and there is no change from MY 2019, or that the MCO's MY 2020 rate is not different than the MY 2020 average and is lower/worse than the MCO's MY 2019 rate. *A root cause analysis and plan of action is therefore required.*

The red box (F) indicates that the MCO's MY 2020 rate is below/worse than the MY 2020 average and is below/worse than the MCO's MY 2019 rate. *A root cause analysis and plan of action is therefore required.*



GH Key Points

A - Performance is notable. No action required. MCOs may have internal goals to improve.

No P4P measures fell into this comparison category.

■ B - No action required. MCOs may identify continued opportunities for improvement.

Measure(s) that in MY 2020 are statistically significantly above/better than the MY 2020 MMC weighted average but not statistically significantly different from the MCO's MY 2019 rate:

Controlling High Blood Pressure

C - No action required although MCOs should identify continued opportunities for improvement.

Measure(s) that in MY 2020 did not statistically significantly change from MY 2019, and are not statistically significantly different from the MY 2020 MMC weighted average:

- Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Poor Control²
- Prenatal Care in the First Trimester
- Postpartum Care
- Lead Screening in Children
- Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life

Measure(s) that in MY 2020 are statistically significantly above/better than the MY 2020 MMC weighted average, but are statistically significantly lower/worse than the MCO's MY 2019 rate:

Annual Dental Visit (Ages 2—20 years)

Measure(s) that in MY 2020 are statistically significantly lower/worse than the MY 2020 MMC weighted average, but are statistically significantly above/better than the MCO's MY 2019 rate:

Asthma Medication Ratio³

Measure(s) that in MY 2020 are lower/worse than the MY 2020 average but above/better than the MCO's MY 2019 rate:

Plan All-Cause Readmissions⁴

D - Root cause analysis and plan of action required.

Measure(s) that in MY 2020 are not statistically significantly different than the MY 2020 MMC weighted average, but are statistically significantly lower/worse than the MCO's MY 2019 rate:

Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months: First 15 Months of Life (6 or more visits)⁵

F - Root cause analysis and plan of action required.

No P4P measures fell into this comparison category.

² Lower rates for Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Poor Control indicate better performance.

³ Asthma Medication Ratio was added as a P4P measure in 2021 (MY 2020) to replace Medication Management of Asthma.

⁴ Plan All-Cause Readmissions was added as a P4P measure in 2021 (MY 2020). Lower rates indicate better performance.

⁵ Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months: First 15 Months of Life (6 or more visits) replaces Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life, 6 or more.

Figure 5.1: P4P Measure Matrix – Rate Measures

	Medicaid Managed Care Weighted Average Statistical Significance Comparison			
	Trend	Below/Worse than Average	Average	Above/Better than Average
	1	C Asthma Medication Ratio ⁶	В	A
Year to Year Statistical Significance Comparison	No Change	D	C Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Poor Control ⁷ Prenatal Care in the First Trimester Postpartum Care Lead Screening in Children Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life	B Controlling High Blood Pressure
	•	F	Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months: First 15 Months of Life (6 or more visits) ⁸	C Annual Dental Visit (Ages 2—20 years)

Figure 5.2: P4P Measure Matrix – PCR Ratio Measure

	MCO Average Comparison			
	Trend	Below/Worse than Average	Average	Above/Better than Average
Year to Year	1	C Plan All-Cause Readmissions ⁹	В	A

⁶ Asthma Medication Ratio was added as a P4P measure in 2021 (MY 2020) to replace Medication Management of Asthma.

⁷ Lower rates for Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Poor Control indicate better performance.

⁸ Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months: First 15 Months of Life (6 or more visits) replaces Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life, 6 or more.

⁹ Plan All-Cause Readmissions was added as a P4P measure in 2021 (MY 2020). Lower rates indicate better performance.

P4P performance measure rates for 2018 (MY 2017), 2019 (MY 2018), 2020 (MY 2019), and MY 2020 as applicable are displayed in **Table 5.1**. The following symbols indicate the differences between the reporting years.

- ▲ Statistically significantly higher than the prior year,
- ▼ Statistically significantly lower than the prior year or
- = No change from the prior year.

