

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Human Services Office of Medical Assistance Programs

2021 External Quality Review Report Health Partners Plans

Final Report April 2022



Corporate Headquarters 1979 Marcus Avenue Lake Success, NY 11042-1072 (516) 326-7767 ipro.org ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	4
Purpose and Background	4
I: VALIDATION OF PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	
OBJECTIVES	
TECHNICAL METHODS OF DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS	
FINDINGS	
II: PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND CAHPS SURVEY	12
OBJECTIVES	
PA-SPECIFIC AND CMS CORE SET PERFORMANCE MEASURE SELECTION AND DESCRIPTIONS	
HEDIS Performance Measure Selection and Descriptions	
IMPLEMENTATION OF PA-SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND HEDIS AUDIT	
CONCLUSIONS AND COMPARATIVE FINDINGS	
CONSUMER ASSESSMENT OF HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS AND SYSTEMS (CAHPS) SURVEY	
UIL DEVIEW OF COMPLIANCE WITH MEDICALD AND CHID MANAGED CADE DECLINATIONS	
III: REVIEW OF COMPLIANCE WITH MEDICAID AND CHIP MANAGED CARE REGULATIONS	
OBJECTIVES	
DESCRIPTION OF DATA OBTAINED	
DETERMINATION OF COMPLIANCE	
FORMAT	
ACCREDITATION STATUS	
ACCREDITATION STATUS	
IV: MCO RESPONSES TO THE PREVIOUS EQR RECOMMENDATIONS	61
CURRENT AND PROPOSED INTERVENTIONS	61
ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS AND ACTION PLAN	61
HPP RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS EQR RECOMMENDATIONS	62
V: MCO STRENGTHS AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT AND EQR RECOMMENDATION	NS64
Strengths	
OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT	65
P4P MEASURE MATRIX REPORT CARD 2021 (MY 2020)	67
VI: SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES	72
Performance Improvement Projects.	
Performance Measures	
STRUCTURE AND OPERATIONS STANDARDS.	
2020 OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT MCO RESPONSE	
2021 Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement	
APPENDIX	72
PEDECOMANICE IMPROVEMENT DROIECT INTERVENTIONS	

List of Tables and Figures

Table 1.1: Element Designation	9
Table 1.2: HPP PIP Compliance Assessments	12
Table 2.1: Performance Measure Groupings	13
Table 2.2: Access to/Availability of Care	29
Table 2.3: Well-Care Visits and Immunizations	30
Table 2.4: EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up	33
Table 2.5: EPSDT: Dental Care for Children and Adults	35
Table 2.6: Women's Health	37
Table 2.7: Obstetric and Neonatal Care	39
Table 2.8: Respiratory Conditions	41
Table 2.9: Comprehensive Diabetes Care	44
Table 2.10: Cardiovascular Care	46
Table 2.11: Utilization	49
Table 2.12: Utilization (Continued)	52
Table 2.13: CAHPS MY 2020 Adult Survey Results	53
Table 2.14: CAHPS MY 2020 Child Survey Results	53
Table 3.1: SMART Items Count per Regulation	55
Table 3.2: HPP Compliance with Enrollee Rights and Protections Regulations	57
Table 3.3: HPP Compliance with MCO, PIHP and PAHP Standards Regulations	58
Table 3.4: HPP Compliance with Quality Measurement and Improvement; External Quality Review Regulations	59
Table 3.5: HPP Compliance with Grievance and Appeal System Regulations	59
Table 4.1: HPP Response to Previous EQR Recommendations	62
Figure 5.1: P4P Measure Matrix – Rate Measures	69
Figure 5.2: P4P Measure Matrix – PCR Ratio Measure	69
Table 5.1: P4P Measure Rates	
Table 5.2: EQR Recommendations	71
Table A.1.1: PIP Interventions	73

Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®) is a registered trademark of the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA). $NCQA^{TM}$ is a trademark of the National Committee for Quality Assurance. Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS®) is a registered trademark of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ).

Introduction

Purpose and Background

The final rule of the Balanced Budget Act (BBA) of 1997 requires that State agencies contract with an External Quality Review Organization (EQRO) to conduct an annual external quality review (EQR) of the services provided by contracted Medicaid Managed Care Organizations (MCOs). This EQR must include an analysis and evaluation of aggregated information on quality, timeliness and access to the health care services that a MCO furnishes to Medicaid Managed Care recipients. The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) is required to develop EQR protocols to guide and support the annual EQR process. The first set of protocols was issued in 2003 and updated in 2012. CMS revised the protocols in 2018 to incorporate regulatory changes contained in the May 2016 Medicaid and CHIP managed care final rule. Updated protocols were published in late 2019.

The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (PA) Department of Human Services (DHS) Office of Medical Assistance Programs (OMAP) contracted with IPRO as its EQRO to conduct the 2021 EQRs (Review Period: 1/1/2020 - 12/31/2020) for the HealthChoices PH MCOs and to prepare the technical reports. HealthChoices Physical Health (PH) is the mandatory managed care program that provides Medical Assistance (MA) recipients with physical health services in PA.

The mandatory EQR-related activities that must be included in detailed technical reports, per 42 C.F.R. §438.358, are as follows:

- validation of performance improvement projects,
- · validation of MCO performance measures, and
- review of compliance with Medicaid and CHIP managed care regulations.

It should be noted that a fourth mandatory activity, validation of network adequacy, was named in the CMS External Quality Review (EQR) Protocols published in October 2019. However, CMS has not published an official protocol for this activity, and this activity is conducted at the state's discretion. Each managed care program agreement entered into by DHS identifies network adequacy standards for those programs. For PH MCOs, DHS has published multiple provider network standards through its Exhibit AAA: Provider Network Composition/Service Access; MCOs submit annual geographic access reports as outlined in these standards. DHS uses a web-based program to assist with ongoing network compliance and during the review year, its monitoring team planned implementation of new methods of verification, such as Access to Care campaigns, network spot checks, and provider directory reviews.

This technical report includes six core sections:

- I. Performance Improvement Projects
- II. Performance Measures and Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS®) Survey
- III. Structure and Operation Standards
- IV. 2020 Opportunities for Improvement MCO Response
- V. 2021 Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement
- VI. Summary of Activities

Information for **Section I** of this report is derived from activities conducted with and on behalf of DHS to research, select, and define Performance Improvement Projects (PIPs) for a new validation cycle, as well as IPRO's validation of each PH MCO's PIPs, including review of the PIP design and implementation using documents provided by the MCO.

Information for **Section II** of this report is derived from IPRO's validation of each PH MCO's performance measure submissions. Performance measure validation as conducted by IPRO includes PA-specific performance measures as well as Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®) measures for each Medicaid PH MCO. Within **Section II**, CAHPS Survey results follow the performance measures.

For the PH Medicaid MCOs, the information for the compliance with Structure and Operations Standards in **Section III** of the report is derived from the commonwealth's monitoring of the MCOs against the Systematic Monitoring, Access and

Retrieval Technology (SMART) standards, from the HealthChoices Agreement, and from National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA™) accreditation results for each MCO. This section also contains discussion of the revisions to the required structure and compliance standards presented in the updated EQR protocols.

Section IV, 2020 Opportunities for Improvement – MCO Response, includes the MCO's responses to the 2020 EQR Technical Report's opportunities for improvement and presents the degree to which the MCO addressed each opportunity for improvement.

Section V has a summary of the MCO's strengths and opportunities for improvement for this review period as determined by IPRO and a "report card" of the MCO's performance as related to selected HEDIS measures. **Section VI** provides a summary of EQR activities for the PH MCO for this review period.

I: Validation of Performance Improvement Projects

Objectives

Title 42 CFR § 438.330(d) establishes that state agencies require contracted MCO/MCPs to conduct PIPs that focus on both clinical and non-clinical areas. According to the CMS, the purpose of a PIP is to assess and improve the processes and outcomes of health care provided by an MCO/MCP.

In accordance with current BBA regulations, IPRO undertook validation of Performance Improvement Projects (PIPs) for each Medicaid PH MCO. For the purposes of the EQR, PH MCOs were required to participate in studies selected by OMAP for validation by IPRO in 2020 for 2019 activities. Under the applicable HealthChoices Agreement with the DHS in effect during this review period, Medicaid PH MCOs are required to conduct focused studies each year. For all PH MCOs, two PIPs were initiated as part of this requirement in 2020. For all PIPs, PH MCOs are required to implement improvement actions and to conduct follow-up in order to demonstrate initial and sustained improvement or the need for further action.

As part of the EQR PIP cycle that was initiated for all PH MCOs in 2020, PH MCOs were required to implement two internal PIPs in priority topic areas chosen by DHS. For this PIP cycle, two topics were selected: "Preventing Inappropriate Use or Overuse of Opioids" and "Reducing Potentially Preventable Hospital Admissions and Readmissions and Emergency Department Visits".

"Preventing Inappropriate Use or Overuse of Opioids" was selected in light of the growing epidemic of accidental drug overdose in the United States, which is currently the leading cause of death in those under 50 years old living in the United States. In light of this, governmental regulatory agencies have released multiple regulatory measures and societal recommendations in an effort to decrease the amount of opioid prescriptions. PA DHS has sought to implement these measures as quickly as possible to impact its at-risk populations. While these measures are new and there is currently little historical data on these measures as of 2020, it remains a priority that future trends are monitored. MCOs were encouraged to develop aim statements, or objectives, for this project that look at preventing overuse/overdose, promoting treatment options, and stigma-reducing initiatives. Since the HEDIS Risk of Continued Opioid Use (COU) and CMS Adult Core Set Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines (COB) measures were first-year measures in 2019, a comparison to the national average was not available at project implementation. However, in PA, Use of Opioids at High Dosage (HDO) was found to be better than the national average for 2019, while Use of Opioids from Multiple Providers (UOP) was worse. The HEDIS UOP measure was worse than the national average for all three indicators: four or more prescribers, four or more pharmacies, and four or more prescribers and pharmacies.

In addition to increased collection of national measures, DHS has implemented mechanisms to examine other issues related to opioid use disorder (OUD) and coordinated treatment. In 2016, the governor of PA implemented the Centers of Excellence (COE) for Opioid Use Disorder program. Prior to COE implementation, 48% of Medicaid enrollees received OUD treatment, whereas after one year of implementation, 71% received treatment. Additionally, the DHS Quality Care Hospital Assessment Initiative, which focuses on ensuring access to quality hospital services for Pennsylvania Medical Assistance (MA) beneficiaries, was reauthorized in 2018 and included the addition of an Opioid Use Disorder (OUD) incentive. The incentive, based on follow up within 7 days for opioid treatment after a visit to the emergency department (ED) for opioid use disorder, allows hospitals the opportunity to earn incentives by implementing defined clinical pathways to help them get more individuals with OUD into treatment. The DHS also worked with the University of Pittsburgh to analyze OUD treatment, particularly MAT, for PA Medicaid enrollees. Among the findings presented in January 2020 were that the number of Medicaid enrollees receiving medication for OUD more than doubled from 2014-2018, and that the increase was driven by office-based prescriptions for buprenorphine or naltrexone, was seen for nearly all demographic sub-groups, and was higher for rural areas. Similarly, under the Drug and Treatment Act (DATA), prescription rates for buprenorphine have increased. This act allows qualifying practitioners to prescribe buprenorphine for OUD treatment from 30 up to 275 patients and is another component of DHS' continuum of care.

Because opioid misuse and abuse is a national crisis, and due to the impact this has had particularly on PA, the new PH PIP is centered on opioids in the following four common outcome objectives: opioid prevention, harm reduction,

coordination/facilitation into treatment, and increase medicated-assisted treatment (MAT) utilization. For this PIP, the four outcome measures discussed above will be collected and in consideration of the initiatives already implemented in PA, three process oriented measures related to these initiatives will also be collected, focusing on the percentage of individuals with OUD who get into MAT, the duration of treatment for those that get into MAT, and follow-up after an emergency department (ED) visit for OUD. MCOs will define these three measures for their PIPs.

For this PIP, OMAP has required all PH MCOs to submit the following measures on an annual basis:

- Use of Opioids at High Dosage (HDO HEDIS)
- Use of Opioids from Multiple Providers (UOP HEDIS)
- Risk of Continued Opioid Use (COU HEDIS)
- Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines (COB CMS Adult Core Set)
- Percent of Individuals with OUD who receive MAT (MCO-defined)
- Percentage of adults > 18 years with pharmacotherapy for OUD who have (MCO-defined):
 - o at least 90 and;
 - o 180 days of continuous treatment
- Follow-up treatment within 7 days after ED visit for Opioid Use Disorder (MCO-defined)

Additionally, MCOs are expected to expand efforts to address health disparities in their populations. MCOs were instructed to identify race and ethnicity barriers and identify interventions that will be implemented to remediate the barriers identified.

"Reducing Potentially Preventable Hospital Admissions and Readmissions and Emergency Department Visits" was selected again due to several factors. General findings and recommendations from the PA Rethinking Care Program (RCP) – Serious Mental Illness (SMI) Innovation Project (RCP-SMI) and Joint PH/BH Readmission projects, as well as overall statewide readmission rates and results from several applicable HEDIS and PA Performance Measures across multiple years have highlighted this topic as an area of concern to be addressed for improvement. For the recently completed Readmissions PIP, several performance measures targeted at examining preventable hospitalizations and ED visits were collected, including measures collected as part of the PH-MCO and BH-MCO Integrated Care Plan (ICP) Program Pay for Performance Program, which was implemented in 2016 to address the needs of individuals with serious persistent mental illness (SPMI). From PIP reporting years 2016 to 2019, results were varied across measures and MCOs. Additionally, from 2017 to 2019, the ICP performance measures targeting the SPMI population showed inconsistent trends and little to no improvement in reducing hospitalizations and ED visits.

Research continues to indicate multiple factors that can contribute to preventable admissions and readmissions as well as the link between readmissions and mental illness. Additionally, within PA, there are existing initiatives that lend themselves to integration of care and targeting preventable hospitalizations, and can potentially be leveraged for applicable interventions. The Patient-Centered Medical Home (PCMH) model of patient care, which focuses on the whole person, taking both the individual's PH and BH into account, has been added to the HealthChoices Agreement. The DHS Quality Care Hospital Assessment Initiative focuses on ensuring access to quality hospital services for PA MA beneficiaries. Under this initiative, the Hospital Quality Incentive Program (HQIP) builds off of existing DHS programs: MCO P4P, Provider P4P within HealthChoices PH, and the ICP Program. It focuses on preventable admissions and provides incentives for annual improvement or against a state benchmark.

Given the PA DHS initiatives that focus on coordination and integration of services and the inconsistent improvement on several metrics, it has become apparent that continued intervention in this area of healthcare for the HealthChoices population is warranted. MCOs were encouraged to develop aim statements for this project that look at reducing potentially avoidable ED visits and hospitalizations, including admissions that are avoidable initial admissions and readmissions that are potentially preventable.

For this PIP, OMAP has required all PH MCOs to submit the following core measures on an annual basis:

- Ambulatory Care (AMB): ED Utilization (HEDIS)
- Inpatient Utilization—General Hospital/Acute Care (IPU): Total Discharges (HEDIS)

- Plan All-Cause Readmissions (PCR HEDIS)
- PH MCOs were given the criteria used to define the SPMI population, and will be collecting each of the following ICP measures using data from their own systems:
 - o Initiation and Engagement of Alcohol and Other Drug Dependence Treatment (MCO Defined)
 - o Emergency Room Utilization for Individuals with SPMI (MCO Defined)
 - o Inpatient Admission Utilization for Individuals with SPMI (MCO Defined)
 - o Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individual with Schizophrenia (MCO Defined)
 - o Inpatient 30-Day Readmission Rate for Individuals with SPMI (MCO Defined)

Additionally, MCOs are expected to expand efforts to address health disparities in their populations. MCOs were instructed to identify race/ethnicity barriers and identify interventions that will be implemented to remediate the barriers identified.

These PIPs will extend from January 2019 through December 2022. With research beginning in 2019, initial PIP proposals were developed and submitted in third quarter 2020, with a final report due in October 2023. The non-intervention baseline period was January 2019 to December 2019. Following the formal PIP proposal, the timeline defined for the PIPs includes interim reports in October 2021 and October 2022, as well as a final report in October 2023. For the current review year, 2021, interim reports were due in October. These proposals underwent initial review by IPRO and feedback was provided to plans, with a timeline to resubmit to address areas of concern.

For each PIP, all PH MCOs shared the same baseline period and timeline defined for that PIP. To introduce each PIP cycle, DHS provided specific guidelines that addressed the PIP submission schedule, the measurement period, documentation requirements, topic selection, study indicators, study design, baseline measurement, interventions, remeasurement, and sustained improvement. Direction was given with regard to expectations for PIP relevance, quality, completeness, resubmissions, and timeliness.

As part of the new EQR PIP cycle that was initiated for all Medicaid MCOs in 2020, IPRO adopted the Lean methodology, following the CMS recommendation that QIOs and other healthcare stakeholders embrace Lean in order to promote continuous quality improvement in healthcare.

All PH MCOs were required to submit their projects using a standardized PIP template form, which is consistent with the CMS protocol for *Conducting Performance Improvement Projects*. These protocols follow a longitudinal format and capture information relating to:

- Activity Selection and Methodology
- Data/Results
- Analysis Cycle
- Interventions

Technical Methods of Data Collection and Analysis

IPRO's validation process begins at the PIP proposal phase and continues through the life of the PIP. During the conduct of the PIPs, IPRO provides technical assistance to each MCO/MCP. The technical assistance includes feedback.

CMS's *Protocol 1. Validation of Performance Improvement Projects* was used as the framework to assess the quality of each PIP, as well as to score the compliance of each PIP with both federal and state requirements. IPRO's assessment involves the following 10 elements:

- 1. Review of the selected study topic(s) for relevance of focus and for relevance to the MCO/MCP's enrollment.
- 2. Review of the study question(s) for clarity of statement.
- 3. Review of the identified study population to ensure it is representative of the MCO/MCP's enrollment and generalizable to the MCO/MCP's total population.

- 4. Review of selected study indicator(s), which should be objective, clear, unambiguous, and meaningful to the focus of the PIP.
- 5. Review of sampling methods (if sampling used) for validity and proper technique.
- 6. Review of the data collection procedures to ensure complete and accurate data were collected.
- 7. Review of the data analysis and interpretation of study results.
- 8. Assessment of the improvement strategies for appropriateness.
- 9. Assessment of the likelihood that reported improvement is "real" improvement.
- 10. Assessment of whether the MCO/MCP achieved sustained improvement.

Following the review of the listed elements, the review findings are considered to determine whether the PIP outcomes should be accepted as valid and reliable.

Scoring elements and methodology are utilized during the intervention and sustainability periods. MYs 2019 and 2020 were the baseline year and proposal year, and during the 2021 review year, elements were reviewed and scored at multiple points during the year once interim reports were submitted in October 2021. All MCOs received some level of guidance towards improving their proposals in these findings, and MCOs responded accordingly with resubmission to correct specific areas.

For each review element, the assessment of compliance is determined through the weighted responses to each review item. Each element carries a separate weight. Scoring for each element is based on full, partial, and non-compliance. Points can be awarded for the two phases of the project noted above and combined to arrive at an overall score. The overall score is expressed in terms of levels of compliance. For the current PIPs, compliance levels were assessed, but no formal scoring was provided.

Table 1.1 presents the terminologies used in the scoring process, their respective definitions, and their weight percentage.

Table 1.1: Element Designation

Element Designation									
Element Designation	Definition	Weight							
Full	Met or exceeded the element requirements	100%							
Partial	Met essential requirements but is deficient in some areas	50%							
Non-compliant	Has not met the essential requirements of the element	0%							

When the PIPs are reviewed, all projects are evaluated for the same elements. The scoring matrix is completed for those review elements where activities have occurred during the review year. At the time of the review, a project can be reviewed for only a subset of elements. It will then be evaluated for other elements at a later date, according to the PIP submission schedule. At the time each element is reviewed, a finding is given of "Met", "Partially Met", or "Not Met". Elements receiving a "Met" will receive 100% of the points assigned to the element, "Partially Met" elements will receive 50% of the assigned points, and "Not Met" elements will receive 0%.

