

Philadelphia Department of Human Services County Improvement Plan June 2015

June 9, 2015

Section I. Sponsor Team Members:

The Executive Cabinet at DHS serves as the county improvement sponsor team. Cabinet members include:

Vanessa Garrett Harley, Commissioner
Gary Williams, Children and Youth Division
Jessica Shapiro, Chief of Staff
Chanel Hanns, Finance
Timene Farlow, Juvenile Justice Services
Kim Ali, Chief Implementation Officer, IOC
Marlene Olshan, Performance Management and Accountability
Khalid Asad, Administration and Management
Tyrone Harvey, Quality Improvement
Paul Bottalla, Policy and Planning
Alicia Taylor, Communication
Jonathan Houlon, Law Department
Section II. Background:

In developing the County Improvement Plan, the sponsor team reviewed the results from the state lead Quality Service Review (QSR) as well as the results from our local QSR reviews. Through this process the team found consistencies in both areas of strength (e.g. physical health, early learning and development, living arrangements and safety) and areas for continued improvement (e.g. engagement, teaming, assessment/planning/pathways to independence).

The team decided to prioritize our outcomes based on the key areas that need improvement as well as on those that would have the greatest positive impact on other indicators. For example, throughout the QSR process we recognize that we engage substitute caregivers more readily than children, mothers and fathers. We also know that better engagement will lead to higher ratings in other indicators (i.e. role & voice, assessment, planning). Better teaming and planning will lead to higher ratings in assessment and understanding leading to timely permanency.

The Philadelphia theory of change states:

Philadelphia's initial theory of change for the transformation of its Improving Outcomes for Children strategy is articulated well in the Child Welfare Demonstration Project. It states: "If families are engaged as part of a team, and if children, youth, and families receive comprehensive screening and assessment to identify underlying causes and needs and assessment information is used to develop a service plan, and if that plan identifies roles for extended family members and various supports, including appropriate placement decisions and connects them to evidence-based services to address their specific needs and/or appropriate system changes, then children, youth and families are more likely to remain engaged in and benefit from treatment, so that they can remain safely in their homes, experience fewer placement changes, experience less trauma, and experience improved functioning."

Philadelphia County has expanded the theory of change to state:

- If engagement and assessment are successful in determining appropriate interventions, and
- If the interventions are implemented with fidelity to the original model, and
- If the interventions are monitored for efficiency and effectiveness, and
- If necessary system changes keep pace with client needs,
 - Then children and youth can remain safely in their homes, experience fewer placement changes, experience less trauma, and experience improved functioning, and
 - Then we will meet IOC short and long term outcomes.

Section III. Priority Outcomes:

Outcome # 1: Engagement

This overarching outcome supports partnering with families so DHS can maximize a child's natural support system.

Outcome #2: Teaming

This overarching outcome supports the family team's ability to achieve unity of effort and "big picture" understanding of the long term view of the child/youth and family.

Outcome #3 Pathway to Independence

The overarching outcome supports youth over the age of 14 in their quest to live safely and function independently as they age out of the system

Outcome # 4: Planning

This overarching outcome supports a planning process that is fully individualized and relevant to child/youth and family needs.

Section IV. Findings

Findings related to Outcome # 1: Engagement

Findings from the state led QSR in December indicate that 49% of the cases reviewed were found to be in the acceptable range in the Engagement practice performance indicator. This outcome looks to ensure teams work effectively together to share information, plan and provide effective services.

Findings related to Outcome #2: Teaming

Findings from the state led QSR in December indicate that when Formation and Functioning scores were combined, only 36% of the cases reviewed were found to be in the acceptable range in the Teaming practice performance indicator. This outcome looks to ensure teams work effectively together to share information, plan and provide effective services.

Findings related to Outcome #3: Pathways to Independence

Findings in the state led QSR in December found that only14% of the cases reviewed received acceptable ratings in the Pathways to Independence practice performance indicator.

Findings related to Outcome # 4: Planning

Findings in the state led QSR in December found that 33% of the cases reviewed received acceptable ratings in the Planning practice performance indicator. This outcome looks to support the use of ongoing assessment and understanding of the child and family situation to modify planning and intervention strategies in order for the child/youth/ and family to live safely together, achieve timely permanence and improve well-being and functioning.

Connecting the Work Plan with the Identified Outcomes:

Philadelphia's Work Plan

	Outcome #1: Eng	gagement						
	STRATEGIES	ACTION STEPS	Indicators/ Benchmarks	MONITORING/EVIDENCE OF COMPLETION	PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE	TIMEFRAME	RESOURCES NEEDED	STATUS
1.1	Increased engagement of	*Utilize Fatherhood Initiative	% of fathers engaged improves by	Engagement scores for fathers at 40%	CUA QA Directors/CUA Case Managers	Ongoing through Q4	Video capability at CUA's	