Table 5.1: P4P Measure Rates

Quality Performance Measure – HEDIS Percentage Rate Metric ¹	HEDIS 2018 (MY 2017) Rate	HEDIS 2019 (MY 2018) Rate	HEDIS 2020 (MY 2019) Rate	HEDIS MY 2020 Rate	HEDIS MY 2020 MMC WA
Comprehensive Diabetes Care - HbA1c Poor Control ²	39.9% =	42.4% =	34.5% ▼	36.0% =	38.4%
Controlling High Blood Pressure	52.3% =	65.5% ▲	68.6% =	71.3% =	63.4%
Prenatal Care in the First Trimester	81.5% =	83.5% =	89.3% ▲	87.8% =	88.9%
Postpartum Care	66.2% =	63.0% =	79.1% ▲	75.4% =	77.8%
Annual Dental Visits (Ages 2 – 20 years)	63.7% ▲	64.0% =	65.4% ▲	55.9% ▼	54.2%
Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months: First 15 Months of Life (6 or more visits) ³	68.4% =	70.3% =	74.5% =	66.5% ▼	65.2%
Asthma Medication Ratio ⁴				62.2% ▲	64.8%
Lead Screening in Children			85.2% ▲	83.9% =	83.2%
Quality Performance Measure – Other Percentage Rate Metric	2018 (MY 2017) Rate	2019 (MY 2018) Rate	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	MY 2020 Rate	MY 2020 MMC WA
Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life (CMS Child Core)			59.8% ▲	60.4% =	59.6%
Quality Performance Measure – HEDIS Ratio Metric	HEDIS 2018 (MY 2017) Ratio	HEDIS 2019 (MY 2018) Ratio	HEDIS 2020 (MY 2019) Ratio	HEDIS MY 2020 Ratio	HEDIS MY 2020 MCO Average
Plan All-Cause Readmissions ⁵				1.08 ▼	1.02

¹ Statistically significant difference is indicated for all measures except Plan All-Cause Readmissions. For this measure, differences are indicated based on absolute differences in the O/E ratio between years.

² Lower rates for Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Poor Control indicate better performance.

³ Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months: First 15 Months of Life (6 or more visits) replaces Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life. 6 or more.

⁴ Asthma Medication Ratio was added as a P4P measure in 2021 (MY 2020) to replace Medication Management of Asthma.

⁵ Plan All-Cause Readmissions was added as a P4P measure in 2021 (MY 2020). Lower rates indicate better performance.

P4P: Pay-for-Performance; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; WA: weighted average.

Table 5.2: EOR Recommendations

Measure/Project	IPRO's Recommendation	Standards	
Performance Improvement Proje	cts (PIPs)		
	It is recommended that rates of OUD be split out by race to showcase member data that specifically supports the Project Topic.		
Preventing Inappropriate Use or Overuse of Opioids	It was recommended that the MCO explore further development of barriers, namely determining root causes, rather than reporting and outcome as a barrier. Examples were provided to the MCO.		
	It is recommended that all ITMs with denominator of '0' be revised to be 'N/A'.	Quality	
	It is recommended that GH include examples, such as ones provided in the report template, to identify factors that threaten internal and external validity to the study.	Access	
	It was recommended that the MCO include corrected references to HEDIS in the report's Methodology section. They are currently referring to the incorrect baseline period, MY 2020 rather than MY 2019.	Quality	
Reducing Potentially Preventable Hospital	It was recommended that the MCO revise Indicator 4 to include two denominators, an Initiation and Engagement denominator.	Quality	
Admissions, Readmissions and ED visits	It was recommended that the MCO explore further development of barriers, namely determining root causes. Examples were provided to the MCO.	Quality	
	It was recommended that GH include examples, such as ones provided in the report template, to identify factors that threaten internal and external validity to the study.	Access	
Performance Measures and CAHF	PS Survey		
Diabetes Care	It is recommended that GH improve diabetes care, particularly for its members with diagnosed serious mental illness. The measure Diabetes Care for People with Serious Mental Illness: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (>9.0%) for members age 18 – 64 years old was an opportunity for improvement in 2020, and was identified again in 2021.	Quality	
Heart Failure Admissions	It is recommended that the MCO improve heart failure admissions, particularly for members 65 years and older. Heart Failure Admission Rate increased in 2021 and has been an opportunity for improvement in 2020 and in 2021.	Quality, Access	
Compliance with Medicaid and C	HIP Managed Care Regulations		
There are no recommendations refer the MCO for the current review	elated to compliance with Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Regulations w year.	N/A	

EQR: external quality review; MCO: managed care organization; ED: emergency department; CAHPS: Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems; N/A: not applicable.

VI: Summary of Activities

Performance Improvement Projects

 As previously noted, GH's Opioid and Readmission PIP proposal submissions were validated. The MCO received feedback and subsequent information related to these activities from IPRO.

Performance Measures

• GH reported all HEDIS, PA-Specific, and CAHPS Survey performance measures in 2021 for which the MCO had a sufficient denominator.