Findings

To encourage focus on improving the quality of the projects, PIPs were assessed for compliance on all applicable elements, but were not formally scored. However, the multiple levels of activity and collaboration between DHS, the PH MCOs, and IPRO continued and progressed throughout the implementation of the PIP cycle during the review year.

The Readmission PIP topic was chosen again due to mixed results across MCOs for the current PIP and because the ICP program remains an important initiative. The Opioid PIP was chosen to address the critical issue of increasing opioid use. Following selection of the topics, IPRO worked with DHS to refine the focus and indicators.

For the Readmission PIP, DHS determined that the ICP measures would be defined and collected by the MCOs for the PIP. This was done to address challenges with the previous PIP and to give MCOs more control and increased ability to implement interventions to directly impact their population. Rates for the ICP program are calculated by IPRO annually during late fourth quarter, using PA PROMISe encounters submitted by both the PH MCOs and the BH MCOs. Because the rates are produced late in the year, and because PH MCOs do not have consistent access to BH encounter data, MCOs have experienced some difficulty implementing interventions to have a timely impact on their population. However, to keep the ICP population consistent, MCOs were provided with the methodology used in the program to define members with SPMI. Additionally, as discussions continued around the multiple factors that contribute to preventable admission and readmission, DHS requested that discussion of social determinants of health (SDoH) be included, as the conditions in the places where people live, learn, work, and play affect a wide range of health risks and outcomes; differences in health are striking in communities with poor SDoH.

For the Opioid PIP, in order to develop a comprehensive project, DHS initially selected several measures to focus not only on opioid use but also on measures that might be impacted by changes in opioid use. IPRO researched opioid PIPs in other states and discovered that most attempted to first focus on impacting opioid use metrics. This, coupled with Lean guidance that suggests the use of fewer measures to target interventions and change more directly, led to the selection of HEDIS and CMS opioid-related measures. Upon further internal discussion, DHS wanted to ensure that MCOs were using and incorporating DHS opioid-related initiatives, including the PA Centers of Excellence (COE) for Opioid Use Disorder program and incentives under the DHS Quality Care Hospital Assessment Initiative. To this end, DHS added three process oriented measures related to current PA initiatives.

For both PIPs, in light of the current health crisis and ongoing adverse impacts, DHS required MCOs to expand efforts to address health disparities. For a number of the PIP indicators, the PH MCOs already provide member level data files that are examined by race/ethnicity breakdowns and are part of ongoing quality discussions between DHS and PH MCOs. To expand on this for each PIP project, PH MCOs were instructed that they will need to identify race/ethnicity barriers and identify interventions that will be implemented to remediate the barriers identified.

Throughout 2021, the second year of the cycle, there were several levels of communication provided to MCOs after their Project Proposal submissions and in preparation for their Interim submissions, including:

- MCO-specific review findings for each PIP, including detailed information to assist MCOs in preparing their interim resubmissions.
- Conference calls as requested with each MCO to discuss the PIP interim review findings with key MCO staff assigned to each PIP topic.

In response to the feedback provided. MCOs were requested to revise and resubmit their documents to address the identified issues and to be reviewed again. PIP-specific calls were held with each MCO that experienced continued difficulty, attended by both DHS and IPRO. Additionally, as needed, PA DHS discusses ongoing issues with MCOs as part of their regularly scheduled monitoring calls. As noted above, for the current review year, 2021, MCOs were requested to submit a Project Interim Report, including baseline and updated interim rates. Review teams consisted of one clinical staff member and one analytical staff member. Following initial review, MCOs were asked to update their submission according to the recommendations noted in the findings. **Table A.1.1** of the MCO's interventions for the project can be found in the **Appendix** of this report.

Preventing Inappropriate Use or Overuse of Opioids

Health Partners Plans' (HPP's) baseline proposal demonstrated that the topic reflects high-volume/high risk conditions for the population under review. The MCO provided statistics that quantified membership with OUD and further characterized opioid use by demographic attributes such as race.

HPP provided detailed aims and objectives statements, in which they describe the interventions they plan to implement, the targeted populations of the interventions, and how the interventions will improve rates for the performance

indicators. As suggested, HPP selected bold target goals for most measures. Where target goals were more modest, the MCO provided a rationale.

For the Preventing Inappropriate Use or Overuse of Opioids PIP, seven performance measures were predetermined by DHS and were identified in the template distributed across MCOs, some with multiple indicators. Four measures are to be collected via HEDIS or the CMS Core Set. The remaining three were to be defined by the MCO. The information provided by HPP for all measures demonstrates that they are clearly defined and measurable. The indicators measure changes in health status, functional status, and satisfaction or processes of care with strong associations with improved outcomes. HPP plans to measure the indicators consistently over time, in order to provide a clear trend with potential actionable information. Additionally, the MCO's study design specifies data collection methodologies that are valid and reliable, along with robust data analysis procedures.

The MCO's barriers for improvement were identified through data analysis and quality improvement processes. HPP highlighted five robust interventions that were informed by the barrier analysis, and which target member, provider, and MCO levels. Further, the interventions involve education, screening tools, programs, counseling, and member case management to address the lack of knowledge of importance of PCP or COE follow-up and assist with appointment scheduling, member education of risk factors associated with opioids (by PCPs and pharmacists), and the lack of knowledge of efficacy of medication therapy.

In October 2021, HPP submitted an Interim report for this project, providing comprehensive results for annual performance indicators and accompanying consistent target goals. Performance improvement was demonstrated for five of the seven indicators and target goals were met for four of these indicators. The MCO provided a completed Discussion section, interpreting the extent to which the PIP has been successful, and factors associated with that success. **Table A.1.1** of the MCO's interventions for the project can be found in the **Appendix** of this report.

As the MCO met all review elements in the final review, no recommendations were included for the MCO to make for its interim submission of this PIP.

Reducing Potentially Preventable Hospital Admissions, Readmissions and ED visits

HPP's baseline proposal for this PIP topic included baseline rates with the potential for meaningful impact on member health, functional status, and satisfaction for the population at hand. Support was provided to demonstrate that the maximum proportion of members in their population would be impacted by the interventions outlined, supported by member data.

The aim and objectives statements that the MCO provided specified performance indicators for improvement with corresponding goals, and objectives that align the aim and goals with the interventions that have been developed. Following reviewer recommendation, HPP clarified or expanded its aims to align with all interventions. The objectives target HPP members that are at an increased risk, including African American members and members with SPMI.

Similar to the Preventing Inappropriate Use or Overuse of Opioids PIP, for the Reducing Potentially Preventable Hospital Admissions, Readmissions, and ED visits PIP, DHS selected eight performance measures to be included in the PIPs across all MCOs. Three measures are to be collected via HEDIS. The remaining five, all ICP measures, are to be defined by the MCO with certain predetermined parameters. The performance indicators are clearly defined, measurable, and they measure changes in health status, functional status, and satisfaction or processes of care with strong associations with improved outcomes. HPP plans to measure the indicators consistently over time, in order to provide a clear trend with potential actionable information. Additionally, the MCO's study design specifies data collection methodologies that are valid and reliable, along with robust data analysis procedures.

The barrier analysis and subsequent barriers were identified through case management assessments, PCMH assessments, the Broad Street Ministry assessment, and by a review of Health Risk Surveys. HPP provided five robust member and provider interventions with active member outreach. These interventions target insufficient discharge management, case management to emphasize the importance of follow up post discharge visits, difficulty scheduling

appointments through increased provider notification, and addressing SDoHs that affect members' healthcare with care coordination and targeted member education at pharmacies.

In October 2021, HPP submitted an Interim report for this project, providing comprehensive results for annual performance indicators and accompanying consistent target goals. Performance improvement was demonstrated for three of the eight indicators and target goals were met for two of these indicators. The MCO provided a completed Discussion section, interpreting the extent to which the PIP has been successful, and factors associated with that success. **Table A.1.1** of the MCO's interventions for the project can be found in the **Appendix** of this report.

As the MCO met all review elements in the final review, no recommendations were included for the MCO to make for its interim submission of this PIP.

HPP's Project Interim compliance assessment by review element is presented in **Table 1.2**.

Table 1.2: HPP PIP Compliance Assessments

Review Element	Preventing Inappropriate Use or Overuse of Opioids	Reducing Potentially Preventable Hospital Admissions, Readmissions and ED visits
1. Project Topic	Met	Met
2. Methodology	Met	Met
Barrier Analysis, Interventions and Monitoring	Met	Met
4. Results	Met	Met
5. Discussion	Met	Met
6. Next Steps	N/A	N/A
7. Validity and Reliability of PIP Results	N/A	N/A

PIP: performance improvement project; ED: emergency department.

II: Performance Measures and CAHPS Survey

Objectives

IPRO validated PA-specific performance measures and HEDIS data for each of the Medicaid PH MCOs.

The MCOs were provided with final specifications for the PA Performance Measures from December 2020 to June 2021. Source code, raw data, and rate sheets were submitted by the MCOs to IPRO for review in 2021. A staggered submission was implemented for the performance measures. IPRO conducted an initial validation of each measure including source code review and provided each MCO with formal written feedback. The MCOs were then given the opportunity for resubmission, if necessary, with a limit of four total submissions. Additional resubmissions required discussion with and approval from DHS. Pseudo code was reviewed by IPRO. Raw data were also reviewed for reasonability, and IPRO ran code against these data to validate that the final reported rates were accurate. Additionally, MCOs were provided with comparisons to the previous year's rates and were requested to provide explanations for highlighted differences. For measures reported as percentages, differences were highlighted for rates that were statistically significant and displayed at least a 3-percentage point difference in observed rates. For measures not reported as percentages (e.g., adult admission measures), differences were highlighted based only on statistical significance, with no minimum threshold.

For the PA performance Birth-related measure, Elective Delivery, rates are typically produced utilizing MCO Birth files in addition to the final Department of Health Birth File. IPRO requested, from each MCO, information on members with a live birth within the measurement year. IPRO would then typically utilize the MCO file in addition to the most recent applicable PA Department of Health Birth File to identify the denominator, numerator, and rate for the measure. However, due to issues with the COVID-19 pandemic the final 2021 (MY 2020) Department of Health Birth File was not available at the time of reporting. This measure was not reported and is therefore not included in this section.

HEDIS MY 2020 measures were validated through a standard HEDIS compliance audit of each PH MCO. The audit protocol includes pre-onsite review of the HEDIS Roadmap, onsite interviews with staff and a review of systems, and post-onsite validation of the Interactive Data Submission System (IDSS). For HEDIS MY 2020, audit activities were performed virtually due to the public health emergency. A Final Audit Report was submitted to NCQA for each MCO. Because the PA-specific performance measures rely on the same systems and staff, no separate review was necessary for validation of PA-specific measures. IPRO conducts a thorough review and validation of source code, data, and submitted rates for the PA-specific measures.

Evaluation of MCO performance is based on both PA-specific performance measures and selected HEDIS measures for the EQR. It is DHS's practice to report all first-year performance measures for informational purposes. Relevant context regarding reported rates or calculated averages is provided as applicable, including any observed issues regarding implementation, reliability, or variability among MCOs. Additional discussion regarding MCO rates that differ notably from other MCOs will be included in the MCO-specific findings as applicable. A list of the performance measures included in this year's EQR report is presented in **Table 2.1**.

Table 2.1: Performance Measure Groupings

Source	Measures								
Access/A	Access/Availability to Care								
HEDIS	Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Ages 20–44 years)								
HEDIS	Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Ages 45–64 years)								
HEDIS	Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Ages 65+ years)								
PA EQR	Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (Ages 1 to 11)								
PA EQR	Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (Ages 12 to 17)								
PA EQR	Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (Total Ages 1 to 17)								
Well-Care	e Visits and Immunizations								
HEDIS	Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months of Life (15 months ≥6 Visits)								
HEDIS	Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months of Life (15 to 30 months ≥2 visits)								
HEDIS	Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Ages 3 to 11 years)								

HEDIS Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Ages 18 to 21 years) HEDIS Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Ages 18 to 21 years) HEDIS Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Total) HEDIS Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Total) HEDIS Child by Meliph Care Visits (Combination 2) HEDIS HEDIS HEDIS Melph Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 3-11 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 12-17 years) HEDIS HEDIS HEDIS HEDIS HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total) HEDIS HEDIS HEDIS HEDIS HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3-11 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12-17 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12-17 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3-11 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3-11 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3-12 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3-12 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3-12 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Childr	Source	Measures
HEDIS Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Ages 18 to 21 years) HEDIS Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Total) HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 2) HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 2) HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 3) HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 3) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 3—11 years) HEDIS Index: Percentile (Ages 3—11 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 12—17 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3—11 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12—17 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 11—17 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 13—17 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 13—17 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 13—17 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 13—17 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 13—17 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 13—17 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Coun		
HEDIS Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Total) HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 2) HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 3) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 3–11 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) HEDIS Immunization Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visi		· · ·
HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 2) HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 3) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activit		
HEDIS Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 3) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 3-11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 12-17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 12-17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12-17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12-17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Nages 12-17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3-11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12-17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12-17 years) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screening and Follow-up HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for Children Prescribed Attent		
HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Index: Percentile (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 13–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 13–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 13–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Intimation Activity (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Intimation Activity (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Intimation Activity (Ag		,
HEDIS Index: Percentile (Ages 3—11 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Ages 12—17 years) HEDIS Meight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3—11 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12—17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Total) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12—17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3—11 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12—17 years) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After		
HEDIS Index: Percentile (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follo	HEDIS	• , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Index: Percentile (Ages 12-17 years) HEDIS Index: Percentile (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3-11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3-11 years) HEDIS (Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3-11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12-17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12-17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Pollow-up HEDIS Index of Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Pollow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental I	HEDIS	Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass
HEDIS Index: Percentile (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Phyrition (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 2 years) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Industriation Phase PECOLOR Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Pollow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR	TILDIS	
HEDIS HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) Immunization Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental ill	HEDIS	
HEDIS for Nutrition (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 1–21 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 1–21 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 1–21 years) HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) HEDIS Lead Screening in Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Initiation Phase Pollow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Pollow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years POLIOw-up Arter Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 6		
HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emerg	HEDIS	
HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Total) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3—11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3—11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12—17 years) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Initiation Phase HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department		
HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Nutrition (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Fo	HEDIS	
HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3–11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) FOLION-UP After Emergency Depart		
HEDIS HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 3-11 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12-17 years) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for ADD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for ADD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for	HEDIS	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-u		
HEDIS	HEDIS	
HEDIS Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling for Physical Activity (Total) HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) F	LIEDIC	Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling
HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	HEDIS	for Physical Activity (Ages 12–17 years)
HEDIS Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1) EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	LIEDIC	Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Counseling
HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or d	חבטוס	for Physical Activity (Total)
HEDIS Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years) Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for MoD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for MoD abuse or de	HEDIS	Immunizations for Adolescents (Combination 1)
HEDIS HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	EPSDT: S	creenings and Follow-up
HEDIS Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days)	HEDIS	Lead Screening in Children (Ages 2 years)
HEDIS Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication— Continuation and Maintenance Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) FOllow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) FOllow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) FOLIOW-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) FOLIOW-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) FOLIOW-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	HEDIS	
Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Initiation Phase PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days)	TILDIS	
PA EQR PA	HEDIS	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
PA EQR Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (BH Enhanced)—Continuation and Maintenance Phase PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	112013	
PA EQR PO EVISITS for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR PO EVISITS for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR PA E	PA EOR	
PA EQR PA		•
PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	PA EQR	
PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental		•
PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years PA EQR Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental		
PA EQR PA EQR PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	-	
PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental		
Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	PA EQR	
PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	PA EQR	
PA EQR Follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	.	, , ,
PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	PA EQR	
PA EQR 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	PA EQR	
PA EQR 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	54 - 55	
PA EQR Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	PA EQR	
Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental	DA EOD	
I PA FOR I	PA EQK	older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days)
illness, follow-up within 30 days)	DV EUD	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental
	FAEQR	illness, follow-up within 30 days)

Source	Measures
DA FOD	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and
PA EQR	older—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days)
DA EOD	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental
PA EQR	illness, follow-up within 7 days)
Dental Ca	re for Children and Adults
HEDIS	Annual Dental Visit (Ages 2–20 years)
PA EQR	Annual Dental Visits for Members with Developmental Disabilities (Ages 2–20 years)
PA EQR	Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars (≥ 1 molar)
PA EQR	Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars (All 4 molars)
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 21–35 years)
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 36–59 years)
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 60–64 years)
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 65 years and older)
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 21 years and older)
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit: Women with a Live Birth (Ages 21–35 years)
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit: Women with a Live Birth (Ages 36–59 years)
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit: Women with a Live Birth (Ages 21–59 years)
Women's	Health
HEDIS	Breast Cancer Screening (Ages 50–74 years)
HEDIS	Cervical Cancer Screening (Ages 21–64 years)
HEDIS	Chlamydia Screening in Women (Total)
HEDIS	Chlamydia Screening in Women (Ages 16–20 years)
HEDIS	Chlamydia Screening in Women (Ages 21–24 years)
HEDIS	Non-Recommended Cervical Cancer Screening in Adolescent Females
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of most or moderately effective contraception (Ages 15 to 20)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of LARC (Ages 15 to 20)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of most or moderately effective contraception (Ages 21 to 44)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of LARC (Ages 21 to 44)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception—3 days (Ages 15 to 20)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception—60 days (Ages 15 to 20)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC—3 days (Ages 15 to 20)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC—60 days (Ages 15 to 20)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception—3 days (Ages 21 to 44)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception—60 days (Ages 21
	to 44)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC—3 days (Ages 21 to 44)
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC—60 days (Ages 21 to 44)
Obstetric	and Neonatal Care
HEDIS	Prenatal and Postpartum Care—Timeliness of Prenatal Care
HEDIS	Prenatal and Postpartum Care—Postpartum Care
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for Smoking
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for Smoking during one of the first two visits (CHIPRA indicator)
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposure (ETS)
<u> </u>	

Source	Measures							
DA FOR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Counseling for							
PA EQR	Smoking							
DA EOD	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Counseling for							
PA EQR	Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposure (ETS)							
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Smoking Cessation							
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening for Depression							
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening for Depression during one of the first two visits (CHIPRA indicator)							
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening Positive for Depression							
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Counseling for Depression							
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Postpartum Screening for Depression							
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Postpartum Screening Positive for Depression							
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Postpartum Counseling for Depression							
	pry Conditions							
HEDIS	Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Ages 3- 17 years)							
HEDIS	Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Ages 18-64 years)							
HEDIS	Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Ages 65 years and older)							
HEDIS	Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Total)							
HEDIS	Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection (Ages 3 months – 17 years)							
HEDIS	Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection (Ages 18-64 years)							
HEDIS	Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection (Ages 65 years and older)							
HEDIS	Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection (Total)							
HEDIS	Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Ages 3 months-17 years)							
HEDIS	Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Ages 18-64 years)							
HEDIS	Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Ages 65 years and older)							
HEDIS	Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Total)							
HEDIS	Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD							
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation—Systemic Corticosteroid							
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation—Bronchodilator							
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (5–11 years)							
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (12–18 years)							
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (19–50 years)							
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (51–64 years)							
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (Total)							
PA EQR	Asthma in Children and Younger Adults Admission Rate (Ages 2–17 years)—Admission per 100,000 member months							
PA EQR	Asthma in Children and Younger Adults Admission Rate (Ages 18–39 years)—Admission per 100,000 member months							
PA EQR	Asthma in Children and Younger Adults Admission Rate (Total Ages 2–39 years)—Admission per 100,000							
	member months Characia Obstantia Bulgarana Bisasas an Asthrona in Olden Adulta Adusiasian Bata (Assa 40 to 64 years)							
PA EQR	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Ages 40 to 64 years)— Admission per 100,000 member months							
PA EQR	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Ages 65 years and older)— Admission per 100,000 member months							
PA EQR	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Total 40+ years)— Admission per 100,000 member months							
Compreh	ensive Diabetes Care							
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Testing							
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Poor Control (> 9.0%)							
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Control (< 8.0%)							