	fathers	*Father only	14%		CYD Deptuy		
		parent cafes					
		parenegates					
		*Video usage for					
		incarcerated					
		* Solicit youth					
		for information					
		on fathers					
		whereabouts					
		* Invite all					
		fathers (when					
		identified) to all					
		family team					
		meetings					
1.		*Parent cafes	Improve	Engagement scores	CUA QA	On-going	
2		*Use of parent	engagement	at 65%	Directors/CUA	through	
		advocates	of mothers		Case Managers	Q4	·
	Increased	*Family finding	by 19%		CYD Deputy		
					, ,		
	engagement of						
	mothers						
1.		*Increase use of	Improve	Overall engagement	CUA QA	Q1 2016	
3		Family Finding	engagement	scores at 60%	Directors/CUA		
	Increase	* Increase SWAN	of all kin by		Case Managers		
	engagement of all	trainings	11%		CYD Deputy		
	kin	*DRO Clinics					
	MIII	*Increase use of					İ
		Practice Coaches					
		and Sr. Learning					
L			<u> </u>		1	1	

		Specialists						
1. 4	Increase use of Parent Advocates Outcome #2: Teami	*Ensure Parent advocates are engaged with all CUA's	# of Parent Advocates engaged in total	Existing parent advocates involved more than 50% of time	CUA QA Directors	Ongoing	3	
	STRATEGIES	ACTION STEPS	INDICATOR s/ BENCHMA RKS	MONITORING/EVIDENCE OF COMPLETION	PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE	TIMEFRAME	RESOURCES NEEDED	STATUS
2,1	Clarify roles and responsibilities of all CUA Staff regarding teaming process	*Develop training on roles for teaming process with both CUA an DHS and sub- contractors	teamings held	Increase in permanency to 20%	DHS Training/CUA Case Managers	On -going through Q4	Hiring staff as needed to replace turnover	
2.2	Improve communication with stakeholders to clarify roles/responsibilities	*Institutionalize a letter that goes out to all families and subcontractors with clarity of roles/responsibilities *Ensure DHS teaming unit has appropriate	teamings held	Increase in permanency to 20%	QI Directors, CA Case Managers	On-going through Q4		

		contact for all family members					•
2. 3	Increase training capability for all teaming staff	*Booster training for Teaming Staff conducted by Annie E. Casey *On-going training on "becoming trauma informed and self-care" *	Increase in number of teamings	Increase in permanency to 20%	DHS Teaming Staff	On going	
2. 4	Increase capacity of teaming staff	Hire an additional 10-12 teams (20-24) staff to help facilitate teaming process	Increase in number of teamings	Increase in permanency to 20%	DHS Teaming Staff	On going	

	STRATEGIES	ACTION STEPS	INDICATORS/ BENCHMARKS	MONITORING/EVIDENCE OF COMPLETION	PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE	TIMEFRAME	RESOURCES NEEDED	STATUS
3.1		*Refer all youth	# of youth	*20% increase in	CUA Case	On going		
		over age 14 to	exiting	# of youth aging	Managers			
	Be more intentional	AIC	with a	out to	CYD Deputy			
	with youth over the	* Intentionally	plan	permanency or				
	age of 14 to prepare	engage with		independent				
	for independence	youth over 14 to		living with				
		ensure		appropriate life				
		attendance at AIC		skills				

[1	*Use data and		*20% increase in		*	[***************************************	
		create reports		youth referrals to						
		specially geared		AIC						
		for older youth		AIC						
		*Use								
		1								
		Independence						4		
		Outcome Packet								
		checklist on								
		regular basis								
		*Conduct regular								
		credit checks of								
		youth 16 + who								
		are in placement								
		and provide								
		consultation as	;							
		needed		***************************************					 	
3.		*Use	# of youth	20% increase in #	CUA Case	On going				
2		data to	exiting	of youth aging	Managers					
	Ensure all board	monitor	with a	out to	CYD Deputy					
	extensions are timely	older	plan	permanency or						
	for older youth	youth		independent						
	ioi oidoi yodaii			living with						
				appropriate life						
		*** #* data read/#######******************************		skills						
3.		*Utilize Life Skills	# of times	20% increase in #	CUA Case	Ongoing				
3		Coaches	Casey Life	of youth aging	Managers					
	Ensure use effective	* Utilize Casey	Skills used	out to	CYD Deputy					
	use of Single Case Plan	Life Skills- ensure		permanency or						
	use of shighe case Flan	it is in Single Case		independent						
		Plan		living with						
		*Timely		appropriate life	£					
		distribution and		skills						
		quarterly review								

	 1	
via Family Team		
Conference		

***************************************	STRATEGIES	ACTION STEPS	Indicators/ Benchmarks	MONITORING/EVIDENCE OF COMPLETION	PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE	TIMEFRAME	RESOURCES NEEDED	STATUS
4.1	Increase focus on permanency	*Improve quality of single case plan thorough trainings *Increase review and analysis of stagnant cases *Implementation of Permanency work group	PREP Case File Reviews	Prep Case File review scores for SCP at 85%	CUA Case Mgrs/Supervisors CYD Deputy	On going		
4.2	Increase focus on concurrent case planning	*Improve quality of SCP	PREP Case File Reviews	Prep Case File review scores for SCP at 85%	CUA Case Mgrs/Supervisors CYD Deputy	On going	100.	