Structure and Operations Standards

• GH was found to be fully compliant on all contract and with state and federal managed care regulations reviewed. Compliance review findings for GH from RY 2021, RY 2020, and RY 2019 were used to make the determinations.

2020 Opportunities for Improvement MCO Response

GH provided a response to the opportunities for improvement issued in the 2020 annual technical report and a root
cause analysis and action plan for those measures on the HEDIS 2020 P4P Measure Matrix receiving either "D" or "F"
ratings.

2021 Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement

 Both strengths and opportunities for improvement have been noted for GH in 2021. A response will be required by the MCO for the noted opportunities for improvement in 2022.

Appendix

Performance Improvement Project Interventions

As referenced in **Section I: Validation of Performance Improvement Projects**, **Table A.1.1** lists all of the interventions outlined in the MCO's most recent PIP submission for the review year.

Table A.1.1: PIP Interventions

Summary of Interventions

Gateway Health Plan - Opioid

- 1. Lancaster EMS community paramedicine post-overdose follow up to provide education regarding available treatment options, provide appropriate referrals to treatment and support member the member in accessing treatment.
- 2. Increase the number of first responder ambulance companies who provide SBIRT and/or post overdose follow up to members who experience an overdose but do not consent to treatment in the Emergency Department.
- **Revised 2.** Increase the number of members who access treatment for SUD post overdose referred to Gateway Health behavioral health Case Management by an EMS agency after EMS response to a substance use related emergency.
- 3. Case Management follow-up for members identified by Admission, Discharge and Transfer data for ED utilization related to substance abuse to ensure that the member received appropriate referral to treatment and initiated treatment.
- 4. Members who are identified by Utilization Management (UM) during clinical review as having an OUD will be referred to Case Management (CM) for support and intervention to address the member's underlying OUD diagnosis.
- 5. Gateway Health is working with a BH MCO partner (Perform Care) to administer a provider survey among the top 5 Primary Care Provider (PCP) offices in the shared service area to identify barriers that may prevent PCPs from screening patients for SUD and/or prescribing MAT. Based on responses received, Gateway Health and PerformCare will provide the PCP with interventions to reducing the barrier.
- 6. Deploy training and information to providers on SBIRT by Gateway Health Clinical Addictions Specialists.
- 7. Through Gateway Health's virtually integrated care collaboration networks, Gateway Health will implement behavioral health and addiction specific sub-committees to support health systems in developing tools and workflows to support identifying, engaging and supporting members in obtaining OUD treatment throughout the continuum of care.

Gateway Health Plan - Readmission

- 1. The Gateway Health Delivery Systems Transformation Team will educate and provide data to Gateway Health's high volume Primary Care Practices to assist providers in identifying and outreaching to members on their assigned panels who have not had a PCP visit in the past 15 months and will schedule member for appointment. The member's assigned Primary Care Provider practices receive a list, from Gateway Health, of attributed members who Gateway Health does not have a claim for a PCP visit in the past 15 months. The report is provided on a quarterly basis. This intervention is targeted to the entire Gateway Health PA Medicaid member population who is assigned to a high volume provider.
- 2. Increase member education through member newsletter articles, Case Management education, social media, and provider education to patients regarding access to 24 hour/ 7 day a week Nurseline.
- 3. Use of Admission, Discharge, Transfer (ADT) data available through Health information exchanges to identify members with recent ED utilization to support members in receiving necessary follow up care. This report is shared with both internal Gateway Health case managers as well as the member's primary care provider.
- 4. Members identified as having recent ED or inpatient utilization will receive follow up from Case Management within 2 business days of the ED visit or inpatient discharge to educate the member on the need for a follow up appointment.

Summary of Interventions

- 5. Notify prescribers via provider portal that a patient that they prescribe antipsychotic medications to has become non-adherent to the medication having a proportion of days covered (PDC) of less than 85% which could lead to ED utilization of the SMI population, Inpatient Admissions in the SMI population and Inpatient Readmissions in the SMI population and encourage providers to schedule an appointment with the patient or outreach to the patient to address medication adherence concerns and support the patient in resolving medication adherence concerns.
- 6. Identify members with co-occurring serious mental illness and substance use disorder for integrated care planning with the BH MCO and their medical and behavioral health providers to collaboratively develop an integrated care plan to ensure that the member's medical, behavioral health, and social needs are adequately being met through holistic care provided by members of the care team.
- 7. Supply home delivered meals post-hospital discharge for members who screen positive for food insecurity and have secure housing upon discharge from hospital.
- 8. Visits to the member home by community paramedics to assess and provide referrals to address SDoH, including mental health and substance abuse, concerns within seven days after an emergency department visit or hospital discharge.