Source	Measures
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care: Retinal Eye Exam
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care: Blood Pressure Controlled < 140/90 mm Hg
PA EQR	Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Ages 18–64 years)—Admission per 100,000 member
	months
PA EQR	Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Ages 65+ years)—Admission per 100,000 member months
	Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Total Ages 18+ years)—Admission per 100,000 member
PA EQR	months
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Diabetes: Received Statin Therapy
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Diabetes: Statin Adherence 80%
PA EQR	Diabetes Care for People with Serious Mental Illness: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (> 9.0%) (Ages Cohort: 18–64 Years of Ages)
PA EQR	Diabetes Care for People with Serious Mental Illness: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (> 9.0%) (Ages
HEDIC	Cohort: 65–75 Years of Ages) Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients With Diabetes (18–64 years)
HEDIS	
HEDIS	Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients With Diabetes (65–74 years)
HEDIS HEDIS	Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients With Diabetes (75–85 years) Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients With Diabetes (Total Ages 18–85 years)
	scular Care
HEDIS	Persistence of Beta-Blocker Treatment After Heart Attack
HEDIS	Controlling High Blood Pressure (Total Rate)
PA EQR	Heart Failure Admission Rate (Ages 18–64 years)—Admission per 100,000 member months
PA EQR	Heart Failure Admission Rate (Ages 65+ years)—Admission per 100,000 member months
PA EQR	Heart Failure Admission Rate (Total Ages 18+ years)—Admission per 100,000 member months
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Received Statin Therapy 21–75 years (Male)
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Received Statin Therapy 40–75 years (Female)
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Received Statin Therapy Total Rate
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Statin Adherence 80%—21–75 years (Male)
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Statin Adherence 80%—40–75 years (Female)
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Statin Adherence 80%—Total Rate
HEDIS	Cardiovascular Monitoring for People With Cardiovascular Disease and Schizophrenia
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Initiation >2 visits in 30 days (Ages 18–64 years)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Initiation >2 visits in 30 days (Ages 65 years and older)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Initiation >2 visits in 30 days (Total 18 years and older)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 1 >12 visits in 90 days (Ages 18–64 years)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 1 >12 visits in 90 days (Ages 65 years and older)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 1 >12 visits in 90 days (Total 18 years and older)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 2 >24 visits in 180 days (Ages 18–64 years)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 2 >24 visits in 180 days (Ages 65 years and older)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 2 >24 visits in 180 days (Total 18 years and older)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Achievement >36 visits in 180 days (Ages 18–64 years)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Achievement >36 visits in 180 days (Ages 65 years and older)
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Achievement >36 visits in 180 days (Total 18 years and older)
Utilizatio	
HEDIS	Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia
PA EQR	Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia (BH Enhanced)
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Ages 1–11 years)
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Ages 12–17
	years)

Source	Measures
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Total Ages 1–17
TILDIS	years)
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Cholesterol Testing (Ages 1–11 years)
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Cholesterol Testing (Ages 12–17 years)
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Cholesterol Testing (Total Ages 1–17
	years)
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing
TILDIS	(Ages 1–11 years)
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing
TILDIS	(Ages 12–17 years)
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing
TILDIS	(Total Ages 1–17 years)
HEDIS	Use of Opioids at High Dosage
HEDIS	Use of Opioids from Multiple Providers (4 or more prescribers)
HEDIS	Use of Opioids from Multiple Providers (4 or more pharmacies)
HEDIS	Use of Opioids from Multiple Providers (4 or more prescribers & pharmacies)
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use—New Episode Lasts at Least 15 Days (Ages 18–64 years)
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use—New Episode Lasts at Least 15 Days (Ages 65 years and older)
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use—New Episode Lasts at Least 15 Days (Total Ages 18 years and older)
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use—New Episode Lasts at Least 31 Days (Ages 18–64 years)
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use—New Episode Lasts at Least 31 Days (Ages 65 years and older)
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use—New Episode Lasts at Least 31 Days (Total Ages 18 years and older)
PA EQR	Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines (Ages 18–64 years)
PA EQR	Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines (Ages 65 years and older)
PA EQR	Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines (Total Ages 18 years and older)
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Ages 16–64 years)
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Ages 65+ years)
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Total Ages 16+ years)
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Total)
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Buprenorphine)
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Oral Naltrexone)
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Long-Acting, Injectable Naltrexone)
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Methadone)
Utilizatio	n (Continued)
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Count of Index Hospital Stays (IHS)—Total Stays (Ages Total)
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Count of 30-Day Readmissions—Total Stays (Ages Total)
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Observed Readmission Rate—Total Stays (Ages Total)
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Expected Readmission Rate—Total Stays (Ages Total)
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Observed to Expected Readmission Ratio—Total Stays (Ages Total)

PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set.

PA-Specific and CMS Core Set Performance Measure Selection and Descriptions

Several PA-specific performance measures were calculated by each MCO and validated by IPRO. In accordance with DHS direction, IPRO created the indicator specifications to resemble HEDIS specifications. Measures previously developed and

added, as mandated by CMS for children in accordance with the Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act (CHIPRA) and for adults in accordance with the Affordable Care Act (ACA), were continued as applicable to revised CMS specifications. Additionally, new measures were developed and added in 2021 as mandated in accordance with the CMS specifications. The CMS measures are known as Core Set measures and are indicated below for children and adults. For each indicator, the eligible population is identified by product line, age, enrollment, anchor date, and event/diagnosis. Administrative numerator positives are identified by date of service, diagnosis/procedure code criteria, as well as other specifications, as needed. For 2021 (MY 2020), these performance measure rates were calculated through one of two methods: (1) administrative, which uses only the MCO's data systems to identify numerator positives and (2) hybrid, which uses a combination of administrative data and medical record review (MRR) to identify numerator "hits" for rate calculation.

A number of performance measures require the inclusion of PH and BH services. Due to the separation of PH and BH services for Medicaid, DHS requested that IPRO utilize encounters submitted by all PH and BH MCOs to DHS via the PROMISe encounter data system to ensure both types of services were included, as necessary. For some measures, IPRO enhanced PH data submitted by MCOs with BH PROMISe encounter data, while for other measures, IPRO collected and reported the measures using PROMISe encounter data for both the BH and PH data required.

PA-Specific and CMS Core Set Administrative Measures

Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics—CHIPRA Core Set

This performance measure assesses the percentage of children and adolescents 1 to 17 years of age who had a new prescription for an antipsychotic medication and had documentation of psychosocial care as first-line treatment. This measure was collected and reported by IPRO using PROMISe encounter data for the required BH and PH data.

Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—CHIPRA Core Set DHS enhanced this measure using behavioral health (BH) encounter data contained in IPRO's encounter data warehouse. IPRO evaluated this measure using HEDIS 2021 Medicaid member-level data submitted by the PH MCO.

This performance measure assesses the percentage of children newly prescribed attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) medication who had at least three follow-up care visits within a 10-month period, one of which was within 30 days from the time the first ADHD medication was dispensed. Two rates are reported:

- Initiation Phase—The percentage of children 6 to 12 years old as of the Index Prescription Start Date (IPSD) with an ambulatory prescription dispensed for ADHD medication that had one follow-up visit with a practitioner with prescribing authority during the 30-day Initiation Phase.
- Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase—The percentage of children 6 to 12 years old as of the IPSD with an ambulatory prescription dispensed for ADHD medication, who remained on the medication for at least 210 days and, who in addition to the visit in the Initiation Phase, had at least two follow-up visits with a practitioner within 270 days (9 months) after the Initiation Phase ended.

Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—CHIPRA Core Set

This performance measure assesses the percentage of children screened for risk of developmental, behavioral, and social delays using a standardized screening tool in the 12 months preceding or on their first, second, or third birthday. Four rates—one for each age group and a combined rate—are calculated and reported.

Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental illness—Adult Core Set

This performance measure assesses the percentage of emergency department (ED) visits for members 18 years of age and older with a principal diagnosis of mental illness or intentional self-harm and who had a follow-up visit with a corresponding principal diagnosis for mental illness. This measure was collected and reported by IPRO using PROMISe encounter data for the required BH and PH data. Two rates are reported:

• The percentage of ED visits for mental illness for which the member received follow-up within 7 days of the ED visit (8 total days); and

• The percentage of ED visits for mental illness for which the member received follow-up within 30 days of the ED visit (31 total days).

Per the CMS specifications, rates are reported for age cohorts 18 to 64 and 65 and older.

Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence—Adult Core Set

This performance measure assesses the percentage of emergency department (ED) visits for members 18 years of age and older with a principal diagnosis of alcohol or other drug (AOD) abuse or dependence and who had a follow-up visit with a corresponding principal diagnosis for AOD abuse or dependence. This measure was collected and reported by IPRO using PROMISe encounter data for the required BH and PH data. Two rates are reported:

- The percentage of ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence for which the member received follow-up within 7 days of the ED visit (8 total days); and
- The percentage of ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence for which the member received follow-up within 30 days of the ED visit (31 total days).

Per the CMS specifications, rates are reported for age cohorts 18 to 64 and 65 and older.

Annual Dental Visits for Enrollees with Developmental Disabilities—PA-specific

This performance measure assesses the percentage of enrollees with a developmental disability age 2 through 20 years of age who were continuously enrolled and had at least one dental visit during the measurement year. This indicator utilizes the HEDIS MY 2020 measure Annual Dental Visit (ADV).

Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars—CHIPRA Core Set — New for 2021

This performance measure assesses the percentage of enrolled children who have ever received sealants on permanent first molar teeth and turned 10 years old during the measurement year. Two rates are reported:

- The percentage of enrolled children who received a sealant on at least one permanent first molar in the 48 months prior to their 10th birthday; and
- The percentage of unduplicated enrolled children who received sealants on all four permanent first molars in the 48 months prior to their 10th birthday.

Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years—PA-specific

This performance measure assesses two indicators:

- The percentage of enrollees 21 years of age and above who were continuously enrolled during the calendar year 2020. Five rates will be reported: one for each of the four age cohorts (21–35, 36–59, 60–64, and 65+ years) and a total rate.
- The percentage of women 21 years of age and older with a live birth that had at least one dental visit during the measurement year. Three rates will be reported for Indicator 2: one for each of the two age cohorts for women with a live birth (21—39 and 40—59 years) and a total rate.

Contraceptive Care for All Women Ages 15-44—CMS Core Measure

This performance measure assesses the percentage of women ages 15 to 44 at risk of unintended pregnancy who were provided a most effective/moderately effective contraception method or a long-acting reversible method of contraception (LARC). Four rates are reported—two rates for each of the age groups (15–20 and 21–44): (1) provision of most or moderately effective contraception, and (2) provision of LARC.

Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women Ages 15–44—CMS Core Measure

This performance measure assesses the percentage of women ages 15 to 44 who had a live birth and were provided a most effective/moderately effective contraception method or a long-acting reversible method of contraception (LARC) within 3 days and within 60 days of delivery. Eight rates are reported—four rates for each of the age groups (15–20 and 21–44): (1) Most or moderately effective contraception—3 days, (2) Most or moderately effective contraception—60 days, (3) LARC—3 days, and (4) LARC—60 days.

Asthma in Children and Younger Adults Admission Rate—Adult Core Set and PA-specific

This performance measure assesses the number of discharges for asthma in enrollees ages 2 years to 39 years per 100,000 Medicaid member months. Three age groups are reported: ages 2–17 years, ages 18–39 years, and total ages 2–39 years.

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate—Adult Core Set

This performance measure assesses the number of discharges for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or asthma for Medicaid members 40 years and older per 100,000 member months. Three age groups are reported: ages 40–64 years, age 65 years and older, and 40+ years.

Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate—Adult Core Set

This performance measure assesses the number of discharges for diabetes short-term complications (ketoacidosis, hyperosmolarity, or coma) in adults 18 years and older per 100,000 Medicaid member months. Three age groups are reported: ages 18–64 years, age 65 years and older, and 18+ years.

Diabetes Care for People with Serious Mental Illness: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (> 9.0%)—Adult Core Set This performance measure assesses the percentage of beneficiaries ages 18 to 75 with a serious mental illness and diabetes (type 1 and type 2) whose most recent Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) level during the measurement years was > 9.0%. This measure was collected and reported by IPRO using PROMISe encounter data for the required BH and PH data.

Heart Failure Admission Rate—Adult Core Set

This performance measure assesses the number of discharges for heart failure in adults 18 years and older per 100,000 Medicaid member months. Three age groups are reported: ages 18–64 years, ages 65 years and older, and 18+ years.

Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia—Adult Core Set

This performance measure assesses the percentage of members 18 years of age and older with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder who were dispensed and remained on an antipsychotic medication for at least 80% of their treatment period during the measurement year. Members in hospice are excluded from the eligible population.

DHS enhanced this measure using behavioral health (BH) encounter data contained in IPRO's encounter data warehouse.

Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines—Adult Core Set

This performance measure assesses the percentage of members 18 years of age and above with concurrent use of prescription opioids and benzodiazepines. Three age groups are reported: ages 18–64 years, age 65 years and older, and 18+ years.

Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder—Adult Core Set

This performance measure assesses the percentage of members ages 18 to 64 with an opioid use disorder who filled a prescription for or were administered or dispensed an FDA-approved medication for the disorder during the measurement year. Five rates are reported: a total rate including any medications used in medication-assisted treatment of opioid dependence and addiction, and four separate rates representing the following FDA-approved drug products: (1) buprenorphine; (2) oral naltrexone; (3) long-acting, injectable naltrexone; and (4) methadone.

PA Specific Hybrid Measures

Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit—PA-specific

This performance measure assesses the percentage of pregnant enrollees who were:

- 1. Screened for smoking during the time frame of one of their first two prenatal visits or during the time frame of their first two visits on or following initiation of eligibility with the MCO.
- 2. Screened for smoking during the time frame of one of their first two prenatal visits (CHIPRA indicator).
- 3. Screened for environmental tobacco smoke exposure during the time frame of one of their first two prenatal visits or during the time frame of their first two visits on or following initiation of eligibility with the MCO.

- 4. Screened for smoking in one of their first two prenatal visits who smoke (i.e., smoked six months prior to or anytime during the current pregnancy), that were given counseling/advice or a referral during the time frame of any prenatal visit during pregnancy.
- 5. Screened for environmental tobacco smoke exposure in one of their first two prenatal visits and found to be exposed, that were given counseling/advice or a referral during the time frame of any prenatal visit during pregnancy.
- 6. Screened for smoking in one of their first two prenatal visits and found to be current smokers (i.e., smoked at the time of one of their first two prenatal visits) that stopped smoking during their pregnancy.

This performance measure uses components of the HEDIS MY 2020 Prenatal and Postpartum Care Measure.

Perinatal Depression Screening—PA-specific

This performance measure assesses the percentage of enrollees who were:

- 1. Screened for depression during a prenatal care visit.
- 2. Screened for depression during a prenatal care visit using a validated depression screening tool.
- 3. Screened for depression during the time frame of the first two prenatal care visits (CHIPRA indicator).
- 4. Screened positive for depression during a prenatal care visit.
- 5. Screened positive for depression during a prenatal care visit and had evidence of further evaluation, treatment, or referral for further treatment.
- 6. Screened for depression during a postpartum care visit.
- 7. Screened for depression during a postpartum care visit using a validated depression screening tool.
- 8. Screened positive for depression during a postpartum care visit.
- 9. Screened positive for depression during a postpartum care visit and had evidence of further evaluation, treatment, or referral for further treatment.

This performance measure uses components of the HEDIS MY 2020 Prenatal and Postpartum Care Measure.

HEDIS Performance Measure Selection and Descriptions

Each MCO underwent a full HEDIS compliance audit in 2021. As indicated previously, performance on selected HEDIS measures is included in this year's EQR report. Development of HEDIS measures and the clinical rationale for their inclusion in the HEDIS measurement set can be found in HEDIS MY 2020, Volume 2 Narrative. The measurement year for the HEDIS measures is 2020, as well as prior years for selected measures. Each year, DHS updates its requirements for the MCOs to be consistent with NCQA's requirement for the reporting year. MCOs are required to report the complete set of Medicaid measures, excluding behavioral health and chemical dependency measures, as specified in the HEDIS Technical Specifications, Volume 2. In addition, DHS does not require the MCOs to produce the Chronic Conditions component of the CAHPS 5.1H—Child Survey.

Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services

This measure assesses the percentage of members 20 years and older who had an ambulatory or preventive care visit during the measurement year (for Medicaid or Medicare). The following age groups are reported: 20–44, 45–64, and 65+.

Adult Body Mass Index (BMI) Assessment

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18–74 years of age who had an outpatient visit and whose body mass index (BMI) was documented during the measurement year or the year prior to the measurement year.

Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months of Life - New for 2021

This measure assesses the percentage of members who turned 30 months old during the measurement year, who were continuously enrolled from 31 days of age through 30 months of age, and who:

- Received six or more well-child visits with a PCP during their first 15 months of life; and
- Received two or more well-child visits for age 15 months-30 months of life.

Childhood Immunization Status (Combos 2 and 3)

This measure assesses the percentage of children who turned 2 years of age in the measurement year, who were continuously enrolled for the 12 months preceding their second birthday, and who received one or both of two immunization combinations on or before their second birthday. Separate rates were calculated for each Combination. Combination 2 and Combination 3 consist of the following immunizations:

- (4) Diphtheria, Tetanus, and Acellular Pertussis Vaccine/Diphtheria and Tetanus (DTaP/DT);
- (3) Injectable Polio Vaccine (IPV);
- (1) Measles, Mumps, and Rubella (MMR);
- (3) Haemophilus Influenza Type B (HiB);
- (3) Hepatitis B (HepB);
- (1) Chicken Pox (VZV); and
- (4) Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine (PCV)—Combination 3 only.

Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits - New for 2021

This measure assesses the percentage of enrolled members 3–21 years of age who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a PCP or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.

Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents

This measure assesses the percentage of members 3–17 years of age, who had an outpatient visit with a PCP or OB/GYN, and who had evidence of the following during the measurement year:

- BMI percentile documentation;
- · Counseling for nutrition; and
- Counseling for physical activity.

Because BMI norms for youth vary with age and gender, this measure evaluates whether BMI percentile is assessed rather than an absolute BMI value.

Immunization for Adolescents (Combo 1)

This measure assesses the percentage of adolescents 13 years of age who had one dose of meningococcal conjugate vaccine and one tetanus, diphtheria toxoids, and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccine by their 13th birthday.

Lead Screening in Children

This measure assesses the percentage of children 2 years of age who had one or more capillary or venous lead blood tests for lead poisoning by their second birthday.

Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication

This measure assesses the percentage of children newly prescribed attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) medication who had at least three follow-up care visits within a 10-month period, one of which was within 30 days of when the first ADHD medication was dispensed. Two rates are reported:

- Initiation Phase—The percentage of members 6–12 years of age as of the IPSD with an ambulatory prescription dispensed for ADHD medication who had one follow-up visit with practitioner with prescribing authority during the 30-day Initiation Phase.
- Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase—The percentage of members 6–12 years of age as of the IPSD with an ambulatory prescription dispensed for ADHD medication who remained on the medication for at least 210 days and who, in addition to the visit in the Initiation Phase, had at least two follow-up visits with a practitioner within 270 days (9 months) after the Initiation Phase ended.

Annual Dental Visit

This measure assesses the percentage of children and adolescents 2–20 years of age who were continuously enrolled in the MCO for the measurement year and who had at least one dental visit during the measurement year.

Breast Cancer Screening

This measure assesses the percentage of women ages 50–74 who had a mammogram to screen for breast cancer.

The eligible population for this measure is women 52–74 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year. Members are included in the numerator if they had one or more mammograms any time on or between October 1 in the 2 years prior to the measurement year and December 31 of the measurement year. Eligible members who received mammograms beginning at age 50 are included in the numerator.

Cervical Cancer Screening

This measure assesses the percentage of women 21–64 years of age who were screened for cervical cancer using any of the following criteria:

- Women ages 21–64 who had cervical cytology performed within the last 3 years;
- Women ages 30–64 who had cervical high-risk human papillomavirus (hrHPV) testing performed within the last 5 years; or
- Women ages 30–64 who had cervical cytology/high-risk human papillomavirus (hrHPV) co-testing within the last 5 years.

Chlamydia Screening in Women

This measure assesses the percentage of women 16–24 years of age who were identified as sexually active and who had at least one test for chlamydia during the measurement year. Three age cohorts are reported: 16–20 years, 21–24 years, and total.

Non-Recommended Cervical Cancer Screening in Adolescent Females

This measure assesses the percentage of adolescent females 16–20 years of age who were screened unnecessarily for cervical cancer. For this measure, a lower rate indicates better performance.

Prenatal and Postpartum Care

This measure assesses the percentage of deliveries of live births on or between October 8 of the year prior to the measurement year and October 7 of the measurement year. For these women, the measure assesses the following facets of prenatal and postpartum care:

- Timeliness of Prenatal Care—The percentage of deliveries that received a prenatal care visit in the first trimester, on or before the enrollment start date or within 42 days of enrollment in the organization; and
- Postpartum Care—The percentage of deliveries that had a postpartum visit on or between 7 and 84 days after delivery.

Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis

This measure assesses the percentage of episodes for members 3 years and older for which the member was diagnosed with pharyngitis, dispensed an antibiotic, and received a group A streptococcus (strep) test for the episode. A higher rate represents better performance (i.e., appropriate testing). The total rate is reported.

Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection

This measure assesses the percentage of episodes for members 3 months of age and older with a diagnosis of upper respiratory infection (URI) that did not result in an antibiotic dispensing event. The measure is reported as an inverted rate (1 – [numerator/eligible population]). A higher rate indicates appropriate treatment of children with URI (i.e., the proportion for whom antibiotics were not prescribed). The total rate is reported.

Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis

This measure assesses the percentage of episodes for members ages 3 months and older with a diagnosis of acute bronchitis/bronchiolitis that did not result in an antibiotic dispensing event. The measure is reported as an inverted rate (1 – [numerator/eligible population]). A higher rate indicates appropriate treatment of adults with acute bronchitis (i.e., the proportion for whom antibiotics were not prescribed). The total rate is reported.

Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

This measure assesses the percentage of members 40 years of age and older with a new diagnosis of COPD or newly active COPD who received appropriate spirometry testing to confirm the diagnosis.

Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

This measure assesses the percentage of COPD exacerbations for members 40 years of age and older who had an acute inpatient discharge or ED visit on or between January 1 and November 30 of the measurement year and who were dispensed appropriate medications. Two rates are reported:

- Dispensed a systemic corticosteroid (or there was evidence of an active prescription) within 14 days of the event; and
- Dispensed a bronchodilator (or there was evidence of an active prescription) within 30 days of the event.

Asthma Medication Ratio

This measure assesses the percentage of members 5–64 years of age who were identified as having persistent asthma and had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater during the measurement year. The following age groups are reported: 5–11 years, 12–18 years, 19–50 years, 51–64 years, and total years.

Comprehensive Diabetes Care

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18–75 years of age with diabetes (type 1 and type 2) who had each of the following:

- Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) testing;
- HbA1c poor control (> 9.0%);
- HbA1c control (< 8.0%);

- Eye exam (retinal) performed; and
- BP control (< 140/90 mm Hg).

Statin Therapy for Patients with Diabetes

This measure assesses the percentage of members 40–75 years of age during the measurement year with diabetes who do not have clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) who met the following criteria. Two rates are reported:

- Received Statin Therapy—Members who were dispensed at least one statin medication of any intensity during the measurement year; and
- Statin Adherence 80%—Members who remained on a statin medication of any intensity for at least 80% of the treatment period.

Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients with Diabetes — New for 2021

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18–85 years of age with diabetes (type 1 and type 2) who received a kidney health evaluation, defined by an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and a urine albumin-creatinine ratio (uACR), during the measurement year. The following age groups are reported: 18–64 years, 65–74 years, 75–85 years, and total years.

Persistence of Beta-Blocker Treatment After a Heart Attack

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18 years of age and older during the measurement year who were hospitalized and discharged from July 1 of the year prior to the measurement year to June 30 of the measurement year with a diagnosis of AMI and who received persistent beta-blocker treatment for 6 months after discharge.

Controlling High Blood Pressure

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18–85 years of age who had a diagnosis of hypertension (HTN) and whose BP was adequately controlled during the measurement year.

Statin Therapy for Patients with Cardiovascular Disease

This measure assesses the percentage of males 21–75 years of age and females 40–75 years of age during the measurement year who were identified as having clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) and met the following criteria. The following rates are reported:

• Received Statin Therapy—Members who were dispensed at least one high- or moderate-intensity statin medication during the measurement year; and

• Statin Adherence 80%—Members who remained on a high- or moderate-intensity statin medication for at least 80% of the treatment period.

Total rates for both submeasures are also reported.

Cardiovascular Monitoring for People with Cardiovascular Disease and Schizophrenia

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18–64 years of age with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder and cardiovascular disease who had an LDL-C test during the measurement year.

Cardiac Rehabilitation — New for 2021

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18 years and older, who attended cardiac rehabilitation following a qualifying cardiac event, including myocardial infarction, percutaneous coronary intervention, coronary artery bypass grafting, heart and heart/lung transplantation or heart valve repair/replacement. Three age groups (18–64 years, 65 years and older, and total years) are reported for each of the following four rates:

- *Initiation*. The percentage of members who attended 2 or more sessions of cardiac rehabilitation within 30 days after a qualifying event.
- Engagement 1. The percentage of members who attended 12 or more sessions of cardiac rehabilitation within 90 days after a qualifying event.
- Engagement 2. The percentage of members who attended 24 or more sessions of cardiac rehabilitation within 180 days after a qualifying event.
- Achievement. The percentage of members who attended 36 or more sessions of cardiac rehabilitation within 180 days after a qualifying event.

Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18 years of age and older during the measurement year with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder who were dispensed and remained on an antipsychotic medication for at least 80% of their treatment period.

Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics

This measure assesses the percentage of children and adolescents 1–17 years of age who had two or more antipsychotic prescriptions and had metabolic testing. Three rates are reported for each age group (1–11 years, 12–17 years, and total):

- The percentage of children and adolescents on antipsychotics who received blood glucose testing;
- The percentage of children and adolescents on antipsychotics who received cholesterol testing; and
- The percentage of children and adolescents on antipsychotics who received blood glucose and cholesterol testing.

Use of Opioids at High Dosage

This measure assesses the proportion of members 18 years and older who received prescription opioids at a high dosage (average morphine milligram equivalent dose [MME] \geq 90) for \geq 15 days during the measurement year.

For this measure, a lower rate indicates better performance.

Use of Opioids from Multiple Providers

This measure assesses the proportion of members 18 years and older who received prescription opioids for \geq 15 days during the measurement year and who received opioids from multiple providers. Three rates are reported:

- Multiple Prescribers—The proportion of members receiving prescriptions for opioids from four or more different prescribers during the measurement year;
- Multiple Pharmacies—The proportion of members receiving prescriptions for opioids from four or more different pharmacies during the measurement year; and
- Multiple Prescribers and Multiple Pharmacies—The proportion of members receiving prescriptions for opioids from four or more different prescribers and four or more different pharmacies during the measurement year

(i.e., the proportion of members who are numerator compliant for both the Multiple Prescribers and Multiple Pharmacies rates).

Risk of Continued Opioid Use

This measure assesses the percentage of members 18 years of age and older who have a new episode of opioid use that puts them at risk for continued opioid use. Two rates are reported:

- The percentage of members with at least 15 days of prescription opioids in a 30-day period; and
- The percentage of members with at least 31 days of prescription opioids in a 62-day period.

Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder

This measure assesses the percentage of new opioid use disorder (OUD) pharmacotherapy events with OUD pharmacotherapy for 180 or more days among members age 16 and older with a diagnosis of OUD.

Plan All-Cause Readmissions

The measure assesses, for members ages 18 to 64, the number of acute inpatient and observation stays during the measurement year that were followed by an unplanned acute readmission for any diagnosis within 30 days and the predicted probability of an acute readmission. Data are reported for the total index hospital stays in the following categories:

- Count of Index Hospital Stays (IHS) (denominator);
- Count of 30-Day Readmissions (numerator);
- Observed Readmission Rate;
- Expected Readmissions Rate; and
- Observed to Expected Readmission Ratio.

CAHPS Survey

The Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS) program is overseen by the Agency of Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) and includes many survey products designed to capture consumer and patient perspectives on health care quality. NCQA uses the adult and child versions of the CAHPS Health Plan surveys for HEDIS.

Implementation of PA-Specific Performance Measures and HEDIS Audit

The MCO successfully implemented all of the PA-specific measures for 2021 that were reported with MCO-submitted data. The MCO submitted all required source code and data for review. IPRO reviewed the source code and validated raw data submitted by the MCO. All rates submitted by the MCO were reportable. Rate calculations were collected via rate sheets and reviewed for all of the PA-specific measures. As previously indicated for the Elective Delivery measure, due to issues with the COVID-19 pandemic the final 2021 (MY 2020) Department of Health Birth File was not available for IPRO to calculate the measure at the time of reporting; this measure is not reported.

The MCO successfully completed the HEDIS audit. The MCO received an Audit Designation of Report for all applicable measures.

Conclusions and Comparative Findings

MCO results are presented in **Table 2.2** through **Table 2.12**. For each measure, the denominator, numerator, and measurement year rates with 95% upper and lower confidence intervals (95% CI) are presented. Confidence intervals are ranges of values that can be used to illustrate the variability associated with a given calculation. For any rate, a 95% confidence interval indicates that there is a 95% probability that the calculated rate, if it were measured repeatedly, would fall within the range of values presented for that rate. All other things being equal, if any given rate were calculated 100 times, the calculated rate would fall within the confidence interval 95 times, or 95% of the time.

Rates for both the measurement year and the previous year are presented, as available (i.e., 2021 [MY 2020] and 2020 [MY 2019]). In addition, statistical comparisons are made between the MY 2020 and MY 2019 rates. For these year-to-year comparisons, the significance of the difference between two independent proportions was determined by calculating

the Z ratio. A Z ratio is a statistical measure that quantifies the difference between two percentages when they come from two separate populations. For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s."

In addition to each individual MCO's rate, the MMC average for 2021 (MY 2020) is presented. The MMC average is a weighted average, which is an average that takes into account the proportional relevance of each MCO. Each table also presents the significance of difference between the plan's measurement year rate and the MMC average for the same year. For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates. Rates for the HEDIS measures were compared to corresponding Medicaid percentiles; comparison results are provided in the tables. The 90th percentile is the benchmark for the HEDIS measures.

Note that the large denominator sizes for many of the analyses led to increased statistical power, and thus contributed to detecting statistical differences that are not clinically meaningful. For example, even a 1-percentage point difference between two rates was statistically significant in many cases, although not meaningful. Hence, results corresponding to each table highlight only differences that are both statistically significant and display at least a 3-percentage point difference in observed rates. It should also be mentioned that when the denominator sizes are small, even relatively large differences in rates might not yield statistical significance due to reduced power; if statistical significance is not achieved, results are not highlighted in the report. Differences are also not discussed if the denominator was less than 30 for a particular rate, in which case, "N/A" (Not Applicable) appears in the corresponding cells. However, "NA" (Not Available) also appears in the cells under the HEDIS MY 2020 percentile column for PA-specific measures that do not have HEDIS percentiles to compare.

Table 2.5 to **Table 2.12** show rates up to one decimal place. Calculations to determine differences between rates are based upon unrounded rates. Due to rounding, differences in rates that are reported in the narrative may differ slightly from the difference between rates presented in the table.

As part of IPRO's validation of HPP's Performance Measures and CAHPS Survey results, the following are recommended areas of focus for the plan moving into the next reporting year. Particular attention has been paid to measures that are not only identified as opportunities for the current 2021 review year, but were also identified as opportunities in 2020.

- It is recommended that HPP improve access to developmental screening for the young children in their population. Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life was an opportunity in 2020 and again in 2021 for 1 year old, 3 years old, and total rates. These rates also decreased in 2021.
- It is recommended that the MCO improve measures related to monitoring its members on antipsychotic medications. The following measures decreased in 2021 and were opportunities for improvement in 2020 and in 2021:
 - o Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia (BH Enhanced);
 - Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Ages 1-11 years; 12-17 years; 1-17 years); and
 - Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing (Ages 1-11 years; 1-17 years).
- It is recommended that HPP work to improve member satisfaction related to their health plan. In the 2021 Adult CAHPS survey, rates for the following survey items fell from 2020 and were below the MMC weighted average for 2021:
 - o Satisfaction with Adult's Health Plan (Rating of 8–10); and
 - Getting Needed Information (Usually or Always).

Access to/Availability of Care

Strengths are identified for the following Access to/Availability of Care performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly above/better than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (Ages 12 to 17) 9.8 percentage points.

Opportunities for improvement are identified for the following Access to/Availability of Care measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly below/worse than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Age 20-44 years) 6.5 percentage points; and
 - o Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Age 45-64 years) 3.1 percentage points.

Table 2.2: Access to/Availability of Care

				2021 (MY 2020)				2021 (MY 2020) Rate Compar			
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Confidence	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compare d to MMC	HEDIS 2021
HEDIS	Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Ages 20-44 years)	74,664	51,278	68.7%	68.3%	69.0%	73.4%	-	75.2%	-	>= 10th and < 25th percentile
HEDIS	Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Ages 45-64 years)	35,608	28,422	79.8%	79.4%	80.2%	83.8%	-	82.9 %	-	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
HEDIS	Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Ages 65+ years)	1,547	1,139	73.6%	71.4%	75.9%	82.0%	-	73.3%	n.s.	>= 10th and < 25th percentile
PA EQR	Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (Ages 1 to 11)	34	23	67.7%	50.5%	84.8%	70.2%	n.s.	67.7%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (Ages 12 to 17)	106	78	73.6%	64.7%	82.4%	78.3%	n.s.	63.8%	+	NA
PA EQR	Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (Total ages 1 to 17)	140	101	72.1%	64.4%	79.9%	75.9%	n.s.	65.1%	n.s.	NA

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare.

Well-Care Visits and Immunizations

Strengths are identified for the following Well-Care Visits and Immunizations performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly above/better than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Body Mass Index: Percentile (Age 3 11 years) 6.0 percentage points;
 - Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total) 3.7 percentage points;
 - o Counseling for Nutrition (Age 3-11 years) 6.8 percentage points;
 - o Counseling for Nutrition (Age 12-17 years) 5.8 percentage points; and
 - o Counseling for Nutrition (Total) 6.5 percentage points.

Opportunities for improvement are identified for the following Well-Care Visits and Immunizations measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly below/worse than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months of Life (15-30 months ≥ 2 Visits) 4.9 percentage points;
 - Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (3-11 years) 5.1 percentage points;
 - Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (12-17 years) 7.0 percentage points;
 - Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (18-21 years) 3.0 percentage points;
 - o Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Total) 5.2 percentage points; and
 - o Counseling for Physical Activity (Age 12-17 years) 3.0 percentage points.

Table 2.3: Well-Care Visits and Immunizations

			2	2021 (MY	2020)		2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹					
					Lower 95%	Upper 95%		2021 Rate		2021 Rate		
Indicator					Confidence	Confidence	2020 (MY	Compared		Compared	HEDIS 2021	
Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Interval	Interval	2019) Rate	to 2020	MMC	to MMC	Percentile	
HEDIS	Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months of Life (15 months ≥ 6 Visits)	5,447	3,392	62.3%	61.0%	63.6%	74.5%	-	65.2%	-	>= 75th and < 90th percentile	
HEDIS	Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months of Life (15-30 months ≥ 2 Visits)	5,278	3,677	69.7%	68.4%	70.9%	N/A	N/A	74.6%	-	>= 25th and < 50th percentile	
HEDIS	Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (3-11 years)	48,727	26,975	55.4%	54.9%	55.8%	N/A	N/A	60.5%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile	
HEDIS	Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (12-17 years)	28,164	13,420	47.7%	47.1%	48.2%	N/A	N/A	54.7%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile	
HEDIS	Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (18-21 years)	13,775	4,413	32.0%	31.3%	32.8%	N/A	N/A	35.0%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile	
HEDIS	Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Total)	90,666	44,808	49.4%	49.1%	49.7%	N/A	N/A	54.6%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile	
HEDIS	Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 2)	411	308	74.9%	70.6%	79.3%	81.5%	-	74.6%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile	
HEDIS	Childhood Immunizations Status (Combination 3)	411	297	72.3%	67.8%	76.7%	78.1%	n.s.	72.1%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile	

				2021 (MY	2020)			2021 (MY	2020) Rate	Comparison	1
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval		2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ммс	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents – Body Mass Index: Percentile (Age 3 - 11 years)	227	197	86.8%	82.2%	91.4%	90.9%	n.s.	80.8%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents – Body Mass Index: Percentile (Age 12- 17 years)	115	87	75.7%	67.4%	83.9%	92.0%	-	76.5%	n.s.	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents – Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total)	342	284	83.0%	78.9%	87.2%	91.2%	-	79.3%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents — Counseling for Nutrition (Age 3- 11 years)	227	185	81.5%	76.2%	86.8%	86.4%	n.s.	74.7%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents — Counseling for Nutrition (Age 12- 17 years)	115	89	77.4%	69.3%	85.5%	83.9%	n.s.	71.6%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents – Counseling for Nutrition (Total)	342	274	80.1%	75.7%	84.5%	85.6%	-	73.6%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile

			7	2021 (MY	2020)		2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹					
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate		Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile	
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents – Counseling for Physical Activity (Age 3-11 years)	227	153	67.4%	61.1%	73.7%	67.0%	n.s.	68.1%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile	
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents – Counseling for Physical Activity (Age 12-17 years)	115	77	67.0%	57.9%	76.0%	83.0%	-	70.0%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile	
HEDIS	Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition & Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents – Counseling for Physical Activity (Total)	342	230	67.3%	62.1%	72.4%	71.8%	n.s.	68.8%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile	
HEDIS	Immunizations for Adolescents (Combo 1)	409	365	89.2%	86.1%	92.4%	91.0%	n.s.	87.6%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile	

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; N/A: not applicable.

EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up

Strengths are identified for the following EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly above/better than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication Initiation Phase 14.3 percentage points;
 - o Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication Continuation Phase 12.8 percentage points;
 - o Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication (BH Enhanced) Initiation Phase 15.5 percentage points;
 - o Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication (BH Enhanced) Continuation Phase 13.9 percentage points;
 - o Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness or Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64 ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days) 5.1 percentage points;
 - o Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness or Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64 ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days) 4.0 percentage points; and
 - o Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness or Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64 ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days) 3.3 percentage points.

Opportunities for improvement are identified for the following EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly below/worse than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life Total 10.1 percentage points;
 - Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life 1 year 13.4 percentage points;
 - o Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life 2 years 7.6 percentage points; and
 - o Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life 3 years 9.4 percentage points.

Table 2.4: EPSDT: Screenings and Follow-up

			2021 (MY 2020)					2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹					
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate		Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compared to MMC			
HEDIS	Lead Screening in Children (Age 2 years)	411	331	80.5%	76.6%	84.5%	81.3%	n.s.	83.2%	n.s.	>= 75th and < 90th percentile		
HEDIS	Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication—Initiation Phase	1,231	761	61.8%	59.1%	64.6%	60.6%	n.s.	47.5%	+	>= 90th percentile		
HEDIS	Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication—Continuation Phase	230	151	65.7%	59.3%	72.0%	69.2%	n.s.	52.8%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile		
PA EQR	Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication (BH Enhanced)— Initiation Phase	1,389	873	62.9%	60.3%	65.4%	63.1%	n.s.	47.4%	+	NA		
PA EQR	Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication (BH Enhanced)— Continuation Phase	275	182	66.2%	60.4%	72.0%	71.9%	n.s.	52.3%	+	NA		
PA EQR	Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—Total	16,151	8,004	49.6%	48.8%	50.3%	54.9%	-	59.6%	-	NA		

			20	021 (MY 202	20)		2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹					
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95%	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ммс	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile	
PA EQR	Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—1 year	5,291	2,226	42.1%	40.7%	43.4%	44.5%	-	55.5%	-	NA	
PA EQR	Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—2 years	5,330	2,830	53.1%	51.7%	54.4%	61.8%	-	60.7%	-	NA	
PA EQR	Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life—3 years	5,530	2,948	53.3%	52.0%	54.6%	57.3%	-	62.8%	-	NA	
PA EQR	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64— ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days)	657	312	47.5%	43.6%	51.4%	26.9%	+	42.4%	+	NA	
PA EQR	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 18 to 64— ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days)	657	368	56.0%	52.1%	59.9%	40.0%	+	55.1%	n.s.	NA	
PA EQR	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days)	1,807	466	25.8%	23.7%	27.8%	14.8%	+	21.8%	+	NA	
PA EQR	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64—ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days)	1,807	628	34.8%	32.5%	37.0%	25.0%	+	31.5%	+	NA	
PA EQR	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older— ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days)	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.8%	N/A	NA	
PA EQR	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days)	1	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	85.7%	N/A	NA	
PA EQR	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 65 and older— ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days)	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.8%	N/A	NA	

			20	021 (MY 202	20)	2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹					
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval		2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ммс	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
PA EQR	Follow-up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (Ages: 65 and older—ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days)	1	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	85.7%	N/A	NA

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare; 2021 Rate N/A: not applicable, as denominator is less than 30; N/A: not applicable.

Dental Care for Children and Adults

Strengths are identified for the following Dental Care for Children and Adults performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly above/better than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars (≥ 1 Molar) 32.7 percentage points;
 - Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars (All 4 Molars) 24.3 percentage points; and
 - o Adult Annual Dental Visit Women with a Live Birth (Age 36-59 years) 4.8 percentage points.

Opportunities for improvement are identified for the following Dental Care for Children and Adults measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly below/worse than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Annual Dental Visit (Age 2–20 years) 5.8 percentage points; and
 - o Annual Dental Visits for Members with Developmental Disabilities (Age 2-20 years) 6.7 percentage points.

Table 2.5: EPSDT: Dental Care for Children and Adults

			2	021 (MY	2020)		2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹					
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate		Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020		2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile	
HEDIS	Annual Dental Visit (Ages 2–20 years)	94,463	45,710	48.4%	48.1%	48.7%	68.4%	-	54.2%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile	
PA EQR	Annual Dental Visits for Members with Developmental Disabilities (Ages 2–20 years)	4,947	2,414	48.8%	47.4%	50.2%	69.1%	-	55.5%	-	NA	
PA EQR	Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars (≥ 1 Molar)	5,096	3,259	64.0%	62.6%	65.3%	N/A	N/A	31.3%	+	NA	

			2021 (MY 2020)					2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹						
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile			
PA EQR	Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars (All 4 Molars)	5,096	2,306	45.3%	43.9%	46.6%	N/A	N/A	20.9%	+	NA			
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 21–35 years)	48,413	13,048	27.0%	26.6%	27.3%	35.1%	1	27.4%	-	NA			
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 36–59 years)	49,934	12,650	25.3%	24.9%	25.7%	32.9%	1	25.0%	n.s.	NA			
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 60–64 years)	7,959	1,793	22.5%	21.6%	23.5%	28.9%	-	21.4%	+	NA			
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 65 years and older)	1,547	229	14.8%	13.0%	16.6%	19.4%	-	15.0%	n.s.	NA			
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit ≥ 21 Years (Ages 21 years and older)	107,853	27,720	25.7%	25.4%	26.0%	33.4%	-	25.7%	n.s.	NA			
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit Women with a Live Birth (Ages 21-35 years)	3,329	1,024	30.8%	29.2%	32.3%	N/A	N/A	29.1%	+	NA			
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit Women with a Live Birth (Ages 36-59 years)	467	161	34.5%	30.1%	38.9%	N/A	N/A	29.7%	+	NA			
PA EQR	Adult Annual Dental Visit Women with a Live Birth (Ages 21-59 years)	3,796	1,185	31.2%	29.7%	32.7%	N/A	N/A	29.1%	+	NA			

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare; N/A: not applicable.

Women's Health

Strengths are identified for the following Women's Health performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly above/better than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - Chlamydia Screening in Women (Total) 13.3 percentage points;
 - o Chlamydia Screening in Women (Age 16-20 years) 15.6 percentage points;
 - o Chlamydia Screening in Women (Age 21-24 years) − 10.5 percentage points;
 - Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception 3 days (Ages 15 to 20) 9.4 percentage points;
 - o Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC 3 days (Ages 15 to 20) 8.1 percentage points;
 - Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC 60 days (Ages 15 to 20) 6.7 percentage points;
 - o Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception 3 days (Ages 21 to 44) 4.9 percentage points;

- o Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC 3 days (Ages 21 to 44) 3.9 percentage points; and
- o Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC 60 days (Ages 21 to 44) 3.1 percentage points.

Opportunities for improvement are identified for the following Women's Health measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly below/worse than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of most or moderately effective contraception (Ages 15 to 20) 6.6 percentage points.

Table 2.6: Women's Health

				2021 (MY	2020)		2021 (MY 2020) Ra					
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile	
HEDIS	Breast Cancer Screening (Ages 50–74 years)	9,714	5,239	53.9%	52.9%	54.9%	59.8%	-	53.2%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile	
HEDIS	Cervical Cancer Screening (Ages 21–64 years)	360	228	63.3%	58.2%	68.4%	68.4%	n.s.	61.1%	+	>= 50th and < 75th percentile	
HEDIS	Chlamydia Screening in Women (Total)	8,829	6,204	70.3%	69.3%	71.2%	76.8%	-	57.0%	+	>= 90th percentile	
HEDIS	Chlamydia Screening in Women (Ages 16–20 years)	4,807	3,328	69.2%	67.9%	70.5%	76.9%	-	53.7%	+	>= 90th percentile	
HEDIS	Chlamydia Screening in Women (Ages 21–24 years)	4,022	2,876	71.5%	70.1%	72.9%	76.7%	-	61.0%	+	>= 90th percentile	
HEDIS	Non-Recommended Cervical Cancer Screening in Adolescent Females ²	10,038	17	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.4%	-	0.4%	-	>= 75th and < 90th percentile	
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of most or moderately effective contraception (Ages 15 to 20)	11,965	2,950	24.7%	23.9%	25.4%	28.9%	-	31.3%	-	NA	
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of LARC (Ages 15 to 20)	11,965	332	2.8%	2.5%	3.1%	4.0%	-	3.3%	-	NA	
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of most or moderately effective contraception (Ages 21 to 44)	39,906	10,657	26.7%	26.3%	27.1%	29.4%	-	27.6%	-	NA	
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of LARC (Ages 21 to 44)	39,906	1,590	4.0%	3.8%	4.2%	4.7%	-	4.4%	-	NA	
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception—3 days (Ages 15 to 20)	417	107	25.7%	21.3%	30.0%	23.4%	n.s.	16.2%	+	NA	

		2021 (MY 2020)						2021 (MY	2020) Rate	Comparisor	1^{1}
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	MMC	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception—60 days (Ages 15 to 20)	417	204	48.9%	44.0%	53.8%	53.5%	n.s.	47.2%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC—3 days (Ages 15 to 20)	417	72	17.3%	13.5%	21.0%	16.2%	n.s.	9.2%	+	NA
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC—60 days (Ages 15 to 20)	417	98	23.5%	19.3%	27.7%	25.2%	n.s.	16.8%	+	NA
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception—3 days (Ages 21 to 44)	3,686	892	24.2%	22.8%	25.6%	21.4%	+	19.3%	+	NA
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception—60 days (Ages 21 to 44)	3,686	1,644	44.6%	43.0%	46.2%	45.9%	n.s.	44.8%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC—3 days (Ages 21 to 44)	3,686	357	9.7%	8.7%	10.7%	8.6%	n.s.	5.7%	+	NA
PA EQR	Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC—60 days (Ages 21 to 44)	3,686	571	15.5%	14.3%	16.7%	16.6%	n.s.	12.4%	+	NA

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare.

Obstetric and Neonatal Care

Strengths are identified for the following Obstetric and Neonatal Care performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly above/better than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for Smoking 8.6 percentage points;
 - o Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for Smoking during one of the first two visits (CHIPRA indicator) 9.3 percentage points;

² For the Non-Recommended Cervical Cancer Screening in Adolescent Females measure, lower rate indicates better performance.

- Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposure
 23.1 percentage points;
- Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Counseling for Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposure
 12.7 percentage points;
- o Perinatal Depression Screening: Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening for Depression 17.8 percentage points;
- o Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening for Depression during one of the first two visits (CHIPRA indicator) 25.0 percentage points; and
- o Perinatal Depression Screening: Postpartum Screening for Depression 6.3 percentage points.

Opportunities for improvement are identified for the following Obstetric and Neonatal Care measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly below/worse than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening Positive for Depression 14.0 percentage points; and
 - o Perinatal Depression Screening: Postpartum Screening Positive for Depression 10.0 percentage points.

Table 2.7: Obstetric and Neonatal Care

		2021 (MY 2020)					2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹				
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ммс	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
HEDIS	Prenatal and Postpartum Care— Timeliness of Prenatal Care	411	371	90.3%	87.3%	93.3%	92.0%	n.s.	88.9%	n.s.	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Prenatal and Postpartum Care— Postpartum Care	411	328	79.8%	75.8%	83.8%	81.0%	n.s.	77.8%	n.s.	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for Smoking	407	344	84.5%	80.9%	88.2%	N/A	N/A	75.9%	+	NA
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for Smoking during one of the first two visits (CHIPRA indicator)	407	343	84.3%	80.6%	87.9%	N/A	N/A	74.9%	+	NA
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Screening for Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposure	407	287	70.5%	66.0%	75.1%	N/A	N/A	47.4%	+	NA
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Counseling for Smoking	74	58	78.4%	68.3%	88.4%	N/A	N/A	80.2%	n.s.	NA

		2021 (MY 2020)						2021 (MY	2020) Rate	Comparison	1
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Counseling for Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposure	41	38	92.7%	83.5%	100.0%	N/A	N/A	80.0%	+	NA
PA EQR	Prenatal Screening for Smoking and Treatment Discussion During a Prenatal Visit: Prenatal Smoking Cessation	51	13	25.5%	12.5%	38.4%	N/A	N/A	23.6%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening for Depression	407	342	84.0%	80.3%	87.7%	N/A	N/A	66.2%	+	NA
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening for Depression during one of the first two visits (CHIPRA indicator)	407	320	78.6%	74.5%	82.7%	N/A	N/A	53.6%	+	NA
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Screening Positive for Depression	342	26	7.6%	4.6%	10.6%	N/A	N/A	21.6%	-	NA
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Prenatal Counseling for Depression	26	24	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	77.9%	N/A	NA
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Postpartum Screening for Depression	333	259	77.8%	73.2%	82.4%	N/A	N/A	71.4%	+	NA
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Postpartum Screening Positive for Depression	259	19	7.3%	4.0%	10.7%	N/A	N/A	17.4%	-	NA
PA EQR	Perinatal Depression Screening: Postpartum Counseling for Depression	19	18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	85.1%	N/A	NA

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare; N/A: not applicable; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review.

Respiratory Conditions

Strengths are identified for the following Respiratory Conditions performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly above/better than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Age 3 months-17 years) 14.4 percentage points;
 - Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Total) 9.2 percentage points;
 - o Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation: Bronchodilator 3.7 percentage points; and
 - o Asthma Medication Ratio (19-50 years) 4.7 percentage points.

Opportunities for improvement are identified for the following Respiratory Conditions measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly below/worse than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Age 18-64 years) 21.8 percentage points;
 - Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Total) 10.0 percentage points;
 - o Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (Age 2-17 years) per 100,000 member months 5.3 percentage points;
 - o Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (Total Age 2-39 years) per 100,000 member months 4.1 percentage points; and
 - Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Age 65 years and older) per 100,000 member months 41.9 percentage points.

Table 2.8: Respiratory Conditions

			2	021 (MY 20	20)		2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹				
Indicator					Lower 95% Confidence	Upper 95% Confidence	2020 (MY	2021 Rate Compared		2021 Rate Compared	HEDIS 2021
Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Interval	Interval	2019) Rate	to 2020	MMC	to MMC	Percentile
HEDIS	Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Total—Ages 3 - 17 years)	2,864	2,386	83.3%	81.9%	84.7%	83.9%	n.s.	82.1%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Ages 18-64 years)	2,051	776	37.8%	35.7%	40.0%	42.1%	-	59.6%	-	< 10th percentile
HEDIS	Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Ages 65+ years)	8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	>= 10th and < 25th percentile
HEDIS	Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Total)	4,923	3,163	64.3%	62.9%	65.6%	67.3%	-	74.2%	-	>= 10th and < 25th percentile
HEDIS	Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection (Ages 3 months-17 years) ²	14,297	468	96.7%	96.4%	97.0%	96.3%	+	94.2%	+	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection (Ages 18-64 years) ²	5,834	967	83.4%	82.5%	84.4%	82.3%	n.s.	82.0%	+	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection (Ages 65+ years) ²	55	12	78.2%	66.4%	90.0%	72.5%	n.s.	77.8%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile

			2	021 (MY 2	020)			2021 (M	Y 2020) Ra	te Compariso	n¹
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ммс	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
HEDIS	Appropriate Treatment for Upper Respiratory Infection (Total) ²	20,186	1,447	92.8%	92.5%	93.2%	92.5%	n.s.	90.9%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Ages 3 months-17 years) ³	1,328	157	88.2%	86.4%	90.0%	86.0%	n.s.	73.8%	+	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Ages 18-64 years) ³	1,068	562	47.4%	44.3%	50.4%	50.9%	n.s.	46.3%	n.s.	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Ages 65+ years) ³	13	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Total) ³	2,409	725	69.9%	68.0%	71.8%	69.7%	n.s.	60.7%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD	759	189	24.9%	21.8%	28.0%	26.3%	n.s.	26.9%	n.s.	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation: Systemic Corticosteroid	634	480	75.7%	72.3%	79.1%	75.2%	n.s.	77.2%	n.s.	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation: Bronchodilator	634	577	91.0%	88.7%	93.3%	89.9%	n.s.	87.3%	+	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (5–11 years)	1,402	1,087	77.5%	75.3%	79.8%	69.4%	+	77.6%	n.s.	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (12–18 years)	1,148	819	71.3%	68.7%	74.0%	67.7%	n.s.	71.0%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (19–50 years)	2,309	1,418	61.4%	59.4%	63.4%	60.5%	n.s.	56.7%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (51–64 years)	933	573	61.4%	58.2%	64.6%	64.6%	n.s.	57.6%	n.s.	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Asthma Medication Ratio (Total)	5,792	3,897	67.3%	66.1%	68.5%	65.1%	+	64.8%	+	>= 50th and < 75th percentile

			2	021 (MY 20	020)			2021 (M	Y 2020) Rat	te Compariso	n¹
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
PA EQR	Asthma in Children and Younger Adults Admission Rate (Ages 2– 17 years) per 100,000 member months ⁴	1,054,109	131	12.4	N/A	N/A	30.5	-	7.1	+	NA
PA EQR	Asthma in Children and Younger Adults Admission Rate (Ages 18– 39 years) per 100,000 member months ⁴	1,010,008	87	8.6	N/A	N/A	6.3	+	5.7	+	NA
PA EQR	Asthma in Children and Younger Adults Admission Rate (Total Ages 2–39 years) per 100,000 member months ⁴	2,064,117	218	10.6	N/A	N/A	18.8	-	6.5	+	NA
PA EQR	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Ages 40 to 64 years) per 100,000 member months ⁴	640,668	271	42.3	N/A	N/A	51.1	-	41.8	+	NA
PA EQR	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Ages 65 years and older) per 100,000 member months ⁴	20,315	18	88.6	N/A	N/A	51.9	+	46.7	+	NA
PA EQR	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Total Ages 40+) per 100,000 member months ⁴	660,983	289	43.7	N/A	N/A	51.1	-	41.9	+	NA

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare; 2021 Rate N/A: not applicable, as denominator is less than 30; N/A: not applicable.

² Per NCQA, a higher rate indicates appropriate treatment of children with URI (i.e., the proportion for whom antibiotics were not prescribed).

³ Per NCQA, a higher rate indicates appropriate treatment of adults with acute bronchitis (i.e., the proportion for whom antibiotics were not prescribed).

⁴ For the Admission Rate measures, lower rates indicate better performance.

Comprehensive Diabetes Care

Strengths are identified for the following Comprehensive Diabetes Care performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly above/better than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Age 18-64 years) per 100,000 member months 5.03 admissions per 100,000 member months;
 - o Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Total Age 18+ years) per 100,000 member months 4.97 admissions per 100,000 member months;
 - O Diabetes Care for People with Serious Mental Illness: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (>9.0%) (Age Cohort: 18 64 Years of Age) 8.1 percentage points;
 - o Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients with Diabetes (Age 18 64 years) 4.0 percentage points; and
 - o Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients with Diabetes (Total) 4.2 percentage points.

Opportunities for improvement are identified for the following Comprehensive Diabetes Care performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly below/worse than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - HbA1c Poor Control (>9.0%) 4.9 percentage points;
 - Retinal Eye Exam 7.8 percentage points;
 - o Blood Pressure Controlled <140/90 mm Hg 4.2 percentage points; and
 - o Statin Therapy for Patients With Diabetes: Statin Adherence 80% 5.0 percentage points.

Table 2.9: Comprehensive Diabetes Care

			2	021 (MY	2020)			2021 (M)	/ 2020) Rat	e Compariso	n ¹
Indicator					Lower 95% Confidence	Upper 95% Confidence	2020 (MY	2021 Rate Compared		2021 Rate Compared	HEDIS 2021
Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Interval	Interval	2019) Rate	to 2020	ммс	to MMC	Percentile
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care – Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Testing	411	336	81.8%	77.9%	85.6%	88.6%	-	83.7%	n.s.	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care – HbA1c Poor Control (> 9.0%) ²	411	178	43.3%	38.4%	48.2%	31.4%	+	38.4%	+	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care – HbA1c Control (< 8.0%)	411	199	48.4%	43.5%	53.4%	55.6%	-	51.2%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care – Retinal Eye Exam	411	187	45.5%	40.6%	50.4%	60.5%	-	53.3%	-	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
HEDIS	Comprehensive Diabetes Care – Blood Pressure Controlled < 140/90 mm Hg	411	254	61.8%	57.0%	66.6%	65.8%	n.s.	66.0%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
PA EQR	Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Ages 18 to 64 years) per 100,000 member months ³	1,650,676	237	14.4	12.5	16.2	14.3	n.s.	19.4	-	NA

			2	021 (MY	2020)			2021 (M)	Y 2020) Rat	e Compariso	n¹
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
PA EQR	Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Ages 65+ years) per 100,000 member months ³	20,315	2	9.8	0.0	23.5	10.4	n.s.	5.8	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Total Ages 18+ years) per 100,000 member months ³	1,670,991	239	14.3	12.5	16.1	14.3	n.s.	19.3	-	NA
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Diabetes: Received Statin Therapy	6,260	4,507	72.0%	70.9%	73.1%	71.6%	n.s.	69.6%	+	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Diabetes: Statin Adherence 80%	4,507	3,102	68.8%	67.5%	70.2%	67.1%	n.s.	73.8%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
PA EQR	Diabetes Care for People with Serious Mental Illness: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (> 9.0%) (Ages 18–64 Years)	1,010	915	90.6%	88.7%	92.4%	87.2%	+	82.5%	+	NA
PA EQR	Diabetes Care for People with Serious Mental Illness: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (> 9.0%) (Ages 65–75 Years)	8	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	78.1%	N/A	NA
HEDIS	Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients with Diabetes (Ages 18 - 64 years)	10,466	4,455	42.6%	41.6%	43.5%	N/A	N/A	38.6%	+	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients with Diabetes (Ages 65 - 74 years)	313	167	53.4%	47.7%	59.0%	N/A	N/A	45.4%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients with Diabetes (Ages 75 - 85 years)	102	49	48.0%	37.9%	58.2%	N/A	N/A	40.5%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients with Diabetes (Total)	10,881	4,671	42.9%	42.0%	43.9%	N/A	N/A	38.7%	+	>= 90th percentile

For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare; 2021 Rate N/A: not applicable, as denominator is less than 30; N/A: not applicable.

² For HbA1c Poor Control, lower rates indicate better performance.

³ For the Adult Admission Rate measures, lower rates indicate better performance.

Cardiovascular Care

No strengths are identified for the Cardiovascular Care performance measures.

Opportunities for improvement are identified for the following Cardiovascular Care performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly below/worse than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - o Heart Failure Admission Rate (Age 18-64 years) per 100,000 member months 4.79 admissions per 100,000 member months; and
 - o Heart Failure Admission Rate (Total Age 18+ years) per 100,000 member months 4.63 admissions per 100,000 member months.

Table 2.10: Cardiovascular Care

				2021 (MY 2	:020)		2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹				
Indicator					Lower 95% Confidence	Upper 95% Confidence	2020 (MY	2021 Rate Compared		2021 Rate Compared	HEDIS 2021
Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Interval	Interval	2019) Rate	to 2020	MMC	to MMC	Percentile
HEDIS	Persistence of Beta Blocker Treatment After Heart Attack	107	88	82.2%	74.5%	89.9%	86.2%	n.s.	85.9%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Controlling High Blood Pressure (Total Rate)	411	258	62.8%	58.0%	67.6%	68.1%	n.s.	63.4%	n.s.	>= 75th and < 90th percentile
PA EQR	Heart Failure Admission Rate (Ages 18–64 years) per 100,000 member months ²	1,650,676	410	24.8	22.4	27.2	25.0	n.s.	20.0	+	NA
PA EQR	Heart Failure Admission Rate (Ages 65+ years) per 100,000 member months ²	20,315	10	49.2	18.7	79.7	51.9	n.s.	73.4	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Heart Failure Admission Rate (Total Ages 18+ years) per 100,000 member months ²	1,670,991	420	25.1	22.7	27.5	25.3	n.s.	20.5	+	NA
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Received Statin Therapy 21– 75 years (Male)	793	667	84.1%	81.5%	86.7%	84.2%	n.s.	84.7%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Received Statin Therapy 40– 75 years (Female)	536	433	80.8%	77.4%	84.2%	83.2%	n.s.	81.8%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Received Statin Therapy Total Rate	1,329	1,100	82.8%	80.7%	84.8%	83.8%	n.s.	83.5%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Statin Adherence 80%—21— 75 years (Male)	667	489	73.3%	69.9%	76.7%	69.0%	n.s.	76.3%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile

				2021 (MY 2	2020)			2021 (MY	′ 2020) Rat	e Comparisor	1^1
					Lower 95%	Upper 95%		2021 Rate		2021 Rate	
Indicator					Confidence	Confidence	2020 (MY	Compared		Compared	HEDIS 2021
Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Interval	Interval	2019) Rate	to 2020	MMC	to MMC	Percentile
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Statin Adherence 80%—40— 75 years (Female)	433	320	73.9%	69.6%	78.2%	72.3%	n.s.	76.4%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Statin Adherence 80%—Total Rate 18-64 years	1,100	809	73.6%	70.9%	76.2%	70.3%	n.s.	76.3%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Cardiovascular Monitoring for People With Cardiovascular Disease and Schizophrenia 18-64 years	39	30	76.9%	62.4%	91.4%	77.8%	n.s.	73.0%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Initiation: ≥ 2 Visits in 30 days (Ages 18 - 64 years)	456	7	1.5%	0.3%	2.8%	N/A	N/A	2.0%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Initiation: ≥ 2 Visits in 30 days (Ages 65 + years)	6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Initiation: ≥ 2 Visits in 30 days (Total)	462	7	1.5%	0.3%	2.7%	N/A	N/A	2.0%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 1: ≥ 12 Visits in 90 days (Ages 18 - 64 years)	456	11	2.4%	0.9%	3.9%	N/A	N/A	2.7%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 1: ≥ 12 Visits in 90 days (Ages 65 + years)	6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 1: ≥ 12 Visits in 90 days (Total)	462	11	2.4%	0.9%	3.9%	N/A	N/A	2.7%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 2: ≥ 24 Visits in 180 days (Ages 18 - 64 years)	456	11	2.4%	0.9%	3.9%	N/A	N/A	2.4%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 2: ≥ 24 Visits in 180 days (Ages 65 + years)	6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Engagement 2: ≥ 24 Visits in 180 days (Total)	462	11	2.4%	0.9%	3.9%	N/A	N/A	2.3%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile

				2021 (MY 2	2020)			2021 (MY	′ 2020) Rate	Comparisor	1 ¹
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ММС	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Achievement: ≥ 36 Visits in 180 days (Ages 18 - 64 years)	456	2	0.4%	0.0%	1.2%	N/A	N/A	1.1%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Achievement: ≥ 36 Visits in 180 days (Ages 65 + years)	6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
HEDIS	Cardiac Rehabilitation Achievement: ≥ 36 Visits in 180 days (Total)	462	2	0.4%	0.0%	1.1%	N/A	N/A	1.1%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates. ² For the Adult Admission Rate measures, lower rates indicate better performance.
² For the Adult Admission Rate measures, lower rates indicate better performance.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare; 2021 Rate N/A: not applicable, as denominator is less than 30; N/A: not applicable.

Utilization

Strengths are identified for the following Utilization performance measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly above/better than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - Use of Opioids From Multiple Providers (4 or more prescribers) 3.5 percentage points; and
 - Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines (Total Age 18 years and older) 3.3 percentage points.

Opportunities for improvement are identified for the following Utilization measures:

- The following rates are statistically significantly below/worse than the 2021 (MY 2020) MMC weighted average:
 - Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia (BH Enhanced) 8.4 percentage points;
 - o Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Age 1-11 years) 28.9 percentage points;
 - o Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Age 12-17 years) 13.6 percentage points;
 - Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Total Age 1-17 years) 17.6 percentage points;
 - o Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Cholesterol Testing (Age 1-11 years) 12.2 percentage points;
 - Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing (Age 1-11 years) 23.8 percentage points;
 - Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing (Age 12-17 years) 8.2 percentage points;
 - Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing (Total Age 1-17 years) 12.5 percentage points;
 - Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Age 16-64 years) 7.0 percentage points;

- Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Total Age 16+ years) 7.1 percentage points;
- Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Total) 19.4 percentage points;
- Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Buprenorphine) 17.1 percentage points; and
- Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Long-Acting, Injectable Naltrexone) 4.4 percentage points.

Table 2.11: Utilization

		2021 (MY 2020)					2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹				
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	ммс	2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
HEDIS	Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia	884	541	61.2%	57.9%	64.5%	62.0%	n.s.	65.1%	n.s.	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
PA EQR	Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia (BH Enhanced)	1,506	899	59.7%	57.2%	62.2%	64.3%	-	68.1%	-	NA
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Ages 1-11 years)	107	39	36.5%	26.9%	46.0%	54.2%	-	65.4%	-	>= 25th and < 50th percentile
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Ages 12-17 years)	280	163	58.2%	52.3%	64.2%	64.8%	n.s.	71.9%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Total Ages 1-17 years)	387	202	52.2%	47.1%	57.3%	61.1%	-	69.8%	-	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Cholesterol Testing (Ages 1-11 years)	107	53	49.5%	39.6%	59.5%	68.3%	-	61.7%	-	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Cholesterol Testing (Ages 12-17 years)	280	175	62.5%	56.7%	68.3%	70.0%	n.s.	60.3%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile
HEDIS	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Cholesterol	387	228	58.9%	53.9%	63.9%	69.4%	-	60.7%	n.s.	>= 90th percentile

			2021 (MY 2020)			2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹					
					Lower 95%	Upper 95%		2021 Rate		2021 Rate	
Indicator					Confidence	Confidence	2020 (MY	Compared		Compared	HEDIS 2021
Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Interval	Interval	2019) Rate	to 2020	MMC	to MMC	Percentile
	Testing (Total Ages 1-17 years)										
	Metabolic Monitoring for										
	Children and Adolescents on										
HEDIS	Antipsychotics: Blood	107	37	34.6%	25.1%	44.1%	50.7%	_	58.4%	-	>= 75th and <
	Glucose & Cholesterol										90th percentile
	Testing (Ages 1-11 years)										
	Metabolic Monitoring for										
	Children and Adolescents on										>= 90th
HEDIS	Antipsychotics: Blood	280	140	50.0%	44.0%	56.0%	58.8%	-	58.2%	-	percentile
	Glucose & Cholesterol										percentile
	Testing (Ages 12-17 years)										
	Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on										
	Antipsychotics: Blood										>= 90th
HEDIS	Glucose & Cholesterol	387	177	45.7%	40.6%	50.8%	56.0%	-	58.2%	-	percentile
	Testing (Total Ages 1-17										percentile
	years)										
HEDIS	Use of Opioids at High	1,300	80	6.2%	4.8%	7.5%	6.7%	n c	8.6%		>= 25th and <
ПЕОІЗ	Dosage ²	1,300	80	0.2/6	4.670	7.5%	0.776	n.s.	8.0%	-	50th percentile
	Use of Opioids From										>= 90th
HEDIS	Multiple Providers (4 or	1,724	175	10.2%	8.7%	11.6%	11.2%	n.s.	13.6%	-	percentile
	more prescribers) ³										•
HEDIS	Use of Opioids From Multiple Providers (4 or	1,724	34	2.0%	1.3%	2.7%	2.2%	n.s.	1.4%	n.s.	>= 50th and <
ПЕДІЗ	more pharmacies) ³	1,724	34	2.0%	1.5%	2.770	2.270	11.5.	1.470	11.5.	75th percentile
	Use of Opioids From										
HEDIC	Multiple Providers (4 or	4 724	4.6	0.00/	0.40/	4.40/	4.40/		0.70/		>= 75th and <
HEDIS	more prescribers &	1,724	16	0.9%	0.4%	1.4%	1.1%	n.s.	0.7%	n.s.	90th percentile
	pharmacies) ³										
	Risk of Continued Opioid Use										>= 50th and <
HEDIS	- At Least 15 Days (Ages 18 -	8,009	424	5.3%	4.8%	5.8%	4.6%	+	5.1%	n.s.	75th percentile
	64 years) ⁴										,
HEDIC	Risk of Continued Opioid Use		_	11.60/	0.00/	22.40/	1 70/		C 40/		>= 75th and <
HEDIS	- At Least 15 Days (Ages 65+ years) ⁴	43	5	11.6%	0.9%	22.4%	1.7%	+	6.4%	n.s.	90th percentile
	Risk of Continued Opioid Use										
HEDIS	- At Least 15 Days (Ages 18	8,052	429	5.3%	4.8%	5.8%	4.6%	+	5.1%	n.s.	>= 50th and <
	years and older) ⁴	5,55 <u>L</u>	5	5.3/5	1.575	2.3/3	1.0,0		2.1/0		75th percentile

			2021 (MY 2020)				2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹				
					Lower 95%	Upper 95%		2021 Rate		2021 Rate	
Indicator					Confidence	Confidence	2020 (MY	Compared		Compared	HEDIS 2021
Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Interval	Interval	2019) Rate	to 2020	ММС	to MMC	Percentile
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use - At Least 31 Days (Ages 18 - 64 years) ⁴	8,009	257	3.2%	2.8%	3.6%	3.0%	n.s.	3.2%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use - At Least 31 Days (Ages 65+ years) ⁴	43	3	7.0%	0.0%	15.8%	1.7%	n.s.	3.5%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
HEDIS	Risk of Continued Opioid Use - At Least 31 Days (Ages 18 years and older) ⁴	8,052	260	3.2%	2.8%	3.6%	3.0%	n.s.	3.2%	n.s.	>= 50th and < 75th percentile
PA EQR	Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines (Ages 18-64 years) ⁵	1,369	211	15.4%	13.5%	17.4%	19.8%	-	18.6%	-	NA
PA EQR	Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines (Ages 65 years and older) ⁵	7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9.6%	N/A	NA
PA EQR	Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines (Total Ages 18 years and older) ⁵	1,376	211	15.3%	13.4%	17.3%	19.8%	-	18.6%	-	NA
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Ages 16-64 years)	1,442	291	20.2%	18.1%	22.3%	18.4%	n.s.	27.2%	-	>= 10th and < 25th percentile
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Ages 65+ years)	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
HEDIS	Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Total Ages 16+ years)	1,447	291	20.1%	18.0%	22.2%	18.3%	n.s.	27.2%	-	>= 10th and < 25th percentile
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Total)	335	187	55.8%	50.4%	61.3%	33.9%	+	75.2%	-	NA
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Buprenorphine)	335	175	52.2%	46.7%	57.7%	29.4%	+	69.3%	-	NA
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Oral Naltrexone)	335	7	2.1%	0.4%	3.8%	2.0%	n.s.	4.0%	n.s.	NA
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Long-	335	9	2.7%	0.8%	4.6%	3.8%	n.s.	7.0%	-	NA

			2021 (MY 2020)					2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹			
Indicator Source	Indicator	Denom	Num	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020		2021 Rate Compared to MMC	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
	Acting, Injectable Naltrexone)										
PA EQR	Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Methadone)	335	11	3.3%	1.2%	5.3%	2.0%	n.s.	2.5%	n.s.	NA

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

Denom: denominator; Num: numerator; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; PA: Pennsylvania; EQR: external quality review; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare; 2021 Rate N/A: not applicable, as denominator is less than 30; N/A: not applicable.

Table 2.12: Utilization (Continued)

		2021 (M	Y 2020)	2021 (MY 2020) Rate Comparison ¹		
Indicator Source	IndicatorIndicator ²	Count	Rate	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	HEDIS 2021 Percentile
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Count of Index Hospital Stays (IHS)—Total Stays (Ages Total)	6,275		7,377		NA
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Count of 30-Day Readmissions—Total Stays (Ages Total)	673		726		NA
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Observed Readmission Rate—Total Stays (Ages Total)		10.7%	9.8%	N/A	NA
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Expected Readmission Rate—Total Stays (Ages Total)		9.8%	9.5%	N/A	NA
HEDIS	Plan All-Cause Readmissions: Observed to Expected Readmission Ratio—Total Stays (Ages Total)		1.1	1.0	N/A	NA

¹ For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MY 2019 rates, statistically significant increases are indicated by "+," statistically significant decreases by "-," and no statistically significant change by "n.s." For comparison of MY 2020 rates to MMC rates, the "+" denotes that the plan rate exceeds the MMC rate, the "-" denotes that the MMC rate exceeds the plan rate, and "n.s." denotes no statistically significant difference between the two rates.

MY: measurement year; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; NA: not available, as no HEDIS percentile is available to compare; N/A: not applicable.

² For the Use of Opioids at High Dosage measure, lower rates indicate better performance.

³ For the Use of Opioids From Multiple Providers measure, lower rates indicate better performance.

⁴ For the Risk of Continued Opioid Use measure, lower rates indicate better performance.

⁵ For the Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines measure, lower rates indicate better performance.

² For the Plan All-Cause Readmissions (PCR) measure, cells that are grey shaded are data elements that are not relevant to the measure.

Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS) Survey

Satisfaction with the Experience of Care

Table 2.13 and **Table 2.14** provide the survey results of four composite questions by two specific categories for HPP across the last 3 measurement years, as available. The composite questions target the MCO's performance strengths as well as opportunities for improvement.

Due to differences in the CAHPS submissions from year to year, direct comparisons of results are not always available. Questions that are not included in the most recent survey version are not presented in **Table 2.13** and **Table 2.14**.

MY 2020 Adult CAHPS 5.1H Survey Results

Table 2.13: CAHPS MY 2020 Adult Survey Results

Survey Section/Measure	2021 (MY 2020)	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	2020 (MY 2019)	2020 Rate Compared to 2019	2019 (MY 2018)	2021 MMC Weighted Average
Your Health Plan						
Satisfaction with Adult's Health Plan (Rating of 8–10)	79.78%	▼	85.79%	A	80.00%	81.40%
Getting Needed Information (Usually or Always)	79.78%	▼	81.08%	•	86.46%	84.68%
Your Health Care in the Last 6 Months						
Satisfaction with Health Care (Rating of 8–10)	77.12%	▼	79.41%	▼	80.77%	79.53%
Appointment for Routine Care When Needed (Usually or Always)	84.68%	A	77.86%	▼	82.42%	82.26%

[▲] **V** = Performance increased (▲) or decreased (\blacktriangledown) compared to prior year's rate.

Gray shaded boxes reflect rates above the MY 2020 MMC Weighted Average.

CAHPS: Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid managed care.

MY 2020 Child CAHPS 5.1H Survey Results

Table 2.14: CAHPS MY 2020 Child Survey Results

Survey Section/Measure	2021 (MY 2020)	2021 Rate Compared to 2020	2020 (MY 2019)	2020 Rate Compared to 2019	2019 (MY 2018)	2021 MMC Weighted Average
Your Child's Health Plan						
Satisfaction with Child's Health Plan (Rating of 8–10)	89.50%	▼	91.89%	A	89.49%	88.71%
Information or Help from Customer Service (Usually or Always)	73.42%	•	84.09%	▼	85.11%	81.29%
Your Healthcare in the Last 6 Months						
Satisfaction with Health Care (Rating of 8–10)	89.17%	A	87.76%	A	83.85%	88.84%
Appointment for Routine Care When Needed (Usually or Always)	74.07%	▼	83.54%	▼	88.00%	84.77%

^{▲ ▼ =} Performance compared to prior year's rate.

Gray shaded boxes reflect rates above the MY 2020 MMC Weighted Average.

CAHPS: Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid managed care.

III: Review of Compliance with Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Regulations

Objectives

This section of the EQR report presents a review by IPRO of HPP's compliance with its contract and with state and federal regulations. The review is based on information derived from reviews of the MCO that were conducted by PA DHS within the past three years, most typically within the immediately preceding year.

The SMART items are a comprehensive set of monitoring items that have been developed by PA DHS from the managed care regulations. PA DHS staff reviews SMART items on an ongoing basis for each Medicaid MCO. These items vary in review periodicity as determined by DHS and reviews typically occur annually or as needed. Additionally, reviewers have the option to review individual zones covered by an MCO separately, and to provide multiple findings within a year (e.g., quarterly). Within the SMART system there is a mechanism to include review details, where comments can be added to explain the MCO's compliance, partial compliance, or non-compliance. There is a year allotted to complete all of the SMART standards; if an MCO is non-compliant or partially compliant, this time is built into the system to prevent a Standard from being "finalized." If an MCO does not address a compliance issue, DHS would discuss as a next step the option to issue a Work Plan, a Performance Improvement Plan, or a Corrective Action Plan (CAP). Any of these next steps would be communicated via formal email communications with the MCO. Per DHS, MCOs usually address the issues in SMART without the necessity for any of these actions, based on the SMART timeline.

Description of Data Obtained

The documents used by IPRO for the current review include the HealthChoices Agreement, the SMART database completed by PA DHS staff as of December 31, 2020, additional monitoring activities outlined by DHS staff, and the most recent NCQA Accreditation Survey for HPP effective in the review year.

The SMART items provided much of the information necessary for this review. The SMART items and their associated review findings for each year are maintained in a database. The SMART database has been maintained internally at DHS since Review Year (RY) 2013. Beginning in 2018 (RY 2017), there were changes implemented to the review process that impacted the data that are received annually. First, the only available review conclusions are Compliant and non-Compliant. All other options previously available were re-designated from review conclusion elements to review status elements and are therefore not included in the findings. Additionally, as noted, reviewers were given the option to review zones covered by an MCO separately, and to provide multiple findings within a year (e.g., quarterly). As a result, there was an increase in the number of partially compliant items for the initial year. For use in the current review, IPRO reviewed the data elements from each version of database and then merged the RY 2019, 2018, and 2017 findings. IPRO reviewed the elements in the SMART item list and created a crosswalk to pertinent BBA regulations. A total of 135 items were identified that were relevant to evaluation of MCO compliance with the BBA regulations.

The crosswalk linked SMART Items to specific provisions of the regulations, where possible. Some items were relevant to more than one provision. The most recently revised CMS protocols included updates to the structure and compliance standards, including which standards are required for compliance review. Under these protocols, there are 11 standards that CMS has designated as required to be subject to compliance review. Several previously required standards have been deemed by CMS as incorporated into the compliance review through interaction with the new required standards, and appear to assess items that are related to the required standards. The compliance evaluation was conducted on the crosswalked regulations for all 11 required standards and remaining related standards that were previously required and continue to be reviewed.

Table 3.1 provides a count of items linked to each category. Additionally, **Table 3.1** includes all regulations and standards from the three year review period (RY 2020, 2019, and 2018), which incorporates both the prior and the most recent set of EQR protocols. The CMS regulations are reflected in **Table 3.1** as follows: 1) a *Required* column has been included to indicate the 11 standards that CMS has designated as subject to compliance review, and 2) a *Related* column has been included to indicate standards that CMS has deemed as incorporated into the compliance review through interaction with the required standards.

Table 3.1: SMART Items Count per Regulation

BBA Regulation	SMART Items	Required	Related
Subpart C: Enrollee Rights and Protections			
Enrollee Rights	7		✓
Provider-Enrollee Communication	1		✓
Marketing Activities	2		✓
Liability for Payment	1		
Cost Sharing	0		
Emergency and Post-Stabilization Services – Definition	4		✓
Emergency Services: Coverage and Payment	1		✓
Solvency Standards	2		
Subpart D: MCO, PIHP and PAHP Standards			
Availability of Services	14	✓	
Assurances of adequate capacity and services	3	✓	
Coordination and Continuity of Care	13	✓	
Coverage and Authorization of Services	9	✓	
Provider Selection	4	✓	
Provider Discrimination Prohibited	1		✓
Confidentiality	1	✓	
Enrollment and Disenrollment	2		✓
Grievance and appeal Systems	1	✓	
Subcontractual Relationships and Delegations	3	✓	
Practice Guidelines	2	✓	
Health Information Systems	18	✓	
Subpart E: Quality Measurement and Improvement; Exter	rnal Quality Review		
Quality assessment and performance improvement program (QAPI)	9	✓	
Subpart F: Grievance and Appeal System			
General Requirements	8		✓
Notice of Action	3		✓
Handling of Grievances and Appeals	9		✓
Resolution and Notification	7		✓
Expedited Resolution	4		✓
Information to Providers and Subcontractors	1		✓
Recordkeeping and Recording	6		✓
Continuation of Benefits Pending Appeal and State Fair Hearings	2		✓
Effectuation of Reversed Resolutions	0		✓

Two previous categories, Cost Sharing and Effectuation of Reversed Resolutions, were not directly addressed by any of the SMART Items reviewed by DHS. Cost Sharing is addressed in the HealthChoices Agreement. Effectuation of Reversed Resolutions is evaluated as part of the most recent NCQA Accreditation review under Utilization Management (UM) Standard 8: Policies for Appeals and UM 9: Appropriate Handling of Appeals.

Review of Assurances of adequate capacity and services included three additional SMART Items that reference requirements related to provider agreements and reporting of appropriate services. Additionally, monitoring team review activities addressed other elements as applicable, including: readiness reviews of a new MCO's network against the requirements in the HealthChoices Agreement to ensure the ability to adequately serve the potential membership

population; review of provider networks on several levels, such as annual MCO submissions of provider network, weekly submissions of provider additions/deletions together with executive summaries of gaps and plans of action to fill gaps as required, and regular monitoring of adequacy through review and approval of provider directories, access to care campaigns and as needed; periodic review of provider terminations with potential to cause gaps in the MCO provider network, as well as review with the MCO of the provider termination process outlined in the HealthChoices Agreement.

Determination of Compliance

To evaluate MCO compliance on individual provisions, IPRO grouped the monitoring standards by provision and evaluated the MCO's compliance status with regard to the SMART Items. For example, all provisions relating to availability of services are summarized under Availability of Services §438.206. This grouping process was done by referring to CMS's "Regulations Subject to Compliance Review", where specific Medicaid regulations are noted as required for review and corresponding sections are identified and described for each Subpart, particularly D and E. Each item was assigned a value of Compliant or non-Compliant in the Item Log submitted by DHS. If an item was not evaluated for a particular MCO, it was assigned a value of Not Determined. Compliance with the BBA requirements was then determined based on the aggregate results of the SMART Items linked to each provision within a requirement or category. If all items were Compliant, the MCO was evaluated as Compliant. If some were Compliant and some were non-Compliant, the MCO was evaluated as partially-Compliant. If all items were non-Compliant, the MCO was evaluated for a given category and no other source of information was available to determine compliance, a value of Not Determined was assigned for that category.

Categories determined to be partially- or non-Compliant are indicated where applicable in the tables below, and the SMART Items that were assigned a value of non-Compliant by DHS within those categories are noted. For HPP, there were no categories determined to be partially- or non-Compliant, signifying that no SMART Items were assigned a value of non-Compliant by DHS. There are therefore no recommendations related to compliance with structure and operations standards for HPP for the current review year.

In addition to this analysis of DHS's monitoring of MCO compliance with managed care regulations, IPRO reviewed and evaluated the most recent NCQA accreditation report for each MCO. IPRO accessed the NCQA *Health Plan Reports* website¹ to review the *Health Plan Report Cards 2021* for HPP. For each MCO, star ratings, accreditation status, plan type, and distinctions were displayed. At the MCO-specific pages, information displayed was related to membership size, accreditation status, survey type and schedule, and star ratings for each measure and overall.

Format

The format for this section of the report was developed to be consistent with the subparts prescribed by BBA regulations. This document groups the regulatory requirements under subject headings that are consistent with the subparts set out in the BBA regulations and described in the CMS EQR Protocol: *Review of Compliance with Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Regulations*. Under each subpart heading fall the individual regulatory categories appropriate to those headings. Findings will be further discussed relative to applicable subparts as indicated in the updated Protocol, i.e., Subpart D – MCO, PIHP and PAHP Standards and Subpart E – Quality Measurement and Improvement.

This format reflects the goal of the review, which is to gather sufficient foundation for IPRO's required assessment of the MCO's compliance with BBA regulations as an element of the analysis of the MCO's strengths and weaknesses.

¹ NCQA Health Plan Report Cards Website: https://reportcards.ncga.org/health-plans. Accessed January 25, 2022.

Findings

Of the 135 SMART Items, 76 items were evaluated and 59 were not evaluated for the MCO in RY 2020, RY 2019, or RY 2018. For categories where items were not evaluated for compliance for RY 2019, results from reviews conducted within the two prior years (RY 2019 and RY 2018) were evaluated to determine compliance, if available.

Subpart C: Enrollee Rights and Protections

The general purpose of the regulations included in this category is to ensure that each MCO had written policies regarding enrollee rights and complies with applicable Federal and State laws that pertain to enrollee rights, and that the MCO ensures that its staff and affiliated providers take into account those rights when furnishing services to enrollees. [42 C.F.R. §438.100 (a), (b)].

The SMART database and DHS's audit document information include assessment of the MCO's compliance with regulations found in Subpart C. **Table 3.2** presents the findings by categories consistent with the regulations. As indicated in **Table 3.1**, no regulation in this subpart is included in the updated required standards, although several are related standards.

Table 3.2: HPP Compliance with Enrollee Rights and Protections Regulations

ENROLLEE RIGHTS AND PROTECTIONS REGULATIONS							
Subpart C: Categories	Compliance	Comments					
Enrollee Rights	Compliant	7 items were crosswalked to this category. The MCO was evaluated against 6 items and was compliant on 6 items based on RY 2020.					
Provider-Enrollee Communication	Compliant	1 item was crosswalked to this category. The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant on this item based on RY 2020.					
Marketing Activities	Compliant	2 items were crosswalked to this category. The MCO was evaluated against 2 items and was compliant on 2 items based on RY 2020.					
Cost Sharing	Compliant	Per HealthChoices Agreement					
Emergency Services: Coverage and Payment	Compliant	1 item was crosswalked to this category. The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant on this item based on RY 2020.					
Emergency and Post Stabilization Services	Compliant	4 items were crosswalked to this category. The MCO was evaluated against 3 items and was compliant on 3 items based on RY 2020.					

MCO: managed care organization; RY: reporting year.

HPP was evaluated against 16 of the 18 SMART Items crosswalked to Enrollee Rights and Protections Regulations and was compliant on all 16 items. HPP was found to be compliant on all eight of the categories of Enrollee Rights and Protections Regulations. HPP was found to be compliant on the Cost Sharing provision, based on the HealthChoices Agreement.

Subpart D: MCO, PIHP and PAHP Standards

The general purpose of the regulations included under this heading is to ensure that all services available under the commonwealth's Medicaid managed care program are available and accessible to HPP enrollees. [42 C.F.R. §438.206 (a)].

The SMART database includes an assessment of the MCO's compliance with regulations found in Subpart D. For the category of Assurances of Adequate Capacity and Services, the MCO was evaluated as noted above against additional SMART Items and DHS monitoring activities. **Table 3.3** presents the findings by categories consistent with the regulations. Regulations that have been designated in **Table 3.1** as required under the updated protocols are **bolded**. The remaining are related standards.

Table 3.3: HPP Compliance with MCO, PIHP and PAHP Standards Regulations

Subpart D: Categories Compliance Availability of Services Compliant Compl
Availability of Services Compliant The MCO was evaluated against 10 items and was compliant on 10 items based on RY 2020. 3 items were crosswalked to this category. This category was evaluated against SMART Items and RY 2019 DHS monitoring activities. Coordination and Continuity of Care Compliant The MCO was evaluated against 12 items and was compliant on 12 items based on RY 2020. 9 items were crosswalked to this category. The MCO was evaluated against 7 items and was compliant on 7 items based on RY 2020. 4 items were crosswalked to this category. The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was
Assurances of Adequate Capacity and Services Compliant Compliant
Assurances of Adequate Capacity and Services Compliant Compliant
Assurances of Adequate Capacity and Services Compliant Compliant Compliant This category was evaluated against SMART Items and RY 2019 DHS monitoring activities. 13 items were crosswalked to this category. The MCO was evaluated against 12 items and was compliant on 12 items based on RY 2020. 9 items were crosswalked to this category. The MCO was evaluated against 7 items and was compliant on 7 items based on RY 2020. 4 items were crosswalked to this category. The MCO was evaluated against 7 items and was compliant on 7 items based on RY 2020. 4 items were crosswalked to this category. The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was
This category was evaluated against SMART Items and RY 2019 DHS monitoring activities. Coordination and Continuity of Care Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant The MCO was evaluated against 12 items and was compliant on 12 items based on RY 2020. 9 items were crosswalked to this category. The MCO was evaluated against 7 items and was compliant on 7 items based on RY 2020. 4 items were crosswalked to this category. The MCO was evaluated against 7 items and was compliant on 7 items based on RY 2020. 4 items were crosswalked to this category. The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was
2019 DHS monitoring activities. Coordination and Continuity of Care Compliant Complia
Coordination and Continuity of CareCompliant13 items were crosswalked to this category.Compliant Coverage and Authorization of ServicesCompliant Compliant
Compliant The MCO was evaluated against 12 items and was compliant on 12 items based on RY 2020. Services Compliant The MCO was evaluated against 7 items and was compliant on 7 items based on RY 2020. 4 items were crosswalked to this category. Provider Selection Compliant The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was
Coverage and Authorization of Services Compliant The MCO was evaluated against 7 items and was compliant on 7 items based on RY 2020. 4 items were crosswalked to this category. Provider Selection Compliant The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was
Coverage and Authorization of Services Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Provider Selection Compliant Compli
The MCO was evaluated against 7 items and was compliant on 7 items based on RY 2020. 4 items were crosswalked to this category. Provider Selection Compliant The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was
compliant on 7 items based on RY 2020. 4 items were crosswalked to this category. Provider Selection Compliant The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was
Provider Selection 4 items were crosswalked to this category. The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was
Provider Selection Compliant The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was
The McO was evaluated against 1 item and was
compliant on this teem based on the 2020.
1 item was crosswalked to this category.
The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant on this item based on RY 2020.
1 item was crosswalked to this category.
Confidentiality Compliant The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was
compliant on this item based on RY 2020.
2 items were crosswalked to this category.
The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant on this item based on RY 2020.
1 item was crosswalked to this category.
The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant on this item based on RY 2020.
3 items were crosswalked to this category.
Subcontractual Relationships and
Delegations The MCO was evaluated against 3 items and was compliant on 3 items based on RY 2020.
2 items were crosswalked to this category.
The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant on 1 item based on RY 2020.
18 items were crosswalked to this category.
The MCO was surflusted assigned 0 items and was
Health Information Systems Compliant The MCO was evaluated against 8 items and was compliant on 6 items and partially compliant on 2 items
based on RY 2020.

MCO: managed care organization; PIHP: prepaid inpatient health plan; PAHP: prepaid ambulatory health plan; RY: reporting year.

HPP was evaluated against 46 of 71 SMART Items that were crosswalked to MCO, PIHP and PAHP Standards Regulations and was compliant on 44 and partially compliant on two items. Of the 12 categories in MCO, PIHP and PAHP Standards, HPP was found to be compliant on 12 categories.

Subpart E: Quality Measurement and Improvement; External Quality Review

The general purpose of the regulations included under this heading is to ensure that managed care entities establish and implement an ongoing comprehensive QAPI program for the services it furnishes to its Medicaid enrollees. [42 C.F.R. §438.330].

The MCO's compliance with the regulation found in Subpart E was evaluated as noted above against additional SMART Items and DHS monitoring activities. **Table 3.4** presents the findings by categories consistent with the regulation. This regulation has been designated in **Table 3.1** as required under the updated protocols and is **bolded**.

Table 3.4: HPP Compliance with Quality Measurement and Improvement; External Quality Review Regulations

QUALITY MEASUREMENT AND IMPROVEMENT; EXTERNAL QUALITY REVIEW REGULATIONS							
Subpart E: Categories	Compliance	Comments					
Quality Assessment and		9 items were crosswalked to this category.					
Performance Improvement Program (QAPI)	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant on this item based on RY 2020.					

MCO: managed care organization; RY: reporting year.

HPP was evaluated against one of the nine SMART Items crosswalked to Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program (QAPI) and was compliant on the one item.

Subpart F: Grievance and Appeal System

The general purpose of the regulations included under this heading is to ensure that enrollees have the ability to pursue grievances.

The SMART database and DHS's audit document information include assessment of the MCO's compliance with regulations found in Subpart F. **Table 3.5** presents the findings by categories consistent with the regulations. As indicated in **Table 3.1**, no regulation in this subpart is included in the updated required standards, although all are related standards.

Table 3.5: HPP Compliance with Grievance and Appeal System Regulations

GRIEVANCE AND APPEAL SYSTEM REGULATIONS								
Subpart F: Categories	Compliance	Comments						
		8 items were crosswalked to this category.						
General Requirements	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was						
		compliant on this item based on RY 2020.						
		3 items were crosswalked to this category.						
Notice of Action	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 2 items and was						
		compliant on 2 items based on RY 2020.						
		9 items were crosswalked to this category.						
Handling of Grievances & Appeals	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 2 items and was						
		compliant on 2 items based on RY 2020.						
		7 items were crosswalked to this category.						
Resolution and Notification	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 2 items and was						
		compliant on 2 items based on RY 2020.						
		4 items were crosswalked to this category.						
Expedited Resolution	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 2 items and was						
		compliant on 2 items based on RY 2020.						
		1 item was crosswalked to this category.						
Information to Providers and	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was						
Subcontractors		compliant on this item based on RY 2020.						

GRIEVANCE AND APPEAL SYSTEM REGULATIONS			
Subpart F: Categories	Compliance	Comments	
		6 items were crosswalked to this category.	
Recordkeeping and Recording	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 2 items and was	
		compliant on 2 items based on RY 2020.	
Continue tion of Boarding Boarding		2 items were crosswalked to this category.	
Continuation of Benefits Pending Appeal and State Fair Hearings	Compliant	The MCO was evaluated against 1 item and was compliant on this item based on RY 2020.	
Effectuation of Reversed	Constituti	Per NCQA Accreditation, 2021. (See "Accreditation	
Resolutions	Compliant Status" below)		

MCO: managed care organization; RY: reporting year; NCQA: National Committee for Quality Assurance.

HPP was evaluated against 13 of the 40 SMART Items crosswalked to Grievance and Appeal System and was compliant on all 13 items. HPP was found to be compliant for all nine categories of Grievance and Appeal System. For the category of Effectuation of Reversed Resolutions, per the NCQA website, the plan is Accredited. NCQA did not conduct surveys due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Accreditation Status

HPP underwent an NCQA Accreditation Survey evaluation June 30, 2021 due to COVID-19. It is effective through June 25, 2024 and they were granted an Accreditation Status of Accredited.

IV: MCO Responses to the Previous EQR Recommendations

Title 42 CFR § 438.364 External quality review results (a)(6) require each annual technical report include "an assessment of the degree to which each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity has effectively addressed the recommendations for QI made by the EQRO during the previous year's EQR." **Table 4.1** displays the MCO's opportunities as well as IPRO's assessment of their responses. The detailed responses are included in the embedded Word document. In addition to the opportunities identified from the EQR, DHS also required MCOs to develop a root cause analysis around select P4P indicators.

Current and Proposed Interventions

The general purpose of this section is to assess the degree to which each PH MCO has addressed the opportunities for improvement made by IPRO in the 2020 EQR Technical Reports, which were distributed May 2021. The 2021 EQR is the thirteenth to include descriptions of current and proposed interventions from each PH MCO that address the prior year reports' recommendations.

DHS requested that MCOs submit descriptions of current and proposed interventions using the Opportunities for Improvement form developed by IPRO to ensure that responses are reported consistently across the MCOs. These activities follow a longitudinal format, and are designed to capture information relating to:

- Follow-up actions that the MCO has taken through June 30, 2021 to address each recommendation;
- Future actions that are planned to address each recommendation;
- When and how future actions will be accomplished;
- The expected outcome or goals of the actions that were taken or will be taken; and
- The MCO's process(es) for monitoring the action to determine the effectiveness of the actions taken.

The documents informing the current report include the response submitted to IPRO as of September 2021, as well as any additional relevant documentation provided by HPP.

The embedded Word document presents HPP's responses to opportunities for improvement cited by IPRO in the 2020 EQR Technical Report, detailing current and proposed interventions.



Root Cause Analysis and Action Plan

The 2021 EQR is the twelfth year MCOs were required to prepare a Root Cause Analysis and Action Plan for measures on the HEDIS MY 2020 P4P Measure Matrix receiving either "D" or "F" ratings. Each P4P measure in categories "D" and "F" required that the MCO submit:

- A goal statement;
- Root cause analysis and analysis findings;
- Action plan to address findings;
- Implementation dates; and
- A monitoring plan to assure action is effective and to address what will be measured and how often that measurement will occur.

No items were identified for root cause analysis for the MCO in 2021.

HPP Response to Previous EQR Recommendations

Table 4.1 displays HPP's progress related to the 2020 External Quality Review Report, as well as IPRO's assessment of HPP's response.

Table 1: HPP Response to Previous EQR Recommendations

Table 1: HPP Response to Previous EQR Recommendations	IPRO Assessment
Recommendation for HPP	of MCO
Recommendation for the	Response ¹
Improve Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Age 20-44 years)	Remains an
improve riddies riccess to reventive, rimbalatory ricalenses (rige 20 11 years)	opportunity for
	improvement
Improve Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life - Total	Remains an
Improve Developmental screening in the rist three reals of the Total	opportunity for
	improvement
Improve Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life - 1 year	Remains an
Improve Developmental serverning in the rust three reals of the 1 year	opportunity for
	improvement
Improve Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life - 3 years	Remains an
Improve Bevelopmental screening in the rust rince reals of the 's years	opportunity for
	improvement
Improve Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness or Alcohol and Other Drug	Addressed
Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64 - ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days)	/ ladi essea
Improve Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness or Alcohol and Other Drug	Addressed
Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64 - ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 30 days)	/ ladi essea
Improve Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of most or moderately effective	Remains an
contraception (Ages 15 to 20)	opportunity for
Contraception (1.1863-13-to-20)	improvement
Improve Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Total—Age 3 years and older)	Remains an
Improve Appropriate resting for that yngres (rotal Age 5 years and older)	opportunity for
	improvement
Improve Medication Management for People with Asthma - 75% Compliance (Age 5-11 years)	Measure retired
Improve Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (Age 2-17 years) per 100,000 member months	Partially
improve / Stillia in Founger / tattes /	addressed
Improve Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (Total Age 2-39 years) per 100,000 member	Partially
months	addressed
Improve Blood Pressure Controlled <140/90 mm Hg	Measure retired
Improve Heart Failure Admission Rate (Age 18-64 years) per 100,000 member months	Partially
Improve Heart Familiasion Nate (Age 10 04 years) per 100,000 member months	addressed
Improve Heart Failure Admission Rate (Total Age 18+ years) per 100,000 member months	Partially
Improve real examples months	addressed
Improve Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia	Partially
Improve numerance to number yellotte inculations for maintains with semisophrenia	addressed
Improve Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia (BH Enhanced)	Remains an
mprover tallet ende to randpoyenode medications for marriadas with semizophicina (bit Emidilect)	opportunity for
	improvement
Improve Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose	Remains an
Testing (Ages 1-11 years)	opportunity for
	improvement
Improve Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose	Remains an
Testing (Ages 12-17 years)	opportunity for
	improvement

Recommendation for HPP	IPRO Assessment of MCO Response ¹
Improve Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose	Remains an
Testing (Total Ages 1-17 years)	opportunity for
	improvement
Improve Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose &	Remains an
Cholesterol Testing (Ages 1-11 years)	opportunity for
	improvement
Improve Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose &	Partially
Cholesterol Testing (Ages 12-17 years)	addressed
Improve Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose &	Remains an
Cholesterol Testing (Total Ages 1-17 years)	opportunity for
	improvement
Improve Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Ages 16-64 years)	Partially
	addressed
Improve Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Total Ages 16+ years)	Insufficient data
	to report
Improve Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Total)	Partially
	addressed
Improve Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Buprenorphine)	Partially
	addressed
Improve Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Long-Acting, Injectable Naltrexone)	Partially
	addressed

¹ IPRO assessments are as follows: **addressed**: MCP's quality improvement (QI) response resulted in demonstrated improvement; **partially addressed**: either of the following (1) improvement was observed, but identified as an opportunity for current year; or (2) improvement not observed, but not identified as an opportunity for current year; **remains an opportunity for improvement**: MCP's QI response did not address the recommendation; improvement was not observed or performance declined. EQR: external quality review; MCO: managed care organization.

V: MCO Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement and EQR Recommendations

The review of the MCO's MY 2020 performance for all EQR activities conducted, against Medicaid and CHIP managed care regulations, performance improvement projects and performance measures identified strengths and opportunities for improvement in the quality outcomes, timeliness of, and access to services for Medicaid members served by this MCO. The strengths and opportunities listed below are also outlined within each applicable section above. Each section contains more detail regarding the review and identification of the items.

Strengths

- HPP was found to be fully compliant on all elements reviewed for both PIPs.
- The MCO's performance was statistically significantly above/better than the MMC weighted average in 2021 (MY 2020) on the following measures:
 - Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (Ages 12 to 17);
 - Body Mass Index: Percentile (Age 3 11 years);
 - Body Mass Index: Percentile (Total);
 - Counseling for Nutrition (Age 3-11 years);
 - Counseling for Nutrition (Age 12-17 years);
 - Counseling for Nutrition (Total);
 - Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication Initiation Phase;
 - o Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication Continuation Phase;
 - Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication (BH Enhanced) Initiation Phase;
 - o Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication (BH Enhanced) Continuation Phase;
 - Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness or Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64 - ED visits for mental illness, follow-up within 7 days);
 - o Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness or Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64 ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 7 days);
 - o Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness or Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (Ages: 18 to 64 ED visits for AOD abuse or dependence, follow-up within 30 days)
 - Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars (≥ 1 Molar);
 - Sealant Receipt on Permanent First Molars (All 4 Molars);
 - o Adult Annual Dental Visit Women with a Live Birth (Age 36-59 years);
 - Chlamydia Screening in Women (Total);
 - Chlamydia Screening in Women (Age 16-20 years);
 - Chlamydia Screening in Women (Age 21-24 years);
 - Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception 3 days (Ages 15 to 20);
 - Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC 3 days (Ages 15 to 20);
 - o Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC 60 days (Ages 15 to 20);
 - Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: Most or moderately effective contraception 3 days (Ages 21 to 44);
 - Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC 3 days (Ages 21 to 44);
 - o Contraceptive Care for Postpartum Women: LARC 60 days (Ages 21 to 44);
 - Prenatal Screening for Smoking;
 - Prenatal Screening for Smoking during one of the first two visits (CHIPRA indicator);
 - Prenatal Screening for Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposure;
 - o Prenatal Counseling for Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposure;
 - o Prenatal Screening for Depression;
 - o Prenatal Screening for Depression during one of the first two visits (CHIPRA indicator);
 - Postpartum Screening for Depression;
 - o Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Age 3 months-17 years);
 - Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis (Total);
 - o Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation: Bronchodilator;

- Asthma Medication Ratio (19-50 years);
- o Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Age 18-64 years) per 100,000 member months;
- Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (Total Age 18+ years) per 100,000 member months;
- Diabetes Care for People with Serious Mental Illness: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (>9.0%) (Age Cohort: 18 - 64 Years of Age);
- o Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients with Diabetes (Age 18 64 years);
- Kidney Health Evaluation for Patients with Diabetes (Total);
- o Use of Opioids From Multiple Providers (4 or more prescribers); and
- o Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Total).
- HPP was found to be fully compliant on all contract and with state and federal managed care regulations reviewed.

Opportunities for Improvement

- The MCO's performance was statistically significantly below/worse than the MMC rate in 2021 (MY 2020) as indicated by the following measures:
 - Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Age 20-44 years);
 - o Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services (Age 45-64 years);
 - Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months of Life (15-30 months ≥ 2 Visits);
 - Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (3-11 years);
 - Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (12-17 years);
 - Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (18-21 years);
 - Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits (Total);
 - Counseling for Physical Activity (Age 12-17 years);
 - Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life Total;
 - o Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life 1 year;
 - Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life 2 years;
 - Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life 3 years;
 - Annual Dental Visit (Age 2–20 years);
 - Annual Dental Visits for Members with Developmental Disabilities (Age 2-20 years);
 - Contraceptive Care for All Women: Provision of most or moderately effective contraception (Ages 15 to 20);
 - Prenatal Screening Positive for Depression;
 - o Postpartum Screening Positive for Depression;
 - Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Age 18-64 years);
 - Appropriate Testing for Pharyngitis (Total);
 - Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (Age 2-17 years) per 100,000 member months;
 - Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (Total Age 2-39 years) per 100,000 member months;
 - o Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (Age 65 years and older) per 100,000 member months;
 - HbA1c Poor Control (>9.0%);
 - Retinal Eye Exam;
 - o Blood Pressure Controlled <140/90 mm Hg;
 - Statin Therapy for Patients With Diabetes: Statin Adherence 80%;
 - o Heart Failure Admission Rate (Age 18-64 years) per 100,000 member months;
 - Heart Failure Admission Rate (Total Age 18+ years) per 100,000 member months;
 - Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia (BH Enhanced);
 - Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Age 1-11 years);
 - Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Age 12-17 years);
 - Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Total Age 1-17 years);

- Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Cholesterol Testing (Age 1-11 years);
- Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing (Age 1-11 years);
- Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing (Age 12-17 years);
- Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing (Total Age 1-17 years);
- Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Buprenorphine);
- o Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Long-Acting, Injectable Naltrexone); and
- o Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (Methadone).

Additional targeted opportunities for improvement are found in the MCO-specific HEDIS MY 2020 P4P Measure Matrix that follows.

P4P Measure Matrix Report Card 2021 (MY 2020)

The Pay-for-Performance (P4P) Matrix Report Card provides a comparative look at all measures in the Quality Performance Measures component of the "HealthChoices MCO Pay for Performance Program." There are ten measures: seven are classified as both HEDIS and CMS Core Set measures, two are solely HEDIS and one is solely a CMS Child Core Set measure. The matrix:

- 1. Compares the Managed Care Organization's (MCO's) own P4P measure performance over the two most recent reporting years (2021 (MY 2020) and 2020 (MY 2019)); and
- 2. Compares the MCO's MY 2020 P4P measure rates to the MY 2020 Medicaid Managed Care (MMC) Weighted Average, or the MCO Average as applicable.

A matrix represents the comparisons in each of **Figure 5.1** and **Figure 5.2**. In **Figure 5.1**, the horizontal comparison represents the MCO's current performance as compared to the most recent MMC weighted average. When comparing a MCO's rate to the MMC weighted average for each respective measure, the MCO rate can be either above average, average, or below average. For each rate, the MCO's performance is determined using a 95% confidence interval for that rate. The difference between the MCO rate and MMC Weighted Average is statistically significant if the MMC Weighted Average is not included in the range, given by the 95% confidence interval. When noted, the MCO comparative differences represent statistically significant differences from the MMC weighted average.

The vertical comparison represents the MCO's performance for each measure in relation to its prior year's rates for the same measure. The MCO's rate can trend up ($\hat{\mathbf{p}}$), have no change, or trend down (\mathbb{Q}). For these year-to-year comparisons, the statistical significance of the difference between two independent proportions was determined by calculating the z-ratio. A z-ratio is a statistical measure that quantifies the difference between two percentages when they come from two separate study populations. Noted comparative differences denote statistically significant differences between the years.

Figure 5.2 represents a matrix for the Plan All-Cause Readmissions measure. Instead of a percentage, performance on this measure is assessed via a ratio of observed readmissions to expected readmissions. Additionally, a MMC Weighted Average is not calculated. Given the different parameters for this measure, comparisons are made based on absolute differences in the O/E ratio between years and against the current year's MCO Average.

For some measures, lower rates indicate better performance; these measures are specified in each matrix. Therefore, the matrix labels denote changes as above/better and below/worse. Each matrix is color-coded to indicate when a MCO's performance for these P4P measures is notable or whether there is cause for action. Using the comparisons described above as applicable for each measure, the color codes are:

The green box (A) indicates that performance is notable. The MCO's MY 2020 rate is above/better than the MY 2020

average and above/better than the MCO's MY 2019 rate.
The light green boxes (B) indicate either that the MCO's MY 2020 rate does not differ from the MY 2020 average and is above/better than MY 2019, or that the MCO's MY 2020 rate is above/better than the MY 2020 average but there no change from the MCO's MY 2019 rate.
The yellow boxes (C) indicate that the MCO's MY 2020 rate is below/worse than the MY 2020 average and above/better than the MY 2019 rate, or the MCO's MY 2020 rate does not differ from the MY 2020 average and there is no change from MY 2019, or the MCO's MY 2020 rate is above/better than the MY 2020 average but is lower/worse than the MCO's MY 2019 rate. No action is required although MCOs should identify continued opportunities for improvement.

The orange boxes (D) indicate either that the MCO's MY 2020 rate is lower/worse than the MY 2020 average and there is no change from MY 2019, or that the MCO's MY 2020 rate is not different than the MY 2020 average and is lower/worse than the MCO's MY 2019 rate. *A root cause analysis and plan of action is therefore required.*

The red box (F) indicates that the MCO's MY 2020 rate is below/worse than the MY 2020 average and is below/worse than the MCO's MY 2019 rate. *A root cause analysis and plan of action is therefore required.*



HPP Key Points

■ A - Performance is notable. No action required. MCOs may have internal goals to improve.

Measure(s) that in MY 2020 are statistically significantly above/better than the MY 2020 MMC weighted average and statistically significantly above/better than the MCO's MY 2019 rate:

- Asthma Medication Ratio²
- B No action required. MCOs may identify continued opportunities for improvement.
 - No P4P measures fell into this comparison category.
- C No action required although MCOs should identify continued opportunities for improvement.

Measure(s) that in MY 2020 did not statistically significantly change from MY 2019, and are not statistically significantly different from the MY 2020 MMC weighted average:

- Controlling High Blood Pressure
- Prenatal Care in the First Trimester
- Postpartum Care
- Lead Screening in Children

D - Root cause analysis and plan of action required.

No P4P measures fell into this comparison category.

F - Root cause analysis and plan of action required.

Measure(s) that in MY 2020 are statistically significantly lower/worse than the MY 2020 MMC weighted average and are statistically significantly lower/worse than the MCO's MY 2019 rate:

- Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Poor Control³
- Annual Dental Visit (Ages 2—20 years)
- Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months: First 15 Months of Life (6 or more visits)⁴
- Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life

Measure(s) that in MY 2020 are lower/worse than the MY 2020 average and are lower/worse than the MCO's MY 2019 rate:

Plan All-Cause Readmissions⁵

2021 External Quality Review Report: Health Partners Plans

² Asthma Medication Ratio was added as a P4P measure in 2021 (MY 2020) to replace Medication Management of Asthma.

³ Lower rates for Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Poor Control indicate better performance.

⁴ Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months: First 15 Months of Life (6 or more visits) replaces Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life, 6 or more.

⁵ Plan All-Cause Readmissions was added as a P4P measure in 2021 (MY 2020). Lower rates indicate better performance.

Figure 5.1: P4P Measure Matrix – Rate Measures

	Medicaid Managed Care Weighted Average Statistical Significance Comparison					
	Trend	Below/Worse than Average	Average	Above/Better than Average		
	1	С	В	A Asthma Medication Ratio ⁶		
Year to Year Statistical Significance Comparison	No Change	D	C Controlling High Blood Pressure Prenatal Care in the First Trimester Postpartum Care Lead Screening in Children	В		
Year to Year Statistic	•	F Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Poor Control ⁷ Annual Dental Visit (Ages 2—20 years) Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months: First 15 Months of Life (6 or more visits) ⁸ Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life	D	C		

Figure 5.2: P4P Measure Matrix - PCR Ratio Measure

	MCO Average Comparison			
	Trend	Below/Worse than Average	Average	Above/Better than Average
Year to Year	1	F Plan All-Cause Readmissions ⁹	D	С

⁶ Asthma Medication Ratio was added as a P4P measure in 2021 (MY 2020) to replace Medication Management of Asthma.

⁷ Lower rates for Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Poor Control indicate better performance

⁸ Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months: First 15 Months of Life (6 or more visits) replaces Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life, 6 or more

⁹ Plan All-Cause Readmissions was added as a P4P measure in 2021 (MY 2020). Lower rates indicate better performance.

P4P performance measure rates for 2018 (MY 2017), 2019 (MY 2018), 2020 (MY 2019), and MY 2020 as applicable are displayed in **Table 5.1**. The following symbols indicate the differences between the reporting years.

- ▲ Statistically significantly higher than the prior year,
- ▼ Statistically significantly lower than the prior year or
- = No change from the prior year.

Table 5.1: P4P Measure Rates

Quality Performance Measure – HEDIS Percentage Rate Metric ¹	HEDIS 2018 (MY 2017) Rate	HEDIS 2019 (MY 2018) Rate	HEDIS 2020 (MY 2019) Rate	HEDIS MY 2020 Rate	HEDIS MY 2020 MMC WA
Comprehensive Diabetes Care - HbA1c Poor Control ²	33.1% =	31.4% =	31.4% =	43.3% ▲	38.4%
Controlling High Blood Pressure	69.7% =	68.1% =	68.1% =	62.8% =	63.4%
Prenatal Care in the First Trimester	89.3% =	88.3% =	92.0% =	90.3% =	88.9%
Postpartum Care	74.5% =	72.7% =	81.0% ▲	79.8% =	77.8%
Annual Dental Visits (Ages 2 – 20 years)	66.5% ▲	67.9% ▲	68.4% ▲	48.4% ▼	54.2%
Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months: First 15 Months of Life (6 or more visits) ³	68.4% =	72.5% =	74.5% =	62.3% ▼	65.2%
Asthma Medication Ratio ⁴				67.3% ▲	64.8%
Lead Screening in Children			81.3% =	80.5% =	83.2%
Quality Performance Measure – Other Percentage Rate Metric	2018 (MY 2017) Rate	2019 (MY 2018) Rate	2020 (MY 2019) Rate	MY 2020 Rate	MY 2020 MMC WA
Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life (CMS Child Core)			54.9% ▲	49.6% ▼	59.6%
Quality Performance Measure – HEDIS Ratio Metric	HEDIS 2018 (MY 2017) Ratio	HEDIS 2019 (MY 2018) Ratio	HEDIS 2020 (MY 2019) Ratio	HEDIS MY 2020 Ratio	HEDIS MY 2020 MCO Average
Plan All-Cause Readmissions ⁵				1.09 ▲	1.02

¹ Statistically significant difference is indicated for all measures except Plan All-Cause Readmissions. For this measure, differences are indicated based on absolute differences in the O/E ratio between years.

² Lower rates for Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Poor Control indicate better performance.

³ Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months: First 15 Months of Life (6 or more visits) replaces Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life. 6 or more.

⁴ Asthma Medication Ratio was added as a P4P measure in 2021 (MY 2020) to replace Medication Management of Asthma.

⁵ Plan All-Cause Readmissions was added as a P4P measure in 2021 (MY 2020). Lower rates indicate better performance.

P4P: Pay-for-Performance; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; MY: measurement year; MMC: Medicaid Managed Care; WA: weighted average.

Table 5.2: EQR Recommendations

Measure/Project	IPRO's Recommendation	Standards	
Performance Improvement	Projects (PIPs)		
There are no recommendat	ions related to compliance with PIPs for the MCO for the current review year.	N/A	
Performance Measures and CAHPS Survey			
Developmental Screening	It is recommended that HPP improve access to developmental screening for the young children in their population. Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life was an opportunity in 2020 and again in 2021 for 1 year old, 3 years old, and total rates. These rates also decreased in 2021.	Access	
Antipsychotic Medication Monitoring	It is recommended that the MCO improve measures related to monitoring its members on antipsychotic medications. The following measures decreased in 2021 and were opportunities for improvement in 2020 and in 2021: O Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia (BH Enhanced); O Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose Testing (Ages 1-11 years; 12-17 years; 1-17 years); and O Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose & Cholesterol Testing (Ages 1-11 years; 1-17 years).	Quality	
Satisfaction with Health Plan	It is recommended that HPP work to improve member satisfaction related to their health plan. In the 2021 Adult CAHPS survey, rates for the following survey items fell from 2020 and were below the MMC weighted average for 2021: Satisfaction with Adult's Health Plan (Rating of 8–10); and Getting Needed Information (Usually or Always).	Quality	
Compliance with Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Regulations			
There are no recommendation for the MCO for the current	ons related to compliance with Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Regulations review year.	N/A	

EQR: external quality review; MCO: managed care organization; ED: emergency department; CAHPS: Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems; N/A: not applicable.

VI: Summary of Activities

Performance Improvement Projects

 As previously noted, HPP's Opioid and Readmission PIP proposal submissions were validated. The MCO received feedback and subsequent information related to these activities from IPRO.

Performance Measures

 HPP reported all HEDIS, PA-Specific, and CAHPS Survey performance measures in 2021 for which the MCO had a sufficient denominator.

Structure and Operations Standards

• HPP was found to be fully compliant on all contract and with state and federal managed care regulations reviewed. Compliance review findings for HPP from RY 2021, RY 2020, and RY 2019 were used to make the determinations.

2020 Opportunities for Improvement MCO Response

HPP provided a response to the opportunities for improvement issued in the 2020 annual technical report and a root
cause analysis and action plan for those measures on the HEDIS 2020 P4P Measure Matrix receiving either "D" or "F"
ratings.

2021 Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement

• Both strengths and opportunities for improvement have been noted for HPP in 2021. A response will be required by the MCO for the noted opportunities for improvement in 2022.

Appendix

Performance Improvement Project Interventions

As referenced in **Section I: Validation of Performance Improvement Projects, Table A.1.1** lists all of the interventions outlined in the MCO's most recent PIP submission for the review year.

Table A.1.1: PIP Interventions

Summary of Interventions

Health Partners Plans (HPP) - Opioid

- 1. Peer to peer prescribing education
- 2. Education for members self-identified as high risk for opioid use/misuse on the Opioid Risk Tool (ORT): a brief, self-reporting screening tool designed to assess risk for opioid abuse for adult individuals prescribed opioids for treatment of chronic pain, embedded in the Health Assessment
- 3. Pharmacy Medication Therapy Management (MTM), a program designed to help members with specific medical needs get pharmacist attention/ education to help take their medications safely and effectively at point of sale, for members ages 18 years and older
- 4. Face to face/virtual counseling and education for Medication Assisted Therapy (MAT) for member 18 years and older with OUD diagnosis
- 5. Case management to assist members PCP or COE follow-up visit within 7 days of opioid related ED visit

Health Partners Plans (HPP) - Readmission

- 1. Case management to assist adult members with PCP/Specialist follow-up visit within 7 days of inpatient hospital discharge.
- 2. Provider notification of members with inpatient discharge at Patient Centered Medical Home (PCMH) practice groups for PCP/Specialist follow-up visits.
- 3. Care coordination for members that self-identify with alcohol or substance use dependence.
- 4. Medication Therapy Management (MTM) Targeted Intervention Protocols (TIPs) for members prescribed antipsychotic medication. The MTM program utilizes real-time pharmacy claims data to inform the community pharmacist of a member's non-adherence to their antipsychotic medication in the form of a TIP so the community pharmacy can counsel the member at the point of sale.
- 5. Embedded case manager at Broad Street Ministry (BSM) to complete ICPs to reduce ED visits, inpatient admissions, and readmissions

PIP: performance improvement